Mr. John H. Hendricks, President Farwell & Hendricks, Inc. 4600 East Tech Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45245

Dear Mr. Hendricks:

SUBJECT: RESPONSES TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT 99900918/93-01

On December 20, 1993, you responded to the Notice of Nonconformance forwarded with NRC Inspection Report No.99900918/93-01. In our letter dated January 11, 1994, we stated that your identified actions were responsive to the concerns expressed in our notice, with one exception. Your letter dated February 9, 1994, described additional actions that you have taken to address the lack of traceability of certain screws to the manufacturer. We conclude that the actions described in your letters of December 20, 1994, and February 9, 1994, are fully responsive to the concerns expressed in our notice.

We will review the implementation of your actions taken in response to the Notice of Nonconformance in a future NRC inspection.

In accordance with 10 CFR Part 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

Leif J. Norrholm, Chief Vendor Inspection Branch Division of Reactor Inspection and Licensee Performance Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION: Central Files/Docket File No. 99900918/RIDS IE:09/PDR VIB R/F DRIL R/F UPotapovs

OFFICE	VIB/DRIL	SCVIB/DRIL	BCVIB/DRIL	
NAME	RCWilson	GCCwalina	tJNorrho1m	
DATE	3/1 /94*	3/3 /94*	3/4 /94	
COPY	Yes	Yes xx	Yes (No	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\WILSON\F&HRESP2

08 009

9403090023 940304 PDR QA999 EECFWELL 99900918 PDR 1609 1/0



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON D.C. 20555-0001

March 4, 1994

Docket No. 99900918

Mr. John H. Hendricks, President Farwell & Hendricks, Inc. 4600 East Tech Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45245

Dear Mr. Hendricks:

SUBJECT: RESPONSES TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT 99900918/93-01

On December 20, 1993, you responded to the Notice of Nonconformance forwarded with NRC Inspection Report No.99900918/93-01. In our letter dated January 11, 1994, we stated that your identified actions were responsive to the concerns expressed in our notice, with one exception. Your letter dated February 9, 1994, described additional actions that you have taken to address the lack of traceability of certain screws to the manufacturer. We conclude that the actions described in your letters of December 20, 1994, and February 9, 1994, are fully responsive to the concerns expressed in our notice.

We will review the implementation of your actions taken in response to the Notice of Nonconformance in a future NRC inspection.

In accordance with 10 CFR Part 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely.

Leif J. Norrholm, Chief Vendor Inspection Branch

Division of Reactor Inspection

and Licensee Performance

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation