February 14, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318

> Mr. Robert E. Denton Vice President - Nuclear Energy Baltimore Gas & Electric Company Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant 1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway Lusby, Maryland 20657-4702

Dear Mr. Denton:

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File JWermiel
NRC & Local PDRs AHansen
PDI-1 Reading BMarcus

SVarga JCalvo RCapra CVogan DMcDonald OGC

ACRS (10)

SUBJECT: REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97 - INSTRUMENTATION TO FOLLOW THE COURSE OF AN ACCIDENT, CALVERT CLIFFS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Section 6.2 of Generic Letter 82-33, "Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737 - Emergency Response Capabilities," requested licensees to provide a report on their implementation of Regulatory Guide 1.97 (Revision 2), including methods for complying with the Commission's regulations and supporting technical justification for any proposed alternatives or deviations. A large number of requests were received from pressurized water reactor (PWR) licensees asking for deviation from the Category 2 criteria for containment sump water temperature monitoring instrumentation. Prior to 1987, these requests were denied by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Since then, these requests have been considered as an open item until a generic resolution could be found.

The NRC staff has reviewed the bases for these requests and has concluded that containment cooling status can be determined through alternative means to direct reading of containment sump water temperature. A copy of the Safety Evaluation (SE) detailing the acceptability of these alternatives is enclosed. In its generic discussion of PWRs, the SE indicates that acceptable alternative means include Category 2 residual heat removal heat exchanger inlet or outlet temperature. In the case of Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, the acceptable alternative means would include Category 2 shutdown cooling heat exchanger inlet or outlet temperature.

If you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact me at (301) 504-1408.

9402240258 940214 PDR ADDCK 05000317 P PDR Sincerely,
Original signed by:
Daniel G. McDonald, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation cc w/enclosure: See next page

cc w/enclosure: See next page				MRG FILE CENTER GOP	
OFFICE:	LA: PDI-1	PM: PDIETAD)	BC #HICB	D:PDI-1	
NAME:	CVogan (v)	DMcDonald:bp	JWermiel	RCapra Roe	
DATE:	2/10/94	02/1094	2/14/94	2//4/94	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: CCREG197.1tr



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 14, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318

> Mr. Robert E. Denton Vice President - Nuclear Energy Baltimore Gas & Electric Company Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant 1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway Lusby, Maryland 20657-4702

Dear Mr. Denton:

SUBJECT: REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97 - INSTRUMENTATION TO FOLLOW THE COURSE OF AN ACCIDENT, CALVERT CLIFFS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Section 6.2 of Generic Letter 82-33, "Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737 - Emergency Response Capabilities," requested licensees to provide a report on their implementation of Regulatory Guide 1.97 (Revision 2), including methods for complying with the Commission's regulations and supporting technical justification for any proposed alternatives or deviations. A large number of requests were received from pressurized water reactor (PWR) licensees asking for deviation from the Category 2 criteria for containment sump water temperature monitoring instrumentation. Prior to 1987, these requests were denied by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Since then, these requests have been considered as an open item until a generic resolution could be found.

The NRC staff has reviewed the bases for these requests and has concluded that containment cooling status can be determined through alternative means to direct reading of containment sump water temperature. A copy of the Safety Evaluation (SE) detailing the acceptability of these alternatives is enclosed. In its generic discussion of PWRs, the SE indicates that acceptable alternative means include Category 2 residual heat removal heat exchanger inlet or outlet temperature. In the case of Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, the acceptable alternative means would include Category 2 shutdown cooling heat exchanger inlet or outlet temperature.

If you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact me at (301) 504-1408.

Sincerely,

Daniel G. McDonald, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate I-1

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosure: See next page Mr. Robert E. Denton Baltimore Gas & Electric Company

cc:

Mr. Michael Moore, President Calvert County Board of Commissioners 175 Main Street Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678

D. A. Brune, Esquire General Counsel Baltimore Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 1475 Baltimore, Maryland 21203

Jay E. Silberg, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Mr. G. L. Detter, Director, NRM Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant 1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway Lusby, Maryland 20657-47027

Resident Inspector c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Cormission P.O. Box 287 St. Leonard, Maryland 20685

Mr. Richard I. McLean Administrator - Radioecology Department of Natural Resources 580 Taylor Avenue Tawes State Office Building 83 Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Mr. Joseph H. Walter
Engineering Division
Public Service Commission of
Maryland
American Building
231 E. Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-3486

Kristen A. Burger, Esquire Maryland People's Counsel American Building, 9th Floor 231 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Patricia T. Birnie, Esquire Co-Director Maryland Safe Energy Coalition P.O. Box 33111 Baltimore, Maryland 21218