ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Florida Power Corporation Crystal River 3 Docket No. 50-302 License No. DPR-72

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on July 9-13, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General St. ment of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVII, and the licensee's accepted Quality Assurance (QA) Program (FSAR Section 1.7.1.17) collectively require that sufficient records be maintained to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality, including tests, and that the records be retrievable. In addition, the licensee's surveillance procedure SP-102, Control Rod Drop Time Tests, as performed on June 20, 199 required that the Visicorder traces, used to measure and confirm that control rod drop times were acceptable, be attached to the completed procedure and be transmitted with it to the records vault.

Contrary to the above, the Visicorder traces obtained on June 20, 1990, could not be produced for inspection either during the inspection or in the following week.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement 1).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Florida Power Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Notice Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region JI, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Crystal River 3, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be

given to extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Caudle A. Julian, Chief

Engineering Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this / Aday of August 1990