Official Copy

OCT 1 9 1982

Duke Power Company ATTN: Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President Nuclear Production Department 422 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28242

Gentlemen:

Subject: Report Nos. 50-269/82-34, 50-270/82-17, 50-287/82-34, 50-369/82-30, 50-370/82-24, 50-413/82-23, and 50-414/82-21

The NRC Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) Board has completed its periodic evaluation of the performance of the subject facilities. The Oconee and McGuire 1 facilities were evaluated for the period May 1, 1980 through May 30, 1982. The Catawba and McGuire 2 facilities were evaluated for the period September 1, 1980 through May 30, 1982. The results of the evaluation are documented in the enclosed SALP Board Report. This evaluation will be discussed with you at your offices in Charlotte, North Carolina, on October 27, 1982.

The performance of your Oconee and McGuire 1 facilities was evaluated in the functional areas of plant operations, radiological controls, maintenance, surveillance, fire protection, emergency preparedness, security and safeguards, refueling (including initial fuel loading and power ascension testing), and licensing activities.

The performance of your McGuire 2 facility was evaluated in the functional areas of piping systems and supports, support systems, electrical power supply and distribution, instrumentation and control systems, licensing activities, and preoperational testing.

The performance of your Catawba facility was evaluated in the functional areas of containment and other safety related structures, piping systems and supports, safety related components, electrical power supply and distribution, and licensing activities.

The SALP Board evaluation process consists of categorizing performance in each functional area. The categories which we have used to evaluate the performance of your facilities are defined in section II of the enclosed SALP Board Report. As you are aware, the NRC has changed the policy for the conduct of the SALP program based on our experiences and the recently implemented reorganization which emphasizes the regionalization of the NRC staff. This report is the product of the revised policy. Any comments, which you have concerning our evaluation of the performance of your facility should be submitted to this office within twenty days following the date of the meeting in Charlotte, North Carolina.

Your comments, if any, and the SALP Board Report, will both appear as enclosures to the Region II Administrator's letter which issues the SALP Report as an NRC Report. In addition to the issuance of the report, this letter will, if appropriate, state the NRC position on matters relating to the status of your safety programs. Duke Power Company

OCT 1 9 1982

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 (a), a copy of this letter, the enclosure and your response, if any, will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room unless you notify this office, by telephone, within ten days following the date of our meeting in Charlotte, North Carolina, and submit written application to withhold information contained therein within twenty days following the date of our meeting. Such application must be consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.790 (b)(1).

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

R. C. Lewis, Director Division of Project and Resident Programs, Region II SALP Board Chairman

Enclosure: SALP Board Report for Duke Power Company

cc w/encl:

J. C. Rogers, Project Manager

J. W. Hampton, Station Manager

J. T. Moore, Project Manager

M. D. McIntosh, Plant Manager

J. E. Smith, Station Manager

bcc w/encl:

W. Orders, Region II P. Bemis, Region II

K. Van Doorn, Region II

E. Adensam, NRR

J. Stolz, NRR

RII	RII	RII	RII	RII
DSPrice:dlm	MVSinkule	JPStonr	JAOlshinski	RCLewis
10/18/82	10/1/82	10/ 1/82	10/ /82	10/ 8/82

2