

AUG 23 1982

Docket No. 50-341

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Donald A. Wells
Manager, Quality Assurance
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

Gentlemen:

This refers to the special construction team assessment performed by Messrs. J. E. Konklin, J. H. Neisler, W. J. Key, B. H. Little, K. R. Naidu, and J. J. Harrison of this office on June 21 to July 2, 1982, of activities at the Enrico Fermi Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2, authorized by NRC Construction Permit No. CPPR-87 and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. D. A. Wells, and other members of your staff, at the conclusion of the team assessment.

The purpose of this special team assessment was to evaluate whether there are existing or potential construction problems at Fermi 2 similar to some of those identified at other plants under construction. The scope of the assessment included quality assurance program interfaces and overviews, corrective action systems, design change control, material traceability of installed structures and components, electrical cable installation, in-process inspection, and effectiveness of quality control inspectors. Within these limited areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and records, observation of work activities, and interviews with personnel. The activities that appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements are specified in the enclosed Appendix. A written response is required both for the items of noncompliance and for those other findings designated in the body of the report as requiring a response.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractors) believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within ten (10) days from the date of this letter of your intention to

AUG 23 1982

file a request for withholding; and (b) submit within twenty-five (25) days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven (7) days are available for your review, please notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons which are the bases for the claim that the information should be withheld from public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

W. J. Little
 C. E. Norelius, Director
 Division of Engineering and
 Technical Programs

Enclosures:

1. Appendix, Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Report No. 50-341/82-10(DETP)

cc w/encls:

DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
 Resident Inspector, RIII
 Ronald Callen, Michigan
 Public Service Commission
 Harry H. Voigt, Esq.

RIII
[Signature]
 Kopkin/sv
 8/10/82

RIII
[Signature]
 Key
 8/13/82

RIII
[Signature]
 Naidu
 8/13/82

RIII
[Signature]
 Harrison
 8/16/82

RIII
[Signature]
 Nessler
 8/16/82

RIII
[Signature]
 Little
 8/16/82

RIII
[Signature]
 WLittle

RIII
[Signature]
 Norelius