



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 63 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-38

ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-382

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated July 25, 1990, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (Appendix A) to Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 for Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The proposed changes would update the name changes in the organization to reflect operations by Entergy Operations, Inc.

2.0 DISCUSSION

In License Amendment No. 60 dated December 14, 1989, the NRC approved the transfer of operations from Louisiana Power and Light Company to Entergy Operations, Inc. It was understood at that time that changes would be made at the three affected sites to reflect operations by a single entity. Accordingly, Entergy Operations, Inc. now proposes to combine the duties of Senior Vice President - Nuclear Operations and Vice President - Nuclear, and replace the titles with Vice President Operations. Each of the three sites will now have a position of the same title and duties.

Entergy Operations, Inc. also proposes to change the titles of certain positions with no change in duties. These positions and duties will correspond to those at the other sites. Those sites are for the Grand Gulf Unit 1 and the Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2.

3.0 EVALUATION

The licensee proposes to combine the site duties of two upper management positions and rename four positions with no change in duties. The site duties of the two previous upper management positions as defined in the Technical Specifications can be accomplished by the one individual. The other changes are in title only with no change in responsibilities. We find the proposed changes acceptable.

4.0 CONTACT WITH STATE OFFICIAL

The NRC staff has advised the Administrator, Radiation Protection Division, Department of Environmental Quality, State of Louisiana of the proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. No comments were received.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

Based upon its evaluation of the proposed changes to the Waterford 3 Technical Specifications, the staff has concluded that: there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The staff, therefore, concludes that the proposed changes are acceptable.

Dated: October 2, 1990

Principal Contributor: D. Wigginton