

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

RELEASED TO THE PDR
2/24/94
date initials

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION
FROM: COMMISSIONER DE PLANQUE
SUBJECT: SECY-93-342 - IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 1992 REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW NRC FEE POLICY

APPROVED (w/cmnt) DISAPPROVED _____ ABSTAIN _____

NOT PARTICIPATING _____ REQUEST DISCUSSION _____

COMMENTS: See attached comments.

280051

E. Gail de Planque
SIGNATURE

RELEASE VOTE

December 30, 1993

DATE

WITHHOLD VOTE

ENTERED ON "AS" YES No _____

DF02

Commissioner de Planque's comments on SECY-93-342

I commend the staff for a comprehensive report on this issue and approve transmittal of the proposed report to Congress and to OMB.

I am sympathetic to Commissioner Rogers' concern about maintaining staff skills and expertise, but I consider this to be an essential part of carrying out our mission and for appropriately serving licensees and the general public. Therefore, I maintain that the associated costs are not separable from other normal costs of doing business and should be recovered by fees.

If the report is to be revised for other reasons, I would strongly urge the staff to also provide a clearer rationale for the increase in fees (from FY92-93). See page 17 of the paper.

The transmittal letter to Congress should make it clear that the dollar amounts and percentages noted for those activities which NRC proposes be excluded from the fee base are representative of past amounts and should not be considered as a upper limit.

The transmittal letter should be circulated for Commission review and approval.