

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK:          ① (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | N | C | B | E | P | 1 | 2 | 00 - 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 - 00 | 00 | 34 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 4 |      | 5

7 8 9      14      15      25      26      30      57 CAT 58

LICENSEE CODE      LICENSE NUMBER      LICENSE TYPE

CON'T

01 | R | P | 6 | 05 | 00 - 03 | 25 | 7 | 08 | 02 | 82 | 8 | 08 | 26 | 82 | 9

7 8      60      61      DOCKET NUMBER      68      69      EVENT DATE      74      75      REPORT DATE      80

REPORT SOURCE      EVENT DATE      REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES ⑩

02 | While reviewing the surveillance testing procedure for calculating the drywell average

03 | temperature, PT-16.2, it was determined that one of five points used to obtain the

04 | temperature at  $\geq 90$  feet was actually at the 86 foot level. The remaining points were

05 | determined to be correct. This procedure is common to both units, and both units had

06 | been shut down when this event was identified. This event did not affect the health

07 | and safety of the public.

08 | Technical Specifications 3.6.1.6, 6.9.1.9c

7 8 9      80

09 | S | A | 11 | A | 12 | A | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16

7 8      9      10      11      12      13      14      15      16      17      18      19      20

SYSTEM CODE      CAUSE CODE      CAUSE SUBCODE      COMPONENT CODE      COMP. SUBCODE      VALVE SUBCODE

17 | 8 | 2 |      | 09 | 1 |      | 03 |      |      |      | 0

21      22      23      24      25      26      27      28      29      30      31      32

LER/RO REPORT NUMBER      EVENT YEAR      SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.      OCCURRENCE CODE      REPORT TYPE      REVISION NO.

G | X | Z | Z | 0000 | N | N | Z | 9999

33      34      35      36      37      38      39      40      41      42      43      44      45      46      47

ACTION TAKEN      FUTURE ACTION      EFFECT ON PLANT      SHUTDOWN METHOD      HOURS      ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED      NPRD-4 FORM SUB.      PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER      COMPONENT MANUFACTURER

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ⑳

10 | This point was identified as a  $\geq 90$  foot point during the initial development of this

11 | test due to administrative oversight. The PT will be revised prior to its next re-

12 | quired performance to incorporate the correct data points. Other test procedures are

13 | currently being reviewed to assure their technical accuracy.

15 | G | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | C | Procedure Technical Review

7 8 9      10      11      12      13      14      15      16      17      18

FACILITY STATUS      % POWER      OTHER STATUS      METHOD OF DISCOVERY      DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION

16 | Z | Z | NA | NA | NA

7 8 9      10      11      12      13      14      15      16

ACTIVITY CONTENT      RELEASED OF RELEASE      AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY      LOCATION OF RELEASE

17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Z | NA

7 8 9      10      11      12      13

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES      NUMBER      TYPE      DESCRIPTION

18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA

7 8 9      10      11      12

PERSONNEL INJURIES      NUMBER      DESCRIPTION

19 | Z | NA

7 8 9      10      11      12

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY      TYPE      DESCRIPTION

20 | N | S

7 8 9      10      11      12

PUBLICITY ISSUED      DESCRIPTION

8209020582 820826  
PDR ADOCK 05000325 PDR

NRC USE ONLY