14691

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman Dr. Richard F. Cole Dr. Charles N. Kelber USNEC

'94 FEB 16 P3:30

DUCKETING & SECREDARY DUCKETING & SERVICE

# SERVED | EB 1 6 1994

D502

In the Matter of

Docket No. 50-440-OLA-3-R

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al.

(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1) ASLBP No. 93-683-02-0LA-3-R

February 16, 1994

#### ORDER

In our December 27, 1993 order admitting a contention and establishing a schedule, we reserved final judgment on the question whether the licensees should file a cross motion for summary judgment on the admitted contention until intervenors' motion for summary disposition had been filed. Having now reviewed the intervenors' motion and held a brief telephone conference with the parties, we conclude that the most appropriate and efficient procedure for resolving the admitted contention is for the licensees to file a cross motion for summary disposition. That motion should be supported fully with appropriate affidavits and other evidentiary material. It should also respond fully (including affidavits, if appropriate) to each of the Board

9403070055 940216 PDR ADDCK 05000440 G PDR questions in the December 27, 1993 order. The licensees, of course, are free to combine their answer to the intervenors' motion with their cross motion. The licensees' filing shall be filed by March 9, 1992.

In the telephone conference, the staff indicated that it planned only to file an answer to the intervenors' summary disposition motion. That staff answer also should respond fully (including affidavits, if appropriate) to each of the Board questions in the December 27, 1993 order. The staff's answer shall be filed by March 2, 1994.

The intervenors shall file an answer to the licensees' cross motion for summary disposition within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the licensees' motion. Should the intervenors wish to file a reply to the staff's answer, that reply shall be combined with their answer to the cross motion.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Nomas

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Bethesda, Maryland February 16, 1994 - 2 -

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1) Docket No.(s) 50-440-0LA-3

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing LB ORDER DATED 2/16/94 have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, except as otherwise noted and in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Sec. 2.712.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Colleen P. Woodhead, Esq. Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Administrative Judge Thomas M. Moore, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Charles N. Kelber Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Jay E. Silberg, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Susan L. Hiatt Petitioner Pro Se and Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy 8275 Munson Road Mentor, OH 44060

Dated at Rockville, Md. this 16 day of February 1994

Office of the Secretary of the Commission