01/03/83

DESIGNATED DELCT

An .... HE FER

Certified By

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY, ET AL. Docket Nos. 50-445 50-446

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2)

#### NRC STAFF ANSWER TO CASE'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT CASE'S EXHIBITS

On December 14, 1982, Intervenor CASE filed "CASE's Motion to Supplement CASE's Exhibits" (CASE's Motion), in which CASE requests that the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) admit the following documents into evidence: $\frac{1}{}$ 

Exhibit 738 -- Findings and Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge resulting from the August 19-21, 1982, hearings before U.S. Department of Labor Judge in the matter of Charles A. Atchison, Complainant vs. Brown & Root, Inc. (Case No. 82-ERA-9).

- Exhibit 735 -- I&E Report 82-14 dated September 29, 1982 (received by CASE 10/15/82)
- Exhibit 736 -- I&E Report 82-14 dated November 8, 1982 (received by CASE 11/22/82)
- Exhibit 739 -- I&E Report 82-14, supplemental letter dated December 9, 1982, from NRC Region IV to TUGCO
- Exhibit 740 -- Nov. 17, 1982, letter from TUGCO to NRC Region IV re: NRC IE Bulletin 82-01, Supplement 1.

CASE's Motion, at 1.

1/ The Board has not yet closed the record in this proceeding. See "Order (Proposed Findings of Fact; CASE Exhibits)", December 7, 1982, and "Reconsideration of December 7, 1982 Order", December 21, 1982.

8301040635 830103 PDR ADOCK 05000445 G PDR

The NRC Staff does not object to the admission into evidence of proposed exhibits 738, 735, 736 and 739 inasmuch as these four additional exhibits appear to be marginally relevant to the issues in controversy in this proceeding. However, the record in this proceeding, now totalling almost 6000 pages and approximately 1000 exhibits, is becoming cluttered with hundreds of marginally relevant documents introduced by CASE. The regulations specifically empower the Licensing Board to control the proceeding so that the record does not become "unnecessarily large." 10 C.F.R. § 2.757. The Staff has previously noted in response to an earlier CASE motion seeking the admission of documents, that "[T]he Board emphasized to CASE the need to 'get a manageable group of documents, eliminating the repetitive aspects, looking at the relevance. . . and not just overwhelm the record.' Tr.  $3010."^{2/}$  Also, with the exception of the Walsh/Doyle allegations and Board Notification 82-90, the evidence already of record is sufficient for the Licensing Board to make findings with respect to the issues in controversy in Contention 5. $\frac{3}{}$  At this late stage in the proceeding and in view of the size of the record already compiled, CASE should be required to establish that any additional documents which it seeks to introduce are relevant and not repetitious and cumulative of the material already in the record. 10 C.F.R. § 2.743(c).

- 2 -

<sup>2/</sup> See "NRC Staff Answer to CASE Motions Seeking Admission of Documents," November 4, 1982, at 7.

<sup>3/</sup> See "NRC Staff Response to Memorandum and Order of September 22, 1982," October 12, 1982, at 20.

The Staff objects to the admission of proposed exhibit 740 as CASE has not shown how that exhibit is relevant to the matters in controversy in this proceeding. 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.743(c), 2.757(b).

Respectfully submitted,

# maizorie U. Nother child

Marjorie U. Rothschild Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 3rd day of January, 1983

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY, et al. Docket Nos. 50-445 50-446

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2)

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF ANSWER TO CASE'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT CASE'S EXHIBITS" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 3rd day of January, 1983.

Marshall E. Miller, Esq., Chairman\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Administrative Judge Dean, Division of Engineering, Architecture and Technology Oklahoma State University Stillwater, OK 74078

Dr. Richard Cole\* Administrative Judge U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Mrs. Juanita Ellis President, CASE 1426 South Polk Street Dallas, TX 75224

David J. Preister, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division P.O. Box 12548, Capital Station Austin, TX 78711

Nicholas S. Reynolds, Esq. Debevoise & Liberman 1200 17th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Docketing Service Section\* Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comission Washington, DC 20555 Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Lucinda Minton, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Lanny Alan Sinkin 838 East Magnolia Avenue San Antonio, TX 78212 Mr. Robert G. Taylor Resident Inspector/Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 38 Glen Rose, TX 76043

# Marjone U. Notherchild Marjorie U. Rothschild

Counsel for NRC Staff