

ATTACHMENT 3 to TXX-94008

AFFECTED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION PAGES
(NUREG - 1468)

Pages 1-5, 3/4 2-10, 3/4 2-11, INSERT A, B3/4 2-5 and
INSERT B (Pages from NUREG-1431, B3.2-42 through B3.2-48
marked up for insertion into the CPSES Technical Specification BASES)

DEFINITIONS

PRIMARY PLANT VENTILATION SYSTEM

1.24 A PRIMARY PLANT VENTILATION SYSTEM shall be any system designed and installed to reduce gaseous radioiodine or radioactive material in particulate form in effluents by passing ventilation or vent exhaust gases through charcoal adsorbers and/or HEPA filters for the purpose of removing iodines or particulates from the gaseous exhaust stream prior to the release to the environment. Such a system is not considered to have any effect on noble gas effluents.

PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM

1.25 The PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM (PCP) shall contain the current formulas, sampling, analyses, tests, and determinations to be made to ensure that processing and packaging of solid radioactive wastes based on demonstrated processing of actual or simulated wet solid wastes will be accomplished in such a way as to assure compliance with 10 CFR Parts 20, 61, and 71, State regulations, burial ground requirements, and other requirements governing the disposal of solid radioactive waste.

PURGE - PURGING

1.26 PURGE or PURGING shall be any controlled process of discharging air or gas from a confinement to maintain temperature, pressure, humidity, concentration or other operating condition, in such a manner that replacement air or gas is required to purify the confinement.

QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO

1.27 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO shall be the ratio of the maximum upper half excore detector calibrated output to the average of the upper half excore detector calibrated outputs, or the ratio of the maximum lower half excore detector calibrated output to the average of the lower half excore detector calibrated outputs, whichever is greater. With one excore detector inoperable, the remaining three detectors shall be used for computing the average.

RATED THERMAL POWER

1.28 RATED THERMAL POWER shall be a total reactor core heat transfer rate to the reactor coolant of 3411 Mwt.

REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME

1.29 The REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME shall be the time interval from when the monitored parameter exceeds its Trip Setpoint at the channel sensor until loss of stationary gripper coil voltage.

REPORTABLE EVENT

1.30 A REPORTABLE EVENT shall be any of those conditions specified in 10 CFR 50.73.

With one excore detector inoperable and power above 75% RTP, the ^{usable} ₁ incore detectors shall be used to determine quadrant power and average power based on the relationship between incore and excore power using the _{most recent flux maps}.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3/4.2.4 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.4 The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO shall not exceed 1.02.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1, above 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER*.

ACTION:

a. With the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO determined to exceed 1.02:

1. Calculate the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO at least once per hour until either:
 - a) The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO is reduced to within its limit, or
 - b) THERMAL POWER is reduced to less than 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER.
2. Within 2 hours either:
 - a) Reduce the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO to within its limit, or
 - b) Reduce THERMAL POWER at least 3% from RATED THERMAL POWER for each 1% of indicated QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO in excess of 1 and similarly reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux-High Trip Setpoints within the next 4 hours.
3. Verify that the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO is within its limit within 24 hours after exceeding the limit or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours and reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux-High Trip Setpoints to less than or equal to 55% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours; and
4. Identify and correct the cause of the out-of-limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER; subsequent POWER OPERATION above 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER may proceed provided that the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO is verified within its limit at least once per hour for 12 hours or until verified acceptable at 95% or greater RATED THERMAL POWER.

[INSERT A]

*See Special Test Exceptions Specification 3.10.2.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.4.1 The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO shall be determined to be within the limit above 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER by:

- a. Calculating the ratio at least once per 7 days when the alarm is OPERABLE, ~~and~~
- b. Calculating the ratio at least once per 12 hours during steady-state operation when the alarm is inoperable, and

4.2.4.2 The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO shall be determined to be within the limit when above 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER with one Power Range channel inoperable by using the movable incore detectors to confirm indicated QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO at least once per 12 hours by either:

- a. Using the four pairs of symmetric thimble locations or
- b. Using the Movable Incore Detection System to monitor the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO.

- c. Calculating the ratio at least once per 12 hours when above 75% RATED THERMAL POWER with one Power Range channel inoperable.

INSERT "A"

1.
 - a) Within 2 hours, reduce THERMAL POWER by at least 3% from RATED THERMAL POWER for each 1% of QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO in excess of 1,
 - b) At least once per 12 hours, calculate the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO and reduce THERMAL POWER by at least 3% from RATED THERMAL POWER for each 1% of QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO in excess of 1, and
 - c) Within 24 hours, and once per 7 days thereafter, confirm that the Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_o(Z)$, is within its limit by performing Surveillance Requirement 4.2.2.2 and confirm that Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor, $F_{\Delta H}^N$, is within its limit by performing Surveillance Requirement 4.2.3.2.;
2. Prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of Action a.1:
 - a) Re-evaluate the safety analyses and confirm that the results remain valid for the duration of operation under this condition, and then
 - b) Calibrate excore detectors to show zero QPTR;
3. After Action a.2 is completed and within 24 hours of reaching RATED THERMAL POWER, or within 48 hours of increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of ACTION a.1, confirm that $F_o(Z)$ is within its limit by performing Surveillance Requirement 4.2.2.2 and that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is within its limit by performing Surveillance Requirement 4.2.3.2; and
4. If the requirements of a.1, a.2 or a.3 above are not met, reduce THERMAL POWER to $\leq 50\%$ of Rated Thermal Power within the next 4 hours.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITSBASESHEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR and NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR (Continued)

When an F_0 measurement is taken, an allowance for both experimental error and manufacturing tolerance must be made. An allowance of 5% is appropriate for a full-core map taken with the Incore Detector Flux Mapping System, and a 3% allowance is appropriate for manufacturing tolerance.

The heat flux hot channel factor $F_0(Z)$ is measured periodically and increased by a cycle and height dependent power factor appropriate to Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC) operation, $W(Z)$, to provide assurance that the limit on the heat flux hot channel factor, $F_0(Z)$, is met. $W(Z)$ accounts for the effects of normal operation transients within the AFD band and was determined from expected power control maneuvers over the range of burnup conditions in the core. The $W(Z)$ function is provided in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT per Specification 6.9.1.6.

When $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is measured, an adjustment for measurement uncertainty must be included for a full-core flux map taken with the Incore Detector Flux Mapping System.

$F_0(Z)$ should be measured with the reactor core at, or near, equilibrium conditions. Therefore, the effects of transient maneuvers, such as power increases, should be permitted to decay to the extent possible while assuring that flux maps are taken in accordance with the specified surveillance schedules.

3/4.2.4 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO (QPTR)

INSERT "B"

The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO limit assures that the radial power distribution satisfies the design values used in the power capability analysis. Radial power distribution measurements are made during STARTUP testing and periodically during power operation.

The limit of 1.02, at which corrective action is required, provides DNB and linear heat generation rate protection with x-y plane power tilts. A limit of 1.02 was selected to provide an allowance for the uncertainty associated with the indicated power tilt.

The 2-hour time allowance for operation with a tilt condition greater than 1.02 is provided to allow identification and correction of a dropped or mis-aligned control rod. In the event such action does not correct the tilt, the margin for uncertainty on F_0 is reinstated by reducing the maximum allowed power by 3% for each percent of tilt in excess of 1.

For purposes of monitoring QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO when one excore detector is inoperable, the moveable incore detectors are used to confirm that the normalized symmetric power distribution is consistent with the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO. The incore detector monitoring is done with a full incore flux map or two sets of four symmetric thimbles.

B 3.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

B 3.2.4 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO (QPTR)

B 3/4.2.4

BASES

[INSERT "S"]

BACKGROUND

The QPTR limit ensures that the gross radial power distribution remains consistent with the design values used in the safety analyses. Precise radial power distribution measurements are made during startup testing, after refueling, and periodically during power operation.

LCO 3/4.2.1

LCO 3/4.1.3.6

The power density at any point in the core must be limited so that the fuel design criteria are maintained. Together, ~~LCO 3.1.6~~, "AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD)," ~~LCO 3.1.7~~, and ~~LCO 3.1.7~~, "Control Rod Insertion Limits," provide limits on process variables that characterize and control the three dimensional power distribution of the reactor core. Control of these variables ensures that the core operates within the fuel design criteria and that the power distribution remains within the bounds used in the safety analyses.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES

This LCO precludes core power distributions that violate the following fuel design criteria:

- a. During a large break loss of coolant accident, the peak cladding temperature must not exceed 2200°F (Ref. 1);
- b. During a loss of forced reactor coolant flow accident, there must be at least 95% probability at the 95% confidence level (the 95/95 departure from nucleate boiling (DNB) criterion) that the hot fuel rod in the core does not experience a DNB condition;
- c. During an ejected rod accident, the fission energy input to the fuel must not exceed 280 cal/gm (Ref. 2); and
- d. The control rods must be capable of shutting down the reactor with a minimum required SDM with the highest worth control rod stuck fully withdrawn (Ref. 3).

The LCO limits on the AFD, the QPTR, the Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor ($F_0(Z)$), the Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot

(continued)

INSERT "B" Cont.

BASES

APPLICABLE
SAFETY ANALYSES
(continued)

Channel Factor ($F_{\Delta H}^N$), and control bank insertion are established to preclude core power distributions that exceed the safety analyses limits.

The QPTR limits ensure that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_Q(Z)$ remain below their limiting values by preventing an undetected change in the gross radial power distribution.

In MODE 1, the $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_Q(Z)$ limits must be maintained to preclude core power distributions from exceeding design limits assumed in the safety analyses.

The QPTR satisfies Criterion 2 of the NRC Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors (SFR 39132 of July 22, 1973).

LCO

The QPTR limit of 1.02, at which corrective action is required, provides a margin of protection for both the DNB ratio and linear heat generation rate contributing to excessive power peaks resulting from X-Y plane power tilts. A limiting QPTR of 1.02 can be tolerated before the margin for uncertainty in $F_Q(Z)$ and ($F_{\Delta H}^N$) is possibly challenged.

APPLICABILITY

The QPTR limit must be maintained in MODE 1 with THERMAL POWER > 50% RTP to prevent core power distributions from exceeding the design limits.

Applicability in MODE 1 \leq 50% RTP and in other MODES is not required because there is either insufficient stored energy in the fuel or insufficient energy being transferred to the reactor coolant to require the implementation of a QPTR limit on the distribution of core power. The QPTR limit in these conditions is, therefore, not important. Note that the $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_Q(Z)$ LCOs still apply, but allow progressively higher peaking factors at 50% RTP or lower.

ACTIONS

~~A.1~~ a.1.a)

From With the QPTR exceeding its limit, a power level reduction of 3% RTP for each 1% by which the QPTR exceeds 1.00 is a conservative tradeoff of total core power with peak linear power. The completion time of 2 hours allows sufficient

(continued)

INSERT "B" cont.

BASES

ACTIONS

a.1.a)

A.1 (continued)

After completion of ACTION a.1.a),
the QPTR alarm may still be

time to identify the cause and correct the tilt. Note that the power reduction itself may cause a change in the tilted condition. Because the QPTR alarm is already in its alarmed state, any additional changes in the QPTR are detected by requiring a check of the QPTR once per 12 hours thereafter. If the QPTR continues to increase, THERMAL POWER has to be reduced accordingly. A 12 hour completion time is sufficient because any additional change in QPTR would be relatively slow.

a.1.b)

As such

a.1.c)

A.2

The peaking factors $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_0(Z)$ are of primary importance in ensuring that the power distribution remains consistent with the initial conditions used in the safety analyses. Performing SRs on $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_0(Z)$ within the completion time of 24 hours ensures that these primary indicators of power distribution are within their respective limits. A completion time of 24 hours takes into consideration the rate at which peaking factors are likely to change, and the time required to stabilize the plant and perform a flux map. If these peaking factors are not within their limits, the Required Actions of these Surveillances provide an appropriate response for the abnormal condition. If the QPTR remains above its specified limit, the peaking factor surveillances are required each 7 days thereafter to evaluate $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_0(Z)$ with changes in power distribution. Relatively small changes are expected due to either burnup and xenon redistribution or correction of the cause for exceeding the QPTR limit.

a.2.a)

A.3.1

Although $F_{\Delta H}^N$ and $F_0(Z)$ are of primary importance as initial conditions in the safety analyses, other changes in the power distribution may occur as the QPTR limit is exceeded and may have an impact on the validity of the safety analysis. A change in the power distribution can affect such reactor parameters as bank worths and peaking factors for rod malfunction accidents. When the QPTR exceeds its limit, it does not necessarily mean a safety concern exists. It does mean that there is an indication of a change in the

(continued)

QPTR
B 3.2.4

INSERT "B" cont.

BASES

a.2.a)

ACTIONS

A.3.1 (continued)

gross radial power distribution that requires an investigation and evaluation that is accomplished by examining the incore power distribution. Specifically, the core peaking factors and the quadrant tilt must be evaluated because they are the factors that best characterize the core power distribution. This re-evaluation is required to ensure that, before increasing THERMAL POWER to above the limit of ~~Required Action A.1~~, the reactor core conditions are consistent with the assumptions in the safety analyses.

a.2.b)

A.3.2

(normalized to 1.00)

If the QPTR has exceeded the 1.02 limit and a re-evaluation of the safety analysis is completed and shows that safety requirements are met, the excore detectors are recalibrated to show a zero QPTR prior to increasing THERMAL POWER to above the limit of ~~Required Action A.1~~. This is done to detect any subsequent significant changes in QPTR.

~~Required Action A.3.2 is modified by a Note that states that the QPT is not zeroed out until after the re-evaluation of the safety analysis has determined that core conditions at RTP are within the safety analysis assumptions (i.e., Required Action A.3.1). This Note is intended to prevent any ambiguity about the required sequence of actions.~~

a.3

A.3.3

a.2.b)

Once the flux tilt is zeroed out (i.e., ~~Required Action A.3.2~~ is performed), it is acceptable to return to full power operation. However, as an added check that the core power distribution at RTP is consistent with the safety analysis assumptions, ~~Required Action A.3.3~~ requires verification that $F_0(Z)$ and $F_{\Delta H}^n$ are within their specified limits within 24 hours of reaching RTP. As an added precaution, if the core power does not reach RTP within 24 hours, but is increased slowly, then the peaking factor surveillances must be performed within 48 hours of the time when the ascent to power was begun. These Completion Times are intended to allow adequate time to increase THERMAL POWER to above the limit of ~~Required Action A.1~~, while not

(continued)

QPTR
B 3.2.4

INSERT "B" Cont.

BASES

ACTIONS

a.3
A.3.3 (continued)

permitting the core to remain with unconfirmed power distributions for extended periods of time.

^{a.3} Required ~~Action A.3.3~~ is modified by a Note that states that the peaking factor surveillances may only be done after the excore detectors have been calibrated to show zero tilt (i.e., ^{a.3} Required Action A.3.2). The intent of this Note is to have the peaking factor surveillances performed at operating power levels, which can only be accomplished after the excore detectors are calibrated to show zero tilt and the core returned to power.

a.2.b)

a.4

~~B.1~~

If ^{a.1} Required ~~Actions A.1~~ through ^{a.3} ~~A.3.3~~ are not completed within their associated completion times, the unit must be brought to a MODE or condition in which the requirements do not apply. To achieve this status, THERMAL POWER must be reduced to < 50% RTP within 4 hours. The allowed completion time of 4 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience regarding the amount of time required to reach the reduced power level without challenging plant systems.

SURVEILLANCE
REQUIREMENTS

⁴
SR 3.2.4.1

⁴ SR 3.2.4.1 is modified by a Note that allows QPTR to be calculated with three power range channels if THERMAL POWER is < 75% RTP and one power range channel is inoperable.

This Surveillance verifies that the QPTR, as indicated by the Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) excore channels, is within its limits. The frequency of 7 days when the QPTR alarm is OPERABLE is acceptable because of the low probability that this alarm can remain inoperable without detection.

When the QPTR alarm is inoperable, the frequency is increased to 12 hours. This frequency is adequate to detect any relatively slow changes in QPTR, because for those causes of QPT that occur quickly (e.g., a dropped rod),

(continued)

BASES

INSERT "B" Cont.

SURVEILLANCE
REQUIREMENTS

⁴
SR ~~3.2.4.1~~ (continued)

there typically are other indications of abnormality that prompt a verification of core power tilt.

SR 3.2.4.2

4.2.4.1.c)

~~This Surveillance is modified by a Note, which states that it is required only when one power range channel is inoperable and the THERMAL POWER is \geq 75% RTP.~~

With an NIS power range channel inoperable, tilt monitoring for a portion of the reactor core becomes degraded. Large tilts are likely detected with the remaining channels, but the capability for detection of small power tilts in some quadrants is decreased. Performing SR ~~3.2.4.2~~ at a frequency of 12 hours provides an accurate alternative means for ensuring that any tilt remains within its limits.

4.2.4.1.c)

For purposes of monitoring the QPTR when one power range channel is inoperable, the moveable incore detectors are used to confirm that the normalized symmetric power distribution is consistent with the indicated QPTR and any previous data indicating a tilt. The incore detector monitoring is performed with a full incore flux map or two sets of four thimble locations with quarter core symmetry. The two sets of four symmetric thimbles is a set of eight unique detector locations. These locations are C-8, E-5, E-11, H-3, H-13, L-5, L-11, and N-8, ~~for three and four loop cores.~~

The symmetric thimble flux map can be used to generate symmetric thimble "tilt." This can be compared to a reference symmetric thimble tilt, from the most recent full core flux map, to generate an incore QPTR. Therefore, QPTR can be used to confirm that QPTR is within limits.

With one NIS channel inoperable, the indicated tilt may be changed from the value indicated with all four channels OPERABLE. To confirm that no change in tilt has actually occurred, which might cause the QPTR limit to be exceeded, the incore result may be compared against previous flux maps either using the symmetric thimbles as described above or a complete flux map. Nominally, quadrant tilt from the

(continued)

QPTR
B 3.2.4

BASES

INSERT "B" Cont.

SURVEILLANCE
REQUIREMENTS

SR ⁴ ~~3.2.4.2~~ ¹ (continued)

Surveillance should be within 2% of the tilt shown by the most recent flux map data.

REFERENCES

1. 10 CFR 50.46.
2. Regulatory Guide 1.77, Rev [0], May 1974.
3. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26.

ENCLOSURE 1 to TXX-94008

NUREG 1431 - Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants
September 1992

Pages 3.2-18 through 3.2-20

3.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3.2.4 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO (QPTR)

LCO 3.2.4 The QPTR shall be ≤ 1.02 .

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1 with THERMAL POWER > 50% RTP.

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. QPTR not within limit.	A.1 Reduce THERMAL POWER $\geq 3\%$ from RTP for each 1% of QPTR > 1.00.	2 hours <u>AND</u> Once per 12 hours thereafter
	<u>AND</u> A.2 Perform SR 3.2.1.1 and SR 3.2.2.1.	24 hours <u>AND</u> Once per 7 days thereafter
	<u>AND</u> A.3.1 Reevaluate safety analyses and confirm results remain valid for duration of operation under this condition.	Prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of Required Action A.1
	<u>AND</u>	(continued)

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
<p>A. (continued)</p>	<p>A.3.2 -----NOTE----- Perform Required Action A.3.2 only after Required Action A.3.1 is completed. -----</p> <p>Calibrate excore detectors to show zero QPTR.</p> <p><u>AND</u></p> <p>A.3.3 -----NOTE----- Perform Required Action A.3.3 only after Required Action A.3.2 is completed. -----</p> <p>Perform SR 3.2.1.1 and SR 3.2.2.2. 1</p>	<p>Prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of Required Action A.1</p> <p>Within 24 hours after reaching RTP</p> <p><u>OR</u></p> <p>Within 48 hours after increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of Required Action A.1</p>
<p>B. Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.</p>	<p>B.1 Reduce THERMAL POWER to \leq 50% RTP.</p>	<p>4 hours</p>

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
<p>SR 3.2.4.1 -----NOTE----- With one power range channel inoperable and THERMAL POWER < 75% RTP, the remaining three power range channels can be used for calculating QPTR. -----</p> <p>Verify QPTR is within limit by calculation.</p>	<p>7 days</p> <p><u>AND</u></p> <p>Once within 12 hours and every 12 hours thereafter with the QPTR alarm inoperable</p>
<p>SR 3.2.4.2 -----NOTE----- Only required to be performed if one power range channel is inoperable with THERMAL POWER \geq 75% RTP. -----</p> <p>Verify QPTR is within limit using the movable incore detectors.</p>	<p>Once within 12 hours</p> <p><u>AND</u></p> <p>12 hours thereafter</p>

ENCLOSURE 2 to TXX-94008

NUREG 1468 - CPSES Technical Specifications

Pages 3/4.2-4 through 3/4.2-9

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3/4.2.2 HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR - $F_o(Z)$

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.2 $F_o(Z)$ shall be limited by the following relationships:

$$F_o(Z) \leq \left[\frac{F_o^{RTP}}{P} \right] [K(Z)] \text{ for } P > 0.5$$

$$F_o(Z) \leq \left[\frac{F_o^{RTP}}{0.5} \right] [K(Z)] \text{ for } P \leq 0.5$$

Where: F_o^{RTP} = the F_o limit at RATED THERMAL POWER (RTP) specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR),

$$P = \frac{\text{THERMAL POWER}}{\text{RATED THERMAL POWER}}, \text{ and}$$

$K(Z)$ = the normalized $F_o(Z)$ as a function of core height specified in the COLR.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1.

ACTION:

With $F_o(Z)$ exceeding its limit:

- a. Reduce THERMAL POWER at least 1% for each 1% $F_o(Z)$ that exceeds the limit within 15 minutes and similarly reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux-High Trip Setpoints within the next 4 hours; POWER OPERATION may proceed for up to a total of 72 hours; subsequent POWER OPERATION may proceed provided the Overpower N-16 Trip Setpoints have been reduced at least 1% for each 1% $F_o(Z)$ that exceeds the limit; and
- b. Identify and correct the cause of the out-of-limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the reduced limit required by ACTION a., above; THERMAL POWER may then be increased provided $F_o(Z)$ is demonstrated through incore mapping to be within its limit.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.2.1 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

4.2.2.2. $F_0(Z)$ shall be evaluated to determine if it is within its limit by:

a. Using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map at any THERMAL POWER greater than 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

b. Determining the computed heat flux hot channel factor, $F_0^C(Z)$, as follows:

Increase the measured $F_0(Z)$ obtained from the power distribution map by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increase the value by 5% to account for measurement uncertainties.

c. Verifying that $F_0^C(Z)$, obtained in Specification 4.2.2.2b. above, satisfies the relationship in Specification 3.2.2.

d. The $F_0^C(Z)$ obtained in 4.2.2.2b above shall satisfy the following relationship at the time of the target flux determination:

$$F_0^C(Z) \leq \frac{F_0^{RTP} \times K(Z)}{P \times W(Z)} \quad \text{for } P > 0.5$$

$$F_0^C(Z) \leq \frac{F_0^{RTP} \times K(Z)}{0.5 \times W(Z)} \quad \text{for } P \leq 0.5$$

where $F_0^C(Z)$ is obtained in Specification 4.2.2.2b. above, F_0^{RTP} is the F_0 limit, $K(Z)$ is the normalized $F_0(Z)$ as a function of core height, P is the fraction of RATED THERMAL POWER, and $W(Z)$ is the cycle dependent function that accounts for power distribution transients encountered during normal operation. F_0^{RTP} , $K(Z)$ and $W(Z)$ are specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT as per Specification 6.9.1.6.

e. Measuring $F_0(Z)$ according to the following schedule:

1. Upon achieving equilibrium condition after exceeding by 20% or more of RATED THERMAL POWER, the THERMAL POWER at which $F_0(Z)$ was last determined*, or
2. At least once per 31 Effective Full Power Days, whichever occurs first.

*Power level may be increased until the THERMAL POWER for extended operation has been achieved.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

f. With measurements indicating

$$\text{maximum } \left(\frac{F_a^c(Z)}{K(Z)} \right) \\ \text{over } Z$$

has increased since the previous determination of $F_a^c(Z)$ either of the following actions shall be taken:

- 1) Increase $F_a^c(Z)$ by 2% and verify that this value satisfies the relationship in Specification 4.2.2.2d, or
- 2) $F_a^c(Z)$ shall be measured at least once per 7 Effective Full Power Days until two successive maps indicate that

$$\text{maximum } \left(\frac{F_a^c(Z)}{K(Z)} \right) \text{ is not increasing.} \\ \text{over } Z$$

g. With the relationships specified in Specification 4.2.2.2d above not being satisfied:

- 1) Calculate the percent that $F_a(Z)$ exceeds its limits by the following expression:

$$\left\{ \left(\text{maximum } \left[\frac{F_a^c(Z) \times W(Z)}{E_a^{RTP} \times K(Z)} \right] \right) \text{ over } Z \right\}^{-1} \times 100 \text{ for } P > 0.5$$
$$\left\{ \left(\text{maximum } \left[\frac{F_a^c(Z) \times W(Z)}{E_a^{RTP} \times K(Z)} \right] \right) \text{ over } Z \right\}^{-1} \times 100 \text{ for } P \leq 0.5, \text{ and}$$

- 2) The following action shall be taken:

Within 15 minutes, control the AFD to within new AFD limits which are determined by reducing the AFD limits specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT by 1% AFD for each percent $F_a(Z)$ exceeds its limits as determined in Specification 4.2.2.2g.1. Within 8 hours, reset the AFD alarm setpoints to these modified limits.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- h. The limits specified in Specification 4.2.2.2c are applicable in all core plane regions, i.e. 0 - 100%, inclusive.
- i. The limits specified in Specifications 4.2.2.2d, 4.2.2.2f, and 4.2.2.2g, above are not applicable in the following core plane regions:
 - 1. Lower core region from 0 to 15%, inclusive.
 - 2. Upper core region from 85 to 100%, inclusive.

4.2.2.3 When $F_0(Z)$ is measured for reasons other than meeting the requirements of Specification 4.2.2.2 an overall measured $F_0(Z)$ shall be obtained from a power distribution map and increased by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increased by 5% to account for measurement uncertainty.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3/4.2.3 NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR $F_{\Delta H}^N$

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.3 $F_{\Delta H}^N$ shall be limited by the following relationship:

$$F_{\Delta H}^N \leq F_{\Delta H}^{RTP} [1.0 + PF_{\Delta H} (1.0 - P)]$$

Where:

$F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}$ = the $F_{\Delta H}^N$ limit at RATED THERMAL POWER (RTP) specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR),

$PF_{\Delta H}$ = the power factor multiplier for $F_{\Delta H}^N$ specified in the COLR, and

$$P = \frac{\text{THERMAL POWER}}{\text{RATED THERMAL POWER}}$$

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1.

ACTION:

With $F_{\Delta H}^N$ exceeding its limit:

- a. Within 2 hours either:
 1. Restore $F_{\Delta H}^N$ to within the above limit, or
 2. Reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER and reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux - High Trip Setpoint to less than or equal to 55% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.
- b. Within 24 hours of initially being outside the above limit, verify through incore flux mapping that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ has been restored to within the above limit, or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours.
- c. Identify and correct the cause of the out-of-limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the reduced THERMAL POWER limit required by ACTION a.2. and/or b., above; subsequent POWER OPERATION may proceed provided that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is demonstrated, through incore flux mapping, to be within its limit prior to exceeding the following THERMAL POWER levels:
 1. A nominal 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER,
 2. A nominal 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and
 3. Within 24 hours of attaining greater than or equal to 95% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.2.3.1 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.
- 4.2.3.2 $F_{\Delta H}^N$ shall be determined to be within its limit by using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map:
- a. Prior to operation above 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER after each fuel loading,
 - b. At least once per 31 Effective Full Power Days, and
 - c. The measured $F_{\Delta H}^N$ shall be increased by 4% for measurement uncertainty.