

SEP 27 1982

Docket No. 50-341

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Donald A. Wells
Manager, Quality Assurance
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. K. R. Naidu of this office on July 21-23 and 27-30, 1982, of activities at Enrico Fermi Nuclear Station, Unit 2, authorized by NRC Construction Permit No. CPPR-87 and to the discussion of our findings with Messrs. W. J. Fahner, S. H. Noetzel, T. A. Alessi and others at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

No items of noncompliance with NRC requirements were identified during the course of this inspection.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractors) believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within ten (10) days from the date of this letter of your intention to file a request for withholding; and (b) submit within twenty-five (25) days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven (7) days are available for your review, please notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information

8210130368 820927
PDR ADOCK 05000341
G PDR

1E01

SEP 27 1982

which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons which are the bases for the claim that the information should be withheld from public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

W S Little

W. S. Little, Chief
Engineering Inspection Branch

Enclosure: Inspection Report
No. 50-341/82-11(DETP)

cc w/encl:
DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
Resident Inspector, RIII
Ronald Callen, Michigan
Public Service Commission
Harry H. Voigt, Esq.

~~RIII~~
Naidu/jp
9/9/82

RIII
W
Williams
9/24

RIII
CK
Konklin
9/21

RIII
W S Little
Little
9/24/82

~~RIII~~
~~Spessard~~

RIII
N
Norelius
9/24/82