Docket No. 50-341

Mr. William S. Orser Senior Vice President - Nuclear Operations Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166 DISTRIBUTION
Docket file AChu
EJordan VHodge
NRC & Local PDRs JStang
PD31 R/F OGC
ACRS (10) SMeador
JZwolinski

Dear Mr. Orser:

SUBJECT: NRC GENERIC LETTER 89-13, "SERVICE WATER SYSTEM PROBLEMS AFFECTING SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT" (TAC NO. 74002)

By letter dated January 26, 1990, Detroit Edison Company (DECo) submitted one of two required responses to the subject generic letter (GL). We note that you have committed to each of the five recommended actions of GL 89-13, with the exception of recommended action III. In the case of action III, DECo has provided an equally effective alternative course of action. On this basis, we accept your response as fulfilling this requirement of the GL.

If you choose a course of action different from the recommended actions of the GL, you should document and retain appropriate plant records showing justification that the alternative action is acceptable.

The staff will complete its review of DECo's response to GL 89-13 upon receipt of the second of the two required responses to the GL.

Sincerely,

entotien second by

John F. Stang, Project Manager Project Directorate III-1 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V & Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

A/PD31:DRSP S. Meador P 800 8/14/90

PMYPOBL:DRSP

D/PD31:DRSP RPierson 8/14/90

FERMI-2 GL 89-13 74002

9008240190 900814 PDR ADDCK 05000341 PDC



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 August 14, 1990

Docket No. 50-341

Mr. William S. Orser Senior Vice President - Nuclear Operations Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Dear Mr. Orser:

SUBJECT: NRC GENERIC LETTER 89-13, "SERVICE WATER SYSTEM PROBLEMS AFFECTING Y-RELATED EQUIPMENT" (TAC NO. 74002)

By letter da January 26, 1990, Detroit Edison Company (DECo) submitted one of two required responses to the subject generic letter (GL). We note that you have committed to each of the five recommended actions of GL 89-13, with the exception of recommended action III. In the case of action III, DECo has provided an equally effective alternative course of action. On this basis, we accept your response as fulfilling this requirement of the GL.

If you choose a course of action different from the recommended actions of the GL, you should document and retain appropriate plant records showing justification that the alternative action is acceptable.

The staff will complete its review of DECo's response to GL 89-13 upon receipt of the second of the two required responses to the GL.

Sincerely.

John F. Stang, Project Manager Project Directorate III-1 Division of Reactor Projects - III. IV, V & Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Mr. William Orser Detroit Edison Company

cc:

John Flynn, Esq. Senior Attorney Detroit Edison Company 2000 Second Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226

Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring Section Office Division of Radiological Health P. C. Box 30195 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Walt Rogers U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office 6450 W. Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness 963 South Raisinville Monroe, Michigan 48161

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Ms. Lynne Goodman Supervisor - Licensing Detroit Edison Company Fermi Unit 2 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166