

Entergy Operations, Inc.

P.O. Box B Kilona LA 7006 Sal Kou 730 677

R. F. Burski

Dendor, Nuclear Strets Westford 1

W3F1-94-0016 A4.05 PR

February 14, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject:

Waterford 3 SES Docket No. 50-382 License No. NPF-38

NRC Inspection Report 93-34
Reply to Notice of Violation

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10CFR2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. hereby submits in Attachment 1 the response to the violation identified in Appendix A of the subject Inspection Report.

If you have any questions concerning this response, please contact C.J. Thomas at (504) 739-6531.

Very truly yours,

B.E. Bursk Director

Nuclear Safety

PFB/CJT/ssf .ttachment 181144

cc:

L.J. Callan (NRC Region IV). D.L. Wigginton (NRC-NRR),

R.B. McGehee, N.S. Reynolds, NRC Resident Inspectors Office

## ATTACHMENT 1

# ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC. RESPONSE TO THE VIOLATION IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A OF INSPECTION REPORT 93-34

## VIOLATION NO. 9334-02

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978.

Section 7.e(1) of Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33 lists access to radiation areas and the implementation of an ALARA program as activities to be covered by radiation protection procedures.

Section 4.11.5 of Administrative procedure HP-001-101, Revision 9, "ALARA Program Implementation," requires that individual employees be responsible for reading, understanding, and signing the Radiation Work Permit (RWP) and strictly adhering to RWP instructions and requirements.

Standing RWP 93000007 required that health physics personnel be contacted prior to entering radiological restricted areas. The overheads of the radiation controlled area were posted as a radiological restricted area at the entrance to the radiation controlled area.

Contrary to the above, on November 23, 1993, a maintenance technician made entries into the overhead on the +46 foot level of the radiation controlled area without contacting health physics personnel prior to making the entries.

#### RESPONSE

## (1) Reason for the Violation

Entergy Operations, Inc. admits this violation and believes that the root cause was inappropriate action in that the maintenance technician assumed incorrectly that the overhead on the +46 foot level of the radiation controlled area (RCA) was an unrestricted area. Although the +46 foot level of the RCA is generally clean, Radiation Protection (RP) has recognized that the internals of ventilation filter housings and duct work in the area may become contaminated. As a result, the overheads (defined as greater than eight feet from the floor) in that area have been designated radiological restricted areas. Furthermore, all overheads in the RCA

are designated radiological restricted areas and administrative controls have been established that require workers to contact RP prior to entering those areas. Thus, the maintenance technician acted inappropriately in that he violated administrative controls the two times he entered the overhead without first contacting RP.

A contributing cause of this event is poor communication. An RP technician at the scene observed the maintenance technician when he first entered the overhead. However, after noting the infraction, the RP technician did not clearly communicate that the overhead is a radiological restricted area and that RP should be contacted prior to entry. Consequently, the maintenance technician did not contact RP prior to entering the area a second time. Moreover, the RP technician was not present to observe the second entry due to other responsibilities in the area. If the RP technician had clearly communicated the concern to the maintenance technician, then the second inappropriate entry may have been prevented.

## (2) Corrective Steps That Have Been Taken and the Results Achieved

On November 23, 1993, the RP technician performed a survey to determine the contamination levels in the overhead. Survey results show that the overhead was radiologically clean at that time (e.g., loose contamination levels were less than 1,000 dpm/100 cm $^2$  and fixed contamination levels were less than 2 mrem/hr).

The maintenance technician was counseled in accordance with the Improving Human Performance Program. RP and Maintenance conducted a post job briefing to determine the consequences of this event. No contamination concerns were identified. Additionally, this event was discussed with Mechanical Maintenance personnel at the December safety meeting.

# (3) Corrective Steps Which Will Be Taken to Avoid Further Violations

Three corrective steps will be taken to avoid further violations. First, discussions will be held with RP personnel to accentuate this event and to remind them to assess the radiological consequences of overhead areas before granting workers entry. Second, RP will post additional signs for entering overheads in the RCA. Finally, this event will be discussed during the February site wide safety meetings to accentuate lessons learned.

(4) Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

Full compliance will be achieved by February 28, 1994.

WATERFORD 3 DOCUMENT ROUTING FORM 2/8/94 DATE DOCNO W3F1-94-0016 President & CEC DOCDATE D- 14 - 14 Subject NRC Inspection Report Chief Operating Officer 93-34 RESTRICA Vice President Operations, Waterford 3 AP \*Site Administrative Programs Coordinator (NRC) Document Control Desk General Manager - Plant Operations 494
 Operations & Maintenance Wanager AP AP AP \*\*Technical Services Manager R.F. Burski (CJT) \*\*Planning & Scheduling Manager From \*\*Security & General Support Manager \*\*industrial Safety Specialist J.G. Hoffpauir DR Comments/Initials Director Plant Modification & Construction DUE TO NRC: 02/18/94 (4) 2/2/24 \*\*Modification Management Supervisor DUE TO GMPO: 02/14/94 02/11/94 \*\*Project Management Coordinator \*\*Construction Manager \*\*Modification Sched. & Estimating Supv Resolution/Initials \*Director Site Support \*\*Site Business Services Manager \*\*Emergency Planning & Admin Manager \*\*Management Prog. & Excellence Coord \*\*Materials, Purchasing & Contracts Mgr. \*Director Nuclear Safety For Regulatory Correspondence Only \*\*Licensing Manager \*\*Technical Support Coordinator TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ORIGINATOR .. Policy & Directives Coordinator 1. This document contains commitments .. Quality Assurance Manager X Yes. Commitment 6 \*\*Operational Experience Engineering Mar. completed 627 2/8/94 □ No JA 3/8/94 DR T.J. Gaudet ·Training Manager 2. This document will cause a change to \*\*Operations Training Supervisor the FSAR Yes, License Document Change \*\*Simulator Training Supervisor Request completed \*\*Maintenance Training Supervisor \* \* Technical Training Supervisor 3. This document requires validation \*\*Engrg. Training & Accred. Supervisor in accordance with W4 802 X Yes. The validation process is complete Yes, However the process is incomplete Director Design Engineering No \*\*Safety & Engineering Analysis Manager \*\*Electrical/I&C Principal Engineer Licensing Concurrence: . Mechanical/Civil Principal Engineer

ACR - ACTION REQUESTED

\*\*Procurement/Programs Engineering Mgr

DR - DOCUMENT REVIEW SI - SIGNATURE

OR

DR

DR

ILN - INCOMING LOG NUMBER

AC - ACTION

· Others

FI - FILE

CC - COPY REQUIRED

AP - APPROVAL

IN - FOR INFORMATION DOCNO - DOCUMENT NUMBER

CD - CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW OR - ORIGINATOR

C.J. Thomas

J.A. Ridgel

D.E. Marpe

DOCDATE - DOCUMENT DATE Rev Date 27(93

Return to

Jeff Thomas #6531