

GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388 Route 9 South Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388 609 971-4000 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

> C321-94-2020 February 10, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

Subject:

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219 Licensee Event Report

This letter forwards one (1) copy of Licensee Event Report 94-001.

Should you have any questions, please contact Mr. Terry Sensue, Oyster Creek Licensing Engineer at 609-971-4893.

John J. Barton

Vice President and Director

Ovster Creek

JJB/TS:jc

cc: Administrator, Region 1

Senior NRC Resident Inspector Oyster Creek NRC Project Manager

220042

9403030118 940210 PDR ADDCK 05000219

SEDD!

NRC FORM 366N (5-92)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

U.S. NUCLEA REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)

Oyster Creek, Unit 1

DOCKET MUMBER (2) 05000219 PAGE (3) 1 OF 3

TITLE (4) Core Spray Piping Exceeding the Code Allowable Stresses Due to Original Design Deficiency

EVENT DATE (5)			LER MUMBER (6)			REPO	RT DATE	(7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)			
нтиом			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER		
01			94	001	00	02	10	94	FACILITY NAME			
OPER	ATING	3.7	THIS R	REPORT IS SUBMITT	ED PURSUAN	T TO THE	REQUIRE	MENTS	OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or mo	re) (11)		
MODE (9)		N	20.	402(b)		20,405(c)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)		
POMER LEVEL (10)						50.36(0)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)		
						50.36(0)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER		
			20.405(a)(1)(iv) X			50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	Specify in		
						50.73(a	1)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	Abstract below and in Text,		
						50.73(a)(2)(ii	i)	50.73(a)(2)(x)	NRC Form 366A)		

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME: Sylvain L. Schwartz, Mech. Eng. Sr. II

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 609-971-4558

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER		REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
	***		i									
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							EXPECTED		MONTH	DAY	YEAR	
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					MO		SUBMISSION DATE (15)		09	01	94	

During the design process of a Core Spray piping modification, it was discovered that the Core Spray System 1 minimum recirculation piping existing configuration does not meet the seismic and thermal expansion criteria allowables specified in the UFSAR. The root cause of this condition was the inadequacy of the original design.

The safety significance is considered to be minimal, since the existing configuration meets the ASME Section III allowable seismic and thermal expansion criteria and satisfies the operability limits.

The Core Spray System 2 minimum recirculation piping existing configuration is presently being reviewed by Engineering. A supplement to this report will be submitted following completion of this review.

A modification in accordance with the integrated living schedule will change the subject piping configuration to meet the UFSAR commitments.

NRCFORM66A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) REVISION SEQUENTIAL. YEAR NUMBER NUMBER Oyster Creek, Unit 1

DATE OF DISCOVERY

05000219

PAGE (3)

2 OF 3

00

001

94

The condition described in this report was identified on January 13, 1994.

IDENTIFICATION OF DISCOVERY

The Core Spray System (EIIS-BM) 1 minimum recirculation valves (CFI-FSV) (i.e., V-20-92 and V-20-94) are not sufficiently supported for the design seismic loads. Also, the Core Spray System 1 minimum recirculation line is not flexible enough to accept the design thermal expansion. This condition is considered to be reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(ii).

CONDITION PRIOR TO DISCOVERY

At the time of discovery, the plant was operating at approximately full power. The condition has been present throughout the plant's operating history.

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE

The Core Spray System 1 minimum recirculation piping existing configuration does not meet the seismic and thermal expansion criteria allowables specified in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) Table 3.9-1, which is based on ANSI B31.1 code. The seismic plus maximum operating loads (i.e., dead weight and pressure) condition exceeds the code allowables by 2.33 times, using the design basis seismic response spectra. In addition, the thermal expansion load at the design temperature of 350°F, exceeds the code allowables by 4.87 times. This condition was discovered during the design process of a Core Spray piping modification.

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE

The cause of this condition was the inadequacy of the original piping design.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (5-92)APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION PAGE (3) FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) REVISION SEQUENTIAL YEAR NUMBER NUMBER Oyster Creek, Unit 1 3 OF 3 05000219 94 00 001

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE AND SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This condition is considered to have minimal safety significance for the following reasons:

- 1. An analysis using the most accurate seismic spectra currently available showed that the existing configuration is within the ASME Section III Level D allowable stress.
- 2. At the Core Spray System design temperature of 350°F, the configuration is acceptable for 30 cycles according to ASME Section III criteria. All evidence indicates that this pipe has not seen the design temperature specified in the analysis. Any significant back leakage past the parallel injection valves (CIF-INV) will be detected by the high pressure alarm (CIF-PA) and/or relief valve (CIF-RV) lifting alerting plant operators. There is no history of leakage with either the check valves (CIF-ISV) inside containment or the parallel injection valves. Therefore, no indication exists that it was ever overstressed.
- Recent visual inspection (January 14, 1994) of the most highly stressed weld indicates no abnormality.

Based on the above safety significance discussion, Core Spray System 1 is operable by determining that it satisfies ASME Section III criteria. In the unlikely event of a seismic occurrence or thermal transient, this system would not fail to perform its designed safety function.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The Core Spray System 2 minimum recirculation piping existing configuration is presently being reviewed to determine if it meets the seismic and thermal expansion criteria allowables specified in the UFSAR. A supplement to this report will be submitted following completion of this engineering review.

The Core Spray piping modification in accordance with the integrated living schedule will modify the existing configuration to meet the UFSAR commitments which is based on the ANSI B31.1 code.

SIMILAR EVENTS

LER 85-023, Emergency Service Water System Seismic Concerns

LER 86-014, Containment Spray System Seismic Concerns

LER 86-021, Plant Systems Did Not Meet Seismic Design Basis