

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Portland General Electric Company  
Trojan Nuclear Plant

Docket No. 50-344  
License No. NPF-1

During an NRC inspection conducted from July 22, 1990 through September 1, 1990, one violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), the violation is listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," Criterion VI, "Document Control," states in part, "Measures shall be established to control the issuance of documents, such as instructions, procedures, and drawings, including changes thereto, which prescribe all activities affecting quality. These measures shall assure that documents, including changes, are reviewed for adequacy and approved for release by authorized personnel and are distributed to and used at the location where the prescribed activity is performed."

Contrary to the above, the Trojan Quality Assurance Program, Revision 11, section 6.0, "Document Control," on September 1, 1990 did not establish measures to assure procedures are distributed to the locations where prescribed activities are performed. Examples included: the absence from the Remote Shutdown Station of procedures ECA 0.0, Revision 5, "Loss of All AC Power" and ECA 0.1, Revision 3, "Recovery From Loss of All AC Power Without Safety Injection," where loss of AC power and recovery from loss of AC power without safety injection is performed, and the absence from the local hydrogen analyzer control station of procedure, OI 11-9, Revision 6, "Post Accident Hydrogen Analyzer Operation," where operation of the hydrogen analyzer is performed.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Portland General Electric Company is hereby required to submit a written statement of explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Senior Resident Inspector, Trojan, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should

not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. A. Richards', with a stylized flourish at the end.

S. A. Richards, Chief  
Reactor Projects Branch

Dated at Walnut Creek, California  
this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 1990.