'94 FEB 28 A11:33

The second second

0502

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Ivan W. Smith, Chairman Dr. Richard F. Cole Dr. Charles N. Kelber

SEE FEB 2 8 1994

In the Matter of CAMEO DIAGNOSTIC CENTRE, INC. Byproduct Material License No. 20-27908-01 EA 93-005

1 14712

Docket No. 30-29567-CivP

ASLEP No. 94-686-01-CivP

February 25, 1994

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The Board's order of February 23, 1994 raised a new question about the Staff's orders imposing a civil penalty. We suggested that alleged Violation I.B. was defective because it failed to allege that:

[T]he Licensee had a duty imposed by "statute or by the Commission's regulations, orders, or license conditions" to provide any information, accurate or otherwise, to the Commission. As matters now stand, the Licensee has not been notified in a formal charging document as to how its agent breached a <u>duty imposed by</u> <u>law</u> to provide complete and accurate answers to the NRC inspector during the telephone call on November 12, 1992 or to correct the inspector's understanding of the answers as alleged in modified alleged Violation I.B.

Memorandum and Order at 2-3 (unpublished).

9403070053 940225 PDR ADOCK 03029567 PDR PDR The foregoing was based upon a misreading 10 C.F.R. § 30.9(b) by the Board Chairman. We now understand that Section 30.9(b) itself imposes a regulatory duty upon a licensee to provide materially accurate and complete information when providing license-relevant information to the Commission.

As pertinent to this proceeding, Section 30.9(a) should be read as follows:

Information provided to the Commission . . . by a licensee [or information required by law or license condition to be maintained by an applicant or licensee] shall be complete and accurate in all material respects.

This is essentially the wording of alleged Violation I.B. Therefore, the Board vacates its Memorandum and Order of February 23, 1994 inviting briefs on the Board's question. We also lift the suspension of the time for the Licensee to respond to the Staff's Modified Order imposing civil penalty, dated February 15, 1994. Licensee must respond to the Modified Order on or before March 17, 1994.

> FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Smith, van Chairman

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Bethesda, Maryland February 25, 1994 - 2 -

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

CAMEO DIAGNOSTIC CENTRE, INC.

Docket No.(s) 30-29567-CIVP

(Byproduct Material License No. 20-27908-01, EA 93-005)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing LB M&O DATED 2/25/94 have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, except as otherwise noted and in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Sec. 2.712.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq. Catherine L. Marco, Esq. Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Administrative Judge Ivan W. Smith, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Charles N. Kelber Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Paul Rosenbaum, President Cameo Diagnostic Centre, Inc. 155 Maple Street Springfield, MA 01105

Dated at Rockville, Md. this 28 day of February 1994

Office of the Secretary of the Commission