WILLIAM L. STEWART Senior Vice President PETITION RULE PRM 50 - 55

USNAC USNAC

Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060 804-273-3551

(30)

July 13, 1990 90 Jul 19 A10:51

DOCKETING & SECRETARY BRANCH



Mr. Samuel J. Chilk Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Serial No. 90-436 NL&P/LB:jmj

Dear Mr. Chilk:

NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF PETITION FOR RULEMAKING REVISIONS TO FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORTS. 10CFR50 55 FEDERAL REGISTER 18608 REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Virginia Power is pleased to respond to the request of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for comments on a petition for rulemaking concerning the frequency of Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) revisions under 10CFR50 (55 Federal Register 18608, May 3, 1990). We concur with the comments submitted to the NRC by the Nuclear Management and Resources Council, Inc. (NUMARC) on July 2, 1990 addressing the frequency of FSAR revisions.

We agree that the frequency of FSAR updates should be within nine months following a refueling outage as opposed to the six months requested by the petitioners. Due to the number and complexity of modifications that may be accomplished in a refueling outage, nine months is a more reasonable time period in which to prepare FSAR updates. Accordingly, Virginia Power endorses the following revised wording to 10 CFR 50.71 (e)(4) as suggested by NUMARC:

"Subsequent revisions shall be submitted no less frequently than nine months following the completion of a planned refueling outage and shall reflect all changes up to a maximum of six months prior to the date of filing. If two or more facilities share a common FSAR and the licensee elects to revise that FSAR following refueling outages, the licensee shall designate the refueling outage schedule of one of the facilities to establish the schedule for revisions of the common FSAR."

9008210184 900713 PDR PRM 50-55 PDR Virginia Power supports the NUMARC position that the petitioner's requested change would be beneficial to both the NRC and the industry. We appreciate the coportunity to comment on this issue and would be pleased to discuss our comments further with the appropriate NRC personnel.

Very truly yours,

W. L. Stewart

cc: United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900 Atlanta, GA 30323

> Mr. W. E. Holland NRC Senior Resident Inspector Surry Power Station

> Mr. M. S. Lesser NRC Senior Resident Inspector North Anna Power Station