

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

RELEASED TO THE PDR

3/7/94
date

J
initial

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM: COMMISSIONER REMICK

SUBJECT: SECY-93-326 - RECONSIDERATION OF NUCLEAR
POWER PLANT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS ASSOCIATED
WITH AN INTERNAL THREAT

APPROVED ^{no comment} DISAPPROVED _____ ABSTAIN _____

NOT PARTICIPATING _____ REQUEST DISCUSSION _____

COMMENTS:

Please see attached.

080056

9403100266 940127
PDR COMMS NRCC
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

J Remick
SIGNATURE

RELEASE VOTE

27 Jan 94
DATE

WITHHOLD VOTE

ENTERED ON "AS" YES No _____

*FOR
0/1*

Commissioner Remick's Comments on SECY-93-326

I agree with the staff's proposal to issue an information notice informing licensees of the opportunity to relax certain requirements related to protecting against the insider threat. I agree with the staff's proposed relaxations as discussed in SECY-93-326. With regard to relaxation of vital area access controls, discussed on pages 4 and 5 of the paper, I favor Option 3 of the staff's proposal, but with one modification. I do not believe it is necessary to treat vital area doors the licensees may determine necessary to delay an external threat, differently than other vital area doors. Nor should the licensee's determination of which vital area doors are necessary to delay an external threat be subject to NRC's review and approval as a condition for allowing vital area doors to normally be unlocked. Since licensees will be expected to have the capability to remotely lock vital area doors when needed, and generate an alarm if a vital area door is opened without a keycard, I believe there will be sufficient protection against an external threat even if the doors are normally unlocked. And in fact, the staff uses GPU Nuclear corporation's response to vehicle entry last year as an example of the effectiveness of these two measures.

I approve the staff's plans to proceed with rulemaking to implement the recommendations discussed in SECY-93-326.