Docket No. 50-416

Mr. William T. Cottle Vice President, Operations - Grand Gulf Entergy Operations, Inc. Post Office Box 756 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

Dear Mr. Cottle:

SUBJECT: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 (GRAND GULF - 1) - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF CATEGORY I STRUCTURES

(TAC NO. 75802)

The NRC staff has performed a preliminary review of the settlement data related to Grand Gulf - 1 Category I structures given in the updated final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Figure 2.5-75. In addition the staff met with the licensee at the site to discuss settlement monitoring and toured the facility to observe conditions of the structures. (Meeting Summary dated August 16, 1990.)

The staff finds that the additional information identified in the enclosure is needed to complete its review. Provide the enclosed requested additional information by November 30, 1990.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 95-511.

Sincerely,

## Original signed by:

Lester L. Kintner, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects - III IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

See here page

LE PU

DISTRIBUTION
Docks File
NRC Fun
Local PDR
PD4-1 Reading

L. Berry L. Kintner OGC MS15B18

E. Jordan MNBB 3701 ACRS (10) MS P-315

B. Boger MS 13E4 ACRS (10) MS P-3 T. Quay PD4-1 Plant File



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

August 21, 1990

Docket No. 50-416

Mr. William T. Cottle Vice President, Operations - Grand Gulf Entergy Operations, Inc. Post Office Box 756 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

Star Re. Coutle:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF CATEGORY I STRUCTURES (TAC NO. 75802)

The NRC staff has performed a preliminary review of the settlement data related to Grand Gulf - 1 Category I structures given in the updated Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Figure 2.5-75. In addition, the staff met with the licensee at the site to discuss settlement monitoring and toured the facility to observe conditions of the structures. (Meeting Summary dated August 16, 1990.)

The staff finds that the additional information identified in the enclosure is needed to complete its review. Provide the enclosed requested additional information by November 30, 1990.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely.

Lester L. Kintner, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-1

1 1 Kintuer

Division of Kractor Projects - III

IV, V and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page Mr. W. T. Cottle Entergy Operations, Inc.

cc:

Mr. T. H. Cloninger Vice President, Engineering Entergy Operations Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

Robert B. McGehee, Esquire Wise, Carter, Child, and Caraway P. O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Ficholas S. Reynolds, Esquire
Bishop, Cook, Purcell
and Reynolds
1400 L Street, N.W. - 12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005-3592

Mr. Jim T. LeGros Manager of Quality Assurance Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

Mr. Jack McMillan, Director Division of Solid Waste Management Mississippi Department of Natural Resources P. O. Box 10385 Jackson, Mississippi 39209

Mr. John G. Cesare Director, Nuclear Licensing Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 756 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

Mr. C. B. Hogg, Project Manager Bechtel Power Corporation P. O. Box 2166 Houston, Texas 77252-2166

Mr. H. O. Christensen Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 2, Box 399 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150 Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Mr. C. R. Hutchinson GGNS General Manager Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 756 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

The Honorable William J. Guste, Jr. Attorney General Department of Justice State of Louisiana P. O. Box 94005 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9005

Alton B. Cobb, M.D. State Health Officer State Board of Health P. O. Box 1700 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Office of the Governor State of Mississippi Jackson, Mississippi 39201

President, Claiborne County Board of Supervisors Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta St., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mike Morre, Attorney General Frank Spencer, Asst. Attorney General State of Mississippi Post Office Box 22947 Jackson, Mississippi 39225

Mr. Cerald W. Muench Vice President, Operations Support Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

Mr. Donald C. Hintz, Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

## REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF CATEGORY I STRUCTURES GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION

- 1.a) Provide a comparison of measured total and differential settlements with i) predicted and ii) allowable settlements for all seismic Category I structures, along with explanations for differences, if any, between the predicted and measured settlements for each seismic Category I structure.
  - b) Explain the consequences, if any, of the measured settlements that may exceed the allowable settlements for each seismic Category I structure.
  - c) In addition to evaluating the differential settlement between adjacent structures, determine the tilting of each structure. For example, according to Fig. 2.5.4-75, in the updated FSAR, there appears to be a difference in settlement of about 0.6 inches between the Auxiliary Building markers P-9 and P-15 which are on either side of the Containment.
    - Discuss the safety significance of this differential settlement of the Auxiliary Euilding, as recorded by markers P-9 and P-15.
  - d) Provide sample calculations showing the determination of allowable differential settlement of pipe penetrations in the buildings.
  - e) At two penetrations (Nos. 27, and DP-44A), the measured differential settlements have reached 80 percent of the allowable differential settlements, whereas, at eight other penetrations (shown in a table provided to the staff at the site on April 17, 1990), the ratios of actual to allowable differential settlements range from only 4% to 56%. All ten penetrations were installed in the same year, 1980. Perform an engineering review of the causes and effects of the relatively larger differential settlements at the two penetrations mentioned above.
- 2.a) Document the reasons for the destruction of settlement markers and for the unavailability of settlement data for extended periods of time in the case of some seismic Category I structures as noted from FSAR Fig. 2.5.4-75.
  - b) Describe the surveying procedures used to reestablish the destroyed settlement markers, paying special attention to:
    i) the quality assurance aspects of accurately transferring the settlement data from the destroyed markers to the new markers, and
    ii) the proper maintenance and assurance of the accuracy of permanent benchmarks used in settlement monitoring.

- c) Provide a report describing the proposed improvements in surveying procedures that were identified in the August 16, 1990 Summary of the Meetings on April 16-17, 1990.
- Discuss the results of groundwater monitoring at the site and provide an evaluation of the effects of fluctuation in groundwater levels, if any, on the stability and settlement of structures.
- 4. Provide draft amendments to the relevant updated FSAR Sections incorporating your responses to the questions above.