

Entergy Operations, Inc.

P.O. Box 756 Phrt Gibson, MS 39150 Tal 601 437-2800

C. R. Hutchinson

Vice President Operations Orand Gult Nochay Statics

February 24, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:

Document Control Desk

SUBJECT:

Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Unit 1

Docket No. 50-416 License No. NPF-29

Technical Specification Log Readings Not Taken

Within Required Time Period

LER 94-001-00

GNRO-94/00032

Gentlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 94-001 which is a final report.

Yours truly

CRH/CDH attachment

cc:

Mr. R. H. Bernhard(w/a)
Mr. H. W. Keiser(w/a)
Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a)
Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a)
Mr. H. L. Thomas (w/o)

Mr. Stewart D. Ebneter (w/a)

Regional Administrator

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Region II

101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900

Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. P. W. O'Connor

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mail Stop 13H3

Washington, D.C. 20555

JEDD

Attachment to GNRO-94/00032

NRC FOR (5-92)	ORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95									
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPOND INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIRED FOR A REGARDING BURINFORMATION AND RECORDS MATTING, U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORS DC. 20535-0001, AND TO THE PAPE (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MAI WASHINGTON, DC 20503							XJEST 500 HRS FORWARD RDEN ESTIMATE TO THE MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB RY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON PERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT									
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1									DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-416			PAGE 01	(3) of 03				
	cal Spe		on Log	Readi	ngs	not T	aken V	Nit	hin Re	quired '	Time F	Period					
	EVENT DATE (6) LER NO				UMBER (6)			REP	REPORT DATE (OTHER FACILITI				IES INVOLVED (8)		
НТИОМ	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		UMBER		REVISION NUMBE		MONTH	DAY	YEAR		N/A			OOCKET NUMBER 05000	
01	27	94	94		001		00	manufacture of	02	24	94		N/A		DOCKET NUMBER 05000		
OPERA	TING		THIS R	EPORT	s sul	BMITTI	ED PUR	SUA	NT TO T	HE REQU	JIREME	NTS OF 10 C	CFR § (C	Check	one or more)	(11)	
MODE (9)		- 1	20.402(b)			20.405(c)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)			73	73.71(b)			
POWER LEVEL (10) 80			20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c)				
		80	20.405(a)(1)(ii)				50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)			OTHER			
			1 2	20.405(a)(1)(iii)			X 5		50.73(a)(2)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)		A)	(Specify in abstract below and in		
		20.405(a)(1)(iv)					50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			text, NRC Form 386A)				
				20.405(a)(1)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)		-	50.73(a)(2)(x)						
		at the sale of the sales	1 4	0.400(0			DEE CO			OR THIS	150/		2/(×)	-			
NAME					b.,	ICEIVE	SEE CC	JIN I				ude Area Code)		-			
Charle	s Holifie	eld / Lic	ensing	Engin	eer					-437-64							
						EAC	н сом	PO	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN PERSONS NAMED IN	A second frame consistency	and the same of the same of	RIBED IN	THIS R	EPO!	RT (13)		
CAUSE	SYSTEM COMPON									CAUSE	SYSTE				UFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
								-									
	5	SUPPLE	MENTA	L REPO	RTE	XPEC	TED (1	14)			EXF	PECTED	MON	ATH.	DAY	YEAR	
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)						the second contract of				SUB	MISSION TE (15)						

On January 27, 1994, at 1215, Control Room operators discovered that they had failed to take log readings required by Technical Specifications (TS) and a site Surveillance Procedure. Since some of these log readings are required to be taken every four hours and were over four hours past due, the 25% grace period allowed by TS 4.0.2 was exceeded and the event is reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

Immediate action was to take the overdue log readings which were completed at 1250. This resulted in no readings that were out of specification. The plant remained in a stable condition at 80% power throughout the event. The decreased power level was due to inclement weather conditions. This incident did not degrade the ability of plant systems or equipment to perform their intended function.

The Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (GGNS) Root Cause Analysis Group identified the root causes to be less than adequate personal accountability for assigned responsibilities on the part of the licensed operator and inadequate verbal communication between licensed operators on shift concerning how they would share assigned tasks. Corrective actions include discipline of the responsible licensed operators per company policy. Also, a Shift Supervisor sign-off will be added to the site Surveillance Procedure verifying timely completion of logs.

The missed log readings did not compromise the safe operation of GGNS Unit 1. The safety and health of the general public were not compromised by this event.

Attachment to GNRO-94/00032

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/96					
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBE 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DC 20585-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nucl	ear Station, Unit 1	000KET NUMBER (2) 05000-416	LER NUMBER (8) 94-001-00	PAGE (3) 02 OF 03			

A. Reportable Occurrence

Technical Specification 4.0.2 requires that each surveillance requirement be performed within its specified interval with a 25% maximum allowable extension. Since this event resulted in failure to perform a surveillance requirement within the allowable interval defined by TS 4.0.2, this occurrence constitutes noncompliance with the operability requirements and is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i).

B. Initial Condition

The reactor was in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 with reactor water level at 35 inches and reactor water temperature at 519 degrees F. GGNS administrative controls had the plant at a reduced power level of 80 percent due to inclement weather conditions at the time of occurrence. This decrease in power is to prevent inadvertent trips due to lightning strikes on or near the plant site.

C. Description of Occurrence

On January 27, 1994, at 1215, Control Room operators discovered that they had failed to take the Technical Specification (TS) log readings. Since some of these log readings are required to be taken every 4 hours and were over four hours past due, the 25% grace period allowed by TS 4.0.2 was exceeded. Immediate action was to perform the rounds which were completed at 1250 and indicated no out of specification readings. The plant remained in a stable condition at 80% power throughout the event. This incident did not degrade the ability of plant systems or equipment to perform their intended function.

D. Apparent Cause

An Incident Review Board (IRB) was convened which determined a preliminary cause to be lack of communication between the roving licensed operator and the extra licensed operator on shift. Additionally, the Root Cause Analysis Group independently identified the root causes to be:

-Less than adequate personal accountability for assigned responsibilities. Although procedurally tasked with the TS log readings, the roving licensed operator assumed that another operator had been taking the logs. As a result, the log readings did not get taken within the required time period.

Attachment to GNRO-94/00032

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/96					
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THE INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBI 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DC 20556-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuc	lear Station, Unit 1	05000-416	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PAGE (3) 03 OF 03			

D. Apparent Cause (cont'd)

- Inadequate discussion by on shift operators concerning how they would share assigned tasks. Both operators involved assumed the other would take the log readings. As a result, the log readings did not get taken within the required time period.

E. Corrective Actions

Corrective actions include:

- A Shift Supervisor sign-off is to be added to the site Surveillance Procedure verifying timely completion of the logs.
- Responsible Licensed Operators were disciplined per company policy.

F. Safety Assessment

The missed log readings did not compromise the safe operation of GGNS Unit 1. Upon taking the missed logs, there were no new out of specification readings indicated. The plant remained in a stable condition at 80% power due to inclement weather conditions throughout the event. This incident did not degrade the ability of plant systems or equipment to perform their intended function. The safety and health of the general public were not compromised by this event.