FFFR 0.5 199a

Dear Mr. Quillin:

I am responding to your letter to me, dated January 21, 1994, in which you raised concerns with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposed performance-based license condition. Your particular concern was that uranium recovery licensees would be provided a "great deal of discretion without NRC input." Similar concerns were recently raised by the State of New Mexico, and I have enclosed a copy of our response to it which you may find helpful as background information. As noted in that response, the process being outlined in the performance-based license condition is consistent with the program being implemented by NRC for other licensees, and it has several ways NRC can ensure that the condition is being properly implemented. Therefore, we believe that the approach being proposed for uranium recovery licensees will not abrogate the NRC's responsibilities.

With respect to your recommendation that the condition be procedures based, NRC is presently undertaking an effort to determine ways in which the regulatory burden for uranium recovery licensees can be reduced. As part of this process, NRC has received several suggestions on how to achieve this objective. The proposal contained in your letter may offer insight into additional ways this can be done. However, without a more detailed presentation of what such a condition would look like, and how it could be implemented in areas such as updating of sureties for inflation, we are unable to respond to your recommendation. Therefore, I encourage you to provide more specifics on the contents of your proposed condition, a detailed discussion of how it would be implemented, and several examples.

If you have any questions on the NRC's regulatory reduction effort, please feel free to contact the cognizant NRC manager, Mr. Joseph Holonich. Mr. Holonich can be reached at (301) 504-3439.

Sincerely,

Malcolm R. Knapp, Director Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

ADMINISTRATION ...

Enclosure: As stated cc: Attached List

TICKET: NMSS 94-026

DISTRIBUTION: Central File LLWM r/f JAustin JSurmeier KDattilo NMSS Dir. r/f NMSS r/f LLWM t/f MFliegel DGillen CPoland t/f Mark Small Boxes in Concurrence Block to Define Distribution Copy Preference. In small Box on "OFC" line enter: C = Cover; E = Cover & Enclosure; N= No Copy

OFC	LLUR	LUM G Y	OSP	PMDA N	
NAME	ПРЕМІТОН	JGREEVES	TOMBS VIC	MKNAPP No-	
DATE	20194	7.13194	2 /3 /94	215194	/ /94

S:\LLWMTYPE\JOAN\N-026,JJH OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
In small Box on "DATE" line enter: M = E-Mail Distribution Copy; H = Hard Copy

P'R: YES X NO ___ Category: Proprietary ___ or CF Only ___

9402280262 940205 PDR WASTE WM-3 PDR NH4

FFR 0.5 1994

Dear Mr. Quillin:

I am responding to your letter to me, dated January 21, 1994, in which you raised concerns with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposed performance-based license condition. Your particular concern was that uranium recovery licensees would be provided a "great deal of discretion without NRC input." Similar concerns were recently raised by the State of New Mexico, and I have enclosed a copy of our response to it which you may find helpful as background information. As noted in that response, the process being outlined in the performance-based license condition is consistent with the program being implemented by NRC for other licensees, and it has several ways NRC can ensure that the condition is being properly implemented. Therefore, we believe that the approach being proposed for uranium recovery licensees will not abrogate the NRC's responsibilities.

With respect to your recommendation that the condition be procedures based, NRC is presently undertaking an effort to determine ways in which the regulatory burden for uranium recovery licensees can be reduced. As part of this process, NRC has received several suggestions on how to achieve this objective. The proposal contained in your letter may offer insight into additional ways this can be done. However, without a more detailed presentation of what such a condition would look like, and how it could be implemented in areas such as updating of sureties for inflation, we are unable to respond to your recommendation. Therefore, I encourage you to provide more specifics on the contents of your proposed condition, a detailed discussion of how it would be implemented, and several examples.

If you have any questions on the NRC's regulatory reduction effort, please feel free to contact the cognizant NRC manager, Mr. Joseph Holonich. Mr. Holonich can be reached at (301) 504-3439.

Sincerely, Original Contract

Malcolm R. Knapp, Director Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

Enclosure: As stated cc: Attached List

TICKET: NMSS 94-026

DISTRIBUTION: Central File LLWM r/f JAustin JSurmeier KDattilo NMSS Dir. r/f NMSS r/f LLWM t/f MFliegel DGillen CPoland t/f Mark Small Boxes in Concurrence Block to Define Distribution Copy Preference. In small Box on "OFC" line enter: C = Cover; E = Cover & Enclosure; N= No Copy

OFC	LLUA V	LUM G Y	OSP	PMDA - N	
NAME	THOS ON THE	JEREBVES	TCOMBS VC	MKNAPP Non-	
DATE	D 17 /94	17/3/94	2 /3 /94	215/94	/ /94

S:\LLWMTYPE\JOAN\N-026 JJH OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
In small Box on "DATE" line enter: M = E-Mail Distribution Copy; H = Hard Copy

PDR: YES X NO __ Category: Proprietary __ or CF Only __

IG: YES ____ NO _X Delete file after distribution: Yes _X No __

Robert M. Quillin

ATTACHED LIST DATED

State of Washington State of Texas State of Illinois

State of Utah

State of New Mexico

State of Wyoming

State of Nebraska