

①

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company

License No. CPPR-83

Based on the results of the NRC inspection conducted on August 9-12, 1978, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements as indicated below. These items have been categorized as described in our correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V says in part "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures or drawings . . . ." These requirements are satisfied by Duke Power Company Quality Assurance Program Topical Report Section Number 17.2.5 and Duke Power Company Administrative Policy Manual Section 4.1.7.1(2.1) and 4.1.7.1(2.3). These requirements are implemented by Station Directive 3.2.2, Data Acquisition and Analysis Section.

Contrary to the above requirements, the following actions were not performed as required:

- a. Preoperational Test Procedure TP/1/A/1550/03K, Index of Spent Fuel Pool Manipulator Crane, completion approved on January 3, 1978, did not have the data obtained on test procedure Enclosure 13.2 verified by sign off on the Enclosure 13.2 data form or in the body of the procedure as required by Station Directive 3.2.2, Data Acquisition and Analysis Section.
- b. Preoperational Test Procedure TP/1/A/1550/03S, New Fuel Storage Vault Drag Load Retest, completion approved on June 15, 1978, did not have data obtained in the body of the procedure verified by sign off in the body of the procedure as required by Station Directive 3.2.2, Data Acquisition and Analysis Section.

This item is a deficiency.

RESPONSE:

The deficiency identified in the above inspection report concerns the failure of the individual who recorded the data to sign off on each data sheet. In the two examples cited, the data obtained was an integral part of a procedure step where the individual recording the data signed off on another enclosure. However, since more than one individual may be involved in recording data on an

7810230061

RESPONSE (continued):

individual test, we feel that sign off on each data sheet is desirable. In order to prevent noncompliance of this nature in the future, we have reviewed all approved preoperational test procedures that have not been completed to insure that proper sign off is included on each data sheet. In addition, the personnel involved in reviewing preoperational test procedures have been reminded of this requirement. We feel the deficiency identified is an isolated occurrence of this item.