

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station PO. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775

JE22

February 11, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: River Bend Station - Unit 1 Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47 Licensee Event Report 50-458/94-004-00 File Nos. : G9.5, G9.25.1.3

RBG-40063

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), enclosed is the subject report.

Very truly yours,

James. J. Fisicaro Manager - Safety Assessment and Quality Verification River Bend Nuclear Group

Enclosure

181174

9402280091 940211 PDR ADDCK 05000458 PDR PDR cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> NRC Resident Inspector P.O. Box 1051 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Mr. C.R. Oberg Public Utility Commission of Texas 7800 Shoal Creek Blvd., Suite 400 North Austin, TX 78757

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Radiation Protection Division P.O. Box 82135 Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2135 ATTN: Administrator

MRC FORM 366 U.S. MUCLEAR REGULATORY COMM (5-92)							COMIN	ISSION	APPROVED BY ONE NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
(See	e revers	P181 T		EVENT REF			each t	bloc	k)	THIS IN FORWARD THE INI (MNBB 7 WASHING REDUCTI	ED BURDEN PE IFORMATION CO COMMENTS RE FORMATION AND 714), U.S. NU TON, DC 20555 ON PROJECT ENT AND BUDGE	LLECTION GARDING RECORDS CLEAR REG 5-0001, AN (3150-0	REQUEST BURDEN MANAGI ULATORY ND TO T 104),	1: 50.0 HRS ESTIMATE T EMENT BRANC COMMISSION HE PAPERWOR OFFICE 0	
FACILITY NAME (1) RIVER BEND STATION								DOCKET MUMBER (2) 05000458			1	PAGE (3) OF 4			
TITLE (4)	UN1D CONV	ENTIFIED EYED SUF	NON-CONSERVATIV	E ALARM SE	TPOINT	FOR L QUALT	1QUI TY T	D RADI	ASTE GRO CAL REVIE	SS ACTIVITY M	and a set of the second s	Harmon and the second	THE OWNER AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.	
EVEN	T DATE	(5)	1	LER NUMBER (6)	REI	PORTD	ATE	(7)	I	OTHER FACIL	ITIES INV	OL VED	(8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	1	1	AY	YEAR	FACILITY	and the second		DOCKET NUMBER		
01	13	94	94	004	0.0	02	1	1	94	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER			
OPERA	TING	1	THIS R	EPORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUAN	T TO TH	E REQU	UIRE	MENTS	OF 10 CFI	R §: (Check	one or mo	re) (11)	
NODE	(9)		J	402(b)		20.40	and the Art of the Owner, where the				50.73(a)(2)(iv)		71(b)	
POM		071	Margaret Margaret	405(a)(1)(i)		50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c)			
LEVEL	(10)	a construction of a	all provide the second second second	405(a)(1)(ii)			(c)(2)				50,73(a)(2)()	and the second s	(TO		
			Description	405(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73					50.73(a)(2)((Specify in Abstract below			
			I market and	405(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(Constitution in a second distance when your internation	and in Text,		
			20.	405(a)(1)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)		x.)	NRC Form 366A)		
					LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR	THIS	LER	(12)	and the second				
name DAVII	D N.	LORI	FING,	SUPERVISO	R - NU	ICLEA	R L	IĊ	ENSI	NG	(504) 38			ea Code)	
			CO	PLETE ONE LINE F	OR EACH CO	MPONEN	FAIL	URE	DESCR	BED IN T	HIS REPORT (1	3)			
CAUSE	SYSTEM C		OMPONENT	INFN I MONIFOLUSER		ALE DS	C	CA	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER		REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
		ar an air an	SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT EXPE	CTED (14)	and the second	and the second	a linear de rest	ALCONE COMMON	E	PECTED	MONTH	DA	Y YEAR	
YES (If)	yes, co	mplete	EXPECTE	SUBMISSION DATE	5.	X	MO			SLR	TE (15)				

BSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

During a Quality Assurance audit of the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) on January 13, 1994, a non-conservative alarm setpoint for the liquid radwaste gross activity monitor, 1RMS-RE107, was identified. The alarm set point was non-conservative with respect to the limits required by Technical Specification 3.11.1.1 and the ODCM. This condition is contrary to Technical Specification 3.3.7.10.

An error in the setpoint calculation existed in the ODCM from 1985 until the calculation was revised in June 1993. During this time period, supervision did not adequately convey expectations concerning quality technical reviews nor assure quality technical reviews for seven different revisions of the ODCM. In addition, the ODCM change in June 1993 was not adequately communicated to the department responsible for the implementing procedure. This procedure has been revised to assure that the alarm setpoint will be properly set for each batch release. Administrative controls combined with the results of a condition report database search provide confidence that the concentrations of both gamma and beta emitting radionuclides released to the unrestricted area have been below the limits specified in Technical Specification 3.11.1.1, 10CFR20, Appendix B and 10CFR50, Appendix I.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. WU	ICLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY CMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
	CONTINUATI	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WI THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HR FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRAN (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWO REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACILITY NAM	E (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER WUMBER (6))	PAGE (3)	
RIVER BEND STATION	TO STATION	05000458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
ATAR DEND STATION	D SINILON		94	004	00	2 OF 4	

REPORTED CONDITION

During a Quality Assurance audit of the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) on January 13, 1994, with the reactor at 71 percent power (Operational Condition 1), a non-conservative alarm (*RA*) setpoint for the liquid radwaste gross activity monitor (*MON*), 1RMS-RE107, was identified. The alarm setpoint was non-conservative with respect to the limits required by Technical Specification 3.11.1.1 and the ODCM. This condition is contrary to Technical Specification 3.3.7.10; therefore, this report is submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by Technical Specifications.

INVESTIGATION

During the QA audit it was found that the alarm setpoint for monitor 1RMS-RE107 was not determined in accordance with the methodology in the ODCM. Following identification of the condition, the Shift Supervisor immediately suspended the liquid release that was in progress and declared the monitor inoperable.

The monitor alarm setpoint calculation methodology, used in the ODCM, was revised in June 1993. The methodology used in the ODCM prior to the June 1993 revision was in error and established the non-conservative alarm setpoint for monitor 1RMS-RE107. The Chemistry procedure implementing the setpoint calculation was not revised in June 1993 to be consistent with the ODCM. Therefore, the implementing procedure and the ODCM provided different values for the alarm setpoint. This discrepancy led to the discovery of the condition.

The error in the ODCM from 1985 to June 1993 consisted of an error in one of the terms in the setpoint equation. It was based on accounting for total activity, the activity associated with the sum of the beta and gamma emitting radionuclides. The detector for monitor 1RMS-RE107 is designed to detect gamma radiation only. Therefore, when the beta activity was added to the gamma activity, the setpoint established was non-conservative.

An issue related to this event is that the Chemistry procedure implementing the alarm setpoint was not identified as requiring revision when the ODCM was revised. If this had occurred, it would have presented an opportunity to identify the discrepancy and correct the setpoint in June 1993.

The June 1993 revision of the ODCM was to incorporate new computer software based on the methodology described in NUREG-0133, "Preparation of Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. MUK	LEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
	CONTINUATIO	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORH REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACILITY NAM	Æ (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)	
RIVER BEND STATION		05000450	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	and a second	
RIVER DENO DIMITON	ND SIRIION	05000458	94	004	00	3 OF 4	

for Nuclear Power Plants. A Guide Manual for Users of Standard Technical Specifications." The dose calculations in the ODCM were verified to be in accordance with NUREG-0133. All gaseous effluent setpoints have been verified to be in accordance with the ODCM using NUREG-0133 methodology. NUREG-0133 does not provide a formula for liquid effluent setpoint determination and the new software does not calculate this setpoint; therefore, the calculation of the alarm setpoint for 1RMS-RE107 was not verified in June 1993.

ROOT CAUSE

Personnel apparently did not recognize the non-conservatism introduced into the original ODCM calculation methodology by accounting for total activity when the ODCM was developed. A total of seven revisions of the ODCM did not result in detection of the error. Root cause analysis revealed the following causal factors:

- Supervision did not adequately convey expectations concerning quality technical reviews and assure quality technical reviews for revisions of the ODCM.
- Analysis of the failure to revise the Chemistry procedure implementing the alarm setpoint revealed that the ODCM change was inadequately communicated to Chemistry. In addition, the Chemistry procedure and the ODCM were not cross-referenced.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

The procedure establishing the alarm setpoint for monitor 1RMS-RE107 has been revised to assure that the alarm setpoint will be properly set for each batch release.

A cross-reference between the ODCM and the implementing procedure will be developed as a reference to assure consistency between the documents following changes. Revisions to either document will require cross-disciplinary reviews by Chemistry and Radiological Engineering personnel.

A review of the ODCM will be performed to determine if other procedures can be affected by revisions. As appropriate, cross-reference documentation will be developed. These actions will be completed by March 15, 1994.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CONDISSIO	N	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONTI	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WIT THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE T THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANC (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWOR REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE O MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NURBER (2)	And Address of the Ad	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
RIVER BEND STATION	05000450	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		4	
ALVER DERE STRILON	05000458	94	004	00	4 OF		

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

Discharges monitored by 1RMS-RE107 are exclusively released in batches and analyzed prior to release. The most likely scenarios which could result in a release of concentrations in excess of the pre-release determination of a given batch are (1) release from the wrong tank and (2) water addition to a tank during a release. Based on review of the condition report database, there is no record that either of these events have occurred. The administrative controls for batch releases require that (1) a sample be analyzed and (2) the release rate calculations and discharge line valving be verified before the liquid can be discharged. These administrative controls are similar to the actions required by Technical Specification 3.3.7.10-1 when the monitor is inoperable. The difference between them is that the action statement requires independent samples and two individuals to independently verify the release rate calculations and discharge line valving. The administrative controls combined with the results of the condition report database search provide confidence that the concentrations of both gamma and beta emitting radionuclides released to the unrestricted area have been below the limits specified in Technical Specification 3.11.1.1, 10CFR20, Appendix B and 10CFR50, Appendix I.

Note: Energy Industry Identification System codes are indicated in the text as (*XX*).