August 30, 1982

In the Matter of
Long Island Lighting Company
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket No. 50-322 (OL)

NOTE TO ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

Enclosed is a copy of a letter from NRC Region I to Applicant dated August 9, 1982. The subject of the letter is Applicant's June 25, 1982 response to Inspection Report No. 50-322/82-08.

Sincerely,

Bernard M. Bordenick Counsel for NRC Staff

Enclosure: As Stated

Distribution:
Bordenick/Dewey/Perlis
Reis/Lessy
Christenbury/Scinto
F. Weinkam/R. Gilbert-330
J. Higgans
OELD Formal Files (2)

Black/Repka/Rawson Murray A. Schwencer-116C J. Norris-AR-5008 Chron Files Docket Files/PDR/LPDR

8209100095 820830 PDR ADOCK 05000322 G PDR

DS07

	Barrier and the second					
OFC	: OELD BMB:	:	:	:	:	:
	:: :BBordenick/sb:	:	:	:	:	
	::-:-::08/30/82 :	 :			:	
DITTE	.00/00/02 .	*	*	·		

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322

(OL)

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James L. Carpenter\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Matthew J. Kelly, Esq. Staff Counsel New York Public Service Commission 3 Rockefeller Plaza Albany, NY 12223 Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro 9 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, NY 11801

W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 1535 Richmond, VA 23212

Cherif Sedkey, Esq. Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Johnson & Hutchison 1500 Oliver Building Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Stephen B. Latham, Esq. John F. Shea, III, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 398
33 West Second Street Riverhead, NY 11901

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Herbert H. Brown, Esq.
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill,
Christopher & Phillips
1900 M Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Docketing and Service Section\*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

## COURTESY COPY LIST

Edward M. Barrett, Esq. General Counsel Long Island Lighting Company 250 Old County Road Mineola, NY 11501

Mr. Brian McCaffrey Long Island Lighting Company 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Marc W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02154

David H. Gilmartin, Esq. Suffolk County Attorney County Executive/Legislative Bldg. Veteran's Memorial Highway Hauppauge, NY 11788 Mr. Jeff Smith Shoreham Nuclear Power Station P.O. Box 618 North Country Road Wading River, NY 11792

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, CA 95125

Hon. Peter Cohalan Suffolk County Executive County Executive/Legislative Bldg. Veteran's Memorial Highway Hauppauge, NY 11788

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223 AUG 9 1982

Long Island Lighting Company ATTN: Mr. M. S. Pollock Vice President - Nuclear 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection 50-322/82-08

This refers to your letter dated June 25, 1982, in response to our letter dated May 27, 1982.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

We have reviewed the information provided in your letter dated June 25, 1982, regarding the classification of Item A.2 as a Violation. It is our position that integrated systems tests, such as the Reactor Pressure Boundary Leak Test require review and approval in accordance with commitments described in FSAR, Section 14.1 and the Startup Manual, Section 4.2. Based on our review, Item A.2 remains a Violation.

Based on discussions between Mr. E. Youngling of your staff and Mr. J. Higgins of our staff on July 27, 1982, we understand the following. Individual system tests will be performed using the appropriate type of test procedure such as preoperational test procedure, an acceptance test procedure or a Checkout and Initial Operations Test procedure. Individual system operation will be accomplished utilizing the Startup Interim Operating Instructions (IOIs) or the permanent Station Procedures. Additionally, significant integrated systems tests will be controlled using one of the above procedure types. The Joint Test Group will review planned integrated systems tests to determine if they are significant and as such require additional procedural coverage.

Your cooperation with us in this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Richard W. Starostecki Director
Division of Project and Resident
Programs

OFFICE

OFFICE

#### cc:

J. L. Smith, Manager of Special Projects
Edward M. Barrett, Esq.
Jeffrey L. Futter, Esq.
T. F. Gerecke, Manager, QA Department
J. Rivello, Plant Manager
Public Document Room (PDR)
Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
NRC Resident Inspector
State of New York

bcc:
Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
R. Gilbert, DOL, NRR
G. Rhoads, SRI



# LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

175 EAST OLD COUNTRY ROAD . HICKSVILLE, NEW YORK 11801

MILLARD S. POLLOCK

SNRC-720 June 25, 1982

Mr. Richard W. Starostecki, Director Division of Resident and Project Inspection U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

> NRC Inspection No. 82-08 Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 Docket No. 50-322

Dear Mr. Starostecki:

This letter responds to your letter of May 27, 1982, which forwarded the report of the routine inspection of activities authorized by NRC License No. CPPR-95, conducted by Mr. Higgins of your office on March 30-May 10, 1982. Your letter stated that it appeared that one of our activities was not conducted in full compliance with the NRC requirements. The apparent noncompliance and our response follow.

Apparent Noncompliance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion VI, and FSAR Sections 17.1.6A, 17.1.6D and 17.2.6

1. The LILCO Startup Manual, section 1.3, states that the Startup Manual is a controlled document. The LILCO Startup Manual, section 4.17.3 and 4.17.4, states that Startup Instructions are approved by the Lead Startup Engineer and included in Appendix 4A of the Startup Manual.

Contrary to the above, on April 27, 1982, Rev. 2 to Startup Instruction No. 2, dated April 8, 1982, which was not approved by the Lead Startup Engineer, was inserted in the Resource Center Startup Manual (Controlled Copy No. 36-1) and the approved Rev. 1 to Startup Instruction No. 2 was removed. Rev. 1 was still contained in Control Room and Quality Assurance Startup Manuals (Controlled Copies No. 22 and 43).

Mr. Richard W. Starostecki June 25, 1982 Page Two

## Corrective Action and Results

Startup Instruction No. 2, Revision 2, was approved by the Lead Startup Engineer, Electrical, and issued on April 29, 1982. Distribution of this revision to all controlled copies of the LILCO Startup Manual on site was completed on April 30, 1982.

## Steps Taken to Prevent Recurrence

A copy of the revised Startup Instruction was placed in the Project Resource Center copy of the LILCO Startup Manual by the Project Resource Center Test Engineer prior to its being approved by the Lead Startup Engineer. It was verified that this condition did not exist in any other copies of the Manual. To prevent recurrence, responsibility for incorporating revisions into all controlled copies of the Startup Manual has been assigned to the Administrative Aide to the Startup Manager.

## Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

Full compliance has been achieved.

2. The Shoreham FSAR, section 14.1, and the LILCO Startup Manual, section 4.2, describe the organization and system used for preparation, review, approval and execution of all test procedures for the preoperational phase.

Contrary to the above, on April 26, 1982, during the preoperational phase, the Inservice Reactor Pressure Boundary Leak Test was performed using a procedure not reviewed and approved per the above system.

#### General Comment

LILCO does not concur with the classification of this finding as a Violation. The Inservice Reactor Pressure Boundary Leak Test being conducted on April 26, 1982, was not a required test, but an informational test performed in accordance with good engineering practice to assure LILCO that construction and installation of the system was satisfactory to date.

In accordance with Startup Manual Section 5.3.8, 7.3 and Startup Instruction No. 1 "Preparation of Interim Operating Instructions" the approved station procedure SP22.009.01 (Rev. 0) "Inservice Reactor Pressure Boundary Leak Test"

Mr. Richard W. Starostecki June 25, 1982 Page Three

had been marked up by a Test Engineer to fit the existing plant conditions for this activity. This instruction was reviewed and approved by the Lead Startup Engineer - NSSS and the Assistant Startup Manager. The review and approval by the Assistant Startup Manager was one level of management higher than required by Startup Instruction No. 1. However, the marked up instruction was issued without the correct transmittal form and modification to the instruction number to indicate a Startup Station Procedure or SSP. The technical content of the procedure was correct.

The formal Operational Hydrotest will be performed subsequent to fuel load in accordance with Shoreham Technical Specification, Section 4.0.5.

Nevertheless the following corrective steps have been taken to prevent recurrence.

## Steps Taken to Prevent Recurrence

The use of the proper Interim Operating Instruction transmittal and numbering designations were reviewed with the Lead Startup Engineer - NSSS and the Assistant Startup Manager. Future Interim Operating Instructions will be transmitted and numbered properly. No further action is required as there was no technical impact on the procedure used.

Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

Full compliance has been achieved.

Very truly yours,

M. 5. Pollock

M. S. Pollock

Vice President-Nuclear

cc: Mr. J. Higgins All Parties STATE OF NEW YORK )
: ss.:
COUNTY OF NASSAU )

MILLARD S. POLLOCK, being duly sworn, deposes and says that I am a Vice President of Long Island Lighting Company, the owner of the facility described in the caption above. I have read the Notice of Violation dated May 27, 1982, and also the response thereto prepared under my direction dated June 25, 1982. The facts set forth in said response are based upon reports and information provided to me by the employees, agents, and representatives of Long Island Lighting Company responsible for the activities described in said Notice of Violation and in said response. I believe the facts set forth in said response are true.

Willard S. Pollock

Sworn to before me this 25th day of June, 1982.

Rosa Lee Oliveros

ROCA LEE OLIVEROS
Fotary Public, State of New York
No. 30-4708268
Qualified in Nassau County
Commission expires Mar. 30, 19