

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONGEORGIA POWER COMPANY, ET AL.DOCKET NO. 50-425ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirement of footnote d-2(c) of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20 to the Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee) for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 2 (VEGP Unit 2) located on the licensee's site in Burke County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action: The proposed action would relax the requirement in footnote d-2(c) of Appendix A to 10 CFR 20 which states, "No allowance is to be made for the use of sorbents against radioactive gases or vapors." The exemption would allow the use of radioiodine protection factor of 50 for certain respiratory protection canisters used by workers at the licensee's facility, VEGP Unit 2. The Commission's technical evaluation of this request will be published in a report entitled "Safety Evaluation Related to the Use of Radioiodine Protection Factor for Sorbent Canisters at Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 2."

The evaluation responds to the licensee's application for exemption dated September 28, 1989.

The Need for the Proposed Action: The proposed exemption is needed because the use of the sorbent canisters described in the licensee's request can potentially reduce occupational exposure to radiation for some tasks at VEGP Unit 2.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action: The proposed exemption will most likely reduce the work effort and occupational exposure for some tasks at VEGP Unit 2. The utilization of air purifying respirators in lieu of air-supplied or self-contained apparatuses, where possible, can result in person-rem reductions estimated overall at 30% for tasks requiring radioiodine protection and in the range of 25% to 50% for several major tasks. The light weight, less cumbersome air purifying respirators (i.e., sorbent canisters) can provide increased comfort and mobility in most cases, and result in increased worker efficiency and decreased time on-the-job.

With regard to potential radiological impacts to the general public, the proposed exemption involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect the potential for, or consequences of, radiological accidents and does not affect radiological plant effluents. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternative to the Proposed Action: Because the Commission's staff has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternative to this exemption will have either no significantly different environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption. This would not reduce environmental impacts as a result of plant operations.

Alternative Use of Resources: This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2," dated March 1985.

Agencies and Persons Consulted: The Commission's staff has reviewed the licensee's request that supports the proposed exemption. The staff did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT


The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for the exemption dated September 28, 1989, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the Burke County Library, 412 4th Street, Waynesboro, Georgia 30830.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of August 1990.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation