

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY
JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
AND
PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

Operating License No. DPR-50
Docket No. 50-289
Technical Specification Change Request No. 118

This Technical Specification Change Request is submitted in support of Licensee's request to change Appendix B to Operating License No. DPR-50 for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1.

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

By *H. S. Hubel*
Director, TMI-1

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 30th day of September, 1982.

Darla Jean Berry
Notary Public

DARLA JEAN BERRY, NOTARY PUBLIC
MIDDLETOWN BORO, DAUPHIN COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JUNE 17, 1985
Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

DOCKET NO. 50-289
LICENSE NO. DPR-50

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION


This is to certify that a copy of Technical Specification Change Request No. 118 to Appendix B of the Operating License for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 1, has, on the date given below, been filed with the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and been served on the chief executives of Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania and Dauphin County, Pennsylvania by deposit in the United States mail, addressed as follows:

Mr. Jay H. Kopp, Chairman
Board of Supervisors of
Londonderry Township
R.D. #1, Geysers Church Road
Middletown, PA 17057

Mr. John E. Minnich, Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
of Dauphin County
Dauphin County Courthouse
Harrisburg, PA 17120

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

By



Director, TMI-1

Dated: September 30, 1982

I. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 118

The Licensee requests that Appendix B to the operational Technical Specification be deleted. This change supercedes Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR) 100A, LIL 209, submitted August 13, 1981. Therefore, TSCR 100A is hereby withdrawn.

II. REASON FOR THE CHANGE REQUEST

The existing Appendix B of License DPR-50 consists of four sections covering definitions, limiting conditions of operation, design features and operating practices, and administration. The requirements addressed in Appendix B are totally non-radiological in nature and are also covered in the Licensee's NPDES permit PA 000 9920 which has been issued by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (PaDER) under the authority of the Federal Water Control Act, as amended.

The proposed change is consistent with previous decisions of the NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board. See, e.g., Carolina Power and Light Co. (H. B. Robinson, Unit 2), ALAB-569, 10 NRC 557 (1979) and Tennessee Valley Authority (Yellow Creek Units 1 and 2), ALAB-515, 8 NRC 702 (1978). It is also similar to other technical specification changes previously approved by the staff for such facilities as Prairie Island, Units 1 and 2 (Amendment 54 and 48 to DPR-42 and DPR-60 respectively) and Calvert Cliffs, Units 1 and 2 (Amendments 70 and 53 to DPR-53 and DPR-69, respectively).

This change request will eliminate the duplication of effort by the NRC with respect to those non-radiological environmental concerns for which other regulatory agencies have statutory responsibility. The request will also be consistent with federal policy to eliminate the duplication of paperwork and regulation.

III. SAFETY EVALUATION JUSTIFYING CHANGE

This change does not involve new safety information of a type not previously considered by an NRC review of the facility nor does it involve a significant increase in the probability of consequences of an accident, or of a "significant" decrease in a safety margin of operation. This change, does not represent an unreviewed environmental question and will have no adverse environmental impact, as previously evaluated in the Final Environmental Statement of the License.

IV. AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION (10 CFR 170.22)

This change is administrative in nature. Since it is replacing a previous request for which a fee was paid, no additional fee is required.

V. IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD

In view of past NRC actions on similar requests by other licensees and the need to eliminate duplicate regulatory involvement, it is requested that the NRC act on this request by December 1, 1982.