

ADR



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 4, 1994

CHAIRMAN

The Honorable J. Bennett Johnston, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In Senate Report 103-147, the Committee on Appropriations requested the Commission to submit a detailed action plan, with objectives and milestones, for implementing the recommendations of the Regulatory Review Group (RRG). The RRG, established in January 1993 to conduct a disciplined review of power reactor regulations and related processes, programs, and practices, and to specifically look at the feasibility of increasing overall industry flexibility and reducing regulatory burden without adversely impacting reactor safety, published its findings and recommendations in August 1993. The RRG report discussed several key areas in which changes in the way NRC conducts business could significantly reduce industry and NRC staff burden without adversely affecting the safety of operating nuclear plants.

The NRC staff has now completed an RRG implementation plan, which the Commission is enclosing in response to your request. The plan identifies major milestones and completion dates for the RRG report recommendations. The staff will strive to complete the items as scheduled. The Commission recognizes the importance of these initiatives and will monitor the staff's progress.

If you have any questions about this NRC initiative or the implementation plan, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth C. Rogers
Acting Chairman

Enclosure:
As stated

181125

cc: Senator Mark O. Hatfield



CHAIRMAN

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 4, 1994

The Honorable Tom Bevill, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In Senate Report 103-147, the Committee on Appropriations requested the Commission to submit a detailed action plan, with objectives and milestones, for implementing the recommendations of the Regulatory Review Group (RRG). The RRG, established in January 1993 to conduct a disciplined review of power reactor regulations and related processes, programs, and practices, and to specifically look at the feasibility of increasing overall industry flexibility and reducing regulatory burden without adversely impacting reactor safety, published its findings and recommendations in August 1993. The RRG report discussed several key areas in which changes in the way NRC conducts business could significantly reduce industry and NRC staff burden without adversely affecting the safety of operating nuclear plants.

The NRC staff has now completed an RRG implementation plan, which the Commission is enclosing in response to your request. The plan identifies major milestones and completion dates for the RRG report recommendations. The staff will strive to complete the items as scheduled. The Commission recognizes the importance of these initiatives and will monitor the staff's progress.

If you have any questions about this NRC initiative or the implementation plan, please contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kenneth C. Rogers".

Kenneth C. Rogers
Acting Chairman

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: Representative John T. Myers

REGULATORY REVIEW GROUP IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

ENCLOSURE 1

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
1	<p>Graded Quality Assurance</p> <p>2.3.13 4.4.6 4.10.1a</p>	Guidance needed to address use of graded Quality Assurance	<p>1. Public meeting with NUMARC working group on 12/16/93 to define specific action plan</p> <p>2. Develop staff guidance in parallel with industry efforts</p> <p>3. Initiate pilot program</p> <p>4. Issue/revise (draft) regulatory guides (see item # 4)</p> <p>Note: NUMARC considering a pilot program using a risk-based Q-list as a graded approach to quality assurance programs</p>	1	NRR	<p>COMPLETE</p> <p>7/94 9/94 7/95</p>
2	<p>Revise Part 21</p> <p>2.3.1</p>	Revise definition of Commercial Grade and Dedication	<p>1. Evaluate need for rulemaking to assure congruence between Part 21 and graded QA efforts</p> <p>2. If rulemaking is necessary, schedule should be consistent with schedule for graded QA (item # 1)</p> <p>Note: Federal Register notice requesting comments on NUMARC petition for rulemaking expired 12/28/93</p>	1	RES	5/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
3	10 CFR 50.54(a) - Quality Assurance 2.3.9 3.3	Establish regulations as minimum standard - allow changes to program without NRC approval as long as quality assurance program meets regulations	Alternative Recommendation: Integrate any rulemaking with graded quality assurance initiative (see item # 1) 1. Meet with industry on issues specific to QA plans and changes to QA plans. 2. Develop draft industry guidelines or staff guidance that defines acceptance criteria that would permit plan changes without NRC review. 3. Assess need for rulemaking	1	NRR	9/94 9/95
4	Revise Regulatory Guides 1.26, 1.28, 1.29, 1.30, 1.33, 1.37, 1.38, 1.39 2.3.15b	Reduce the number of regulatory guides that discuss NRC expectations for Quality Assurance - include NRC position on commercial grade dedication	1. Revise Standard Review Plan and reduce/revise draft regulatory guides as appropriate 2. Publish final regulatory guides Note: Directly affected by plan to move to graded Quality Assurance	3	RES	7/95 7/96
EMERGENCY PLANNING 5	10 CFR 50.54(q) - Emergency Preparedness 2.3.9 3.3.4c	Establish regulations as minimum standard - allow changes to plan without NRC approval as long as emergency plan meets regulations	Alternative Recommendation: Defer action until experience has been gained with security and possibly quality assurance	NA	RES	6/96
6	Policy Statement on Planning Basis for Emergency Responses to Nuclear Power Reactor Accidents 2.3.11 3.3.4a	Withdraw - 10 CFR 50.47 and Part 100 supersede	Publish Federal Register notice withdrawing Policy Statement on the grounds that the rule has superseded the necessity for it	3	RES	6/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
7 CURRENT LICENSING BASIS	Define "commitment" and implement a change process for commitments 2.3.2 2.3.9	Propose rulemaking to define commitment and describe a change process for commitment in 10 CFR 50.54	Alternative Recommendation: 1. Either: 1) endorse industry guidelines, or 2) develop and promulgate staff guidance on what constitutes a commitment and the types of controls to be placed on changing commitments 2. Staff reassessment of need to develop rulemaking after guidance is implemented	1	NRR	7/94 7/95
8	Define "current licensing basis" -- CLB 2.3.10e	In recognition of the rulemaking on Part 54, the definitions for current licensing basis should be consistently applied in Part 50 also	Relook at definition to modify as necessary and incorporate definition of CLB into both Part 50 and Part 54	3	NRR	Commensurate with Part 54 rulemaking schedule (12/94)
9	Clarify scope and depth of "design basis" 2.3.10f	Clarify the scope and depth of the term "design basis" as it is used in the regulations	Alternative Recommendation: Consider need for changes to definition of design basis, as appropriate, that may arise from efforts on current licensing basis and change process for commitments	3	NRR	12/94
10	Define "material alteration" 2.3.10a	Amend 10 CFR 50 to add a definition of "material alteration"	Propose rulemaking to add a definition of "material alteration" or revise sections of regulations which require a construction permit for alterations, or document position in memo to EDO why rulemaking is not appropriate	3	NRR	12/95
11 COST BENEFICIAL LICENSING ACTIONS	Be responsive to CBLAs 1.3.4 3.3.4e 3.3.5	The need for the staff to be responsive to licensee submittals that are safety neutral but have a primary aim of economic relief	1. Continue to process CBLA requests 2. Provide semiannual status reports to EDO	1	NRR	6/94, 12/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
12	Identify regulatory vehicle and use flexibility 1.3.2 3.3.4e 3.3.4k 3.3.4l 4.10.1e 4.10.1h	Each licensee should review and identify the regulatory vehicle that is the cause of unnecessary expenditures and aggressively pursue corrective action fully utilizing the flexibility already available	1. CBLA and related efforts address this issue 2. Continue arranging and attending public/licensee meetings and industry workshops	1	NRR	Ongoing NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED
13	SECURITY 10 CFR 50.54(p) - security 2.3.9, 2.3.18d 3.3.4c	Establish regulations as minimum standard - allow changes to plans without NRC approval as long as security plans meet regulations Eliminate license condition	Alternative Recommendation: 1. Meet with industry on issues specific to security plans and changes to security plans. 2. Develop draft industry guidelines or staff guidance that defines when a "decrease in effectiveness" occurs. Consider developing acceptance criteria that would permit plan changes without NRC review. 3. Publish final guidelines or staff guidance that defines when a "decrease in effectiveness" occurs. 4. Assess need for rule-making	1	NRR	2/94 6/94 12/94 6/95
14	Allowed Outage Times for Security 2.3.18c 3.3.4f	Permit allowable outage times for security systems similar to safety equipment AOTs	1. SECY-93-326, dated 12/2/93 included AOTs for door alarms 2. Publish proposed rule 3. Publish final rule 4. Staff should evaluate other possible areas	2	NRR	COMPLETE 6/94 2/95 6/95

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
15	Quarterly security logs 2.3.1 2.3.18b 3.3.4d	Eliminate submittal of quarterly security logs (73.71(c)(2))	1. Evaluate threshold for one hour and 30 day reporting requirements 2. Publish proposed rule to eliminate the submittal of quarterly security logs and revise threshold, if needed 3. Publish final rule	2	NRR	6/94 12/94
16	Revise 10 CFR Part 73 2.3.18a	Make Part 73 more performance based	Provide Commission paper with recommendation of feasibility of more performance based Part 73 Note: Need to gain experience with current proposals for revisions to Part 73	3	NRR	4/96
17	Policy Statement on Nuclear Power Plant Access Authorization Program 2.3.11	Withdraw - 10 CFR 73.56 supersedes	See item # 6	3	RES	6/94
FITNESS FOR DUTY 18	Fitness For Duty Audit Frequency 2.3.5b	Extend audit frequency based on performance from 1 year up to 3 years	Develop performance-based 10 CFR 26.80(a) to allow an extended audit frequency up to 3 years based on past performance	2	NRR	Commensurate with current fitness for duty rulemaking package (see item # 19)
19	Fitness For Duty Performance Data Submittal 2.3.5a 2.3.16b	Allow annual submittal of Fitness For Duty performance data instead of semi annual submittals	1. Publish proposed rule to allow annual submittal of performance data (included in fitness for duty lessons learned package) 2. Publish final rule	2	NRR	6/94 1/95
20	Information Notice on Fitness For Duty 2.3.5c	Issue guidance discussing the behavioral observation aspect of Fitness For Duty	Evaluate need for information notice associated with fitness for duty lessons learned package	3	NRR	6/95

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
21	Policy Statement on Fitness For Duty 2.3.11	Withdraw 10 CFR Part 26 supersedes	See item # 6	3	RES	6/94
FIRE PROTECTION 22	Fire Protection Quality Assurance program 2.3.14	Endorses continued licensee use of quality assurance in a graded manner for fire prevention and protection systems.	Consider as follow-on to reflect revised implementation of graded quality assurance (item # 1)	2	NRR	Action deferred until fire barrier issue is resolved
23	Add section to 10 CFR 50.54 - fire protection 2.3.9 3.3.4c 3.3.4h 3.3.4i	Establish regulations as minimum standard - allow changes to plan without NRC approval as long as fire protection plan meets regulations Eliminate license condition	Alternative Recommendation: Defer action until experience has been gained with security and possibly quality assurance	NA		See item # 22
24	Fire Protection Guidance 2.3.4a,b	Revise the regulatory guidance, including inspection procedures, to clarify that other alternative methods of compliance (other than that specified in various national fire codes and standards) can be developed and be acceptable	1. Publish draft Supplement to Generic Letter 86-10 on fire protection matters 2. Publish final supplement to GL. Note: Existing staff guidance documents (SRP) indicates that alternative approaches can be acceptable	NA	NRR	See item # 22

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
25	Fire Protection - Rules 2.3.4c	Revise the existing regulations for fire protection to make them performance-based	1. Publish proposed rule to revise the existing requirements for fire protection to make them performance-based requirements 2. Publish final rule Note: Industry considering proposal to revise Appendix R to be performance-based	NA	RES	See item # 22
26	PRA Agency Plan for PRA 4.9 4.10.g 4.10.ii	Plan needed to address research, development, implementation and use of PRA for a consistent approach and use of resources	1. Offices to develop an Implementation Plan that integrates PRA Working Group and RRG recommendations 2. Implementation Plan to be reviewed at next Senior Management Meeting and balance of the schedule developed 3. Implementation Plan to Commission		NRR RES AEOD NMSS	COMPLETE 1/94 2/94
27	Groundrules for PRA use 1.3.4 4.3 4.4.5 4.5.2 4.6	Develop guidance on content of submittals, acceptable PRA methods, and decision criteria	1. Endorse industry guidance or develop staff guidance separately and determine whether staff guidance should be part of the handbook for PRA	1	NRR	See item #26
28	Handbook for PRA 4.9.3 4.10.ii	Identify methods for optimizing Technical Specifications using PRA techniques - or for using PRA in other plant-specific applications	1. Complete handbook for use on technical specification optimization and AOTs 2. Develop other handbooks for other uses (see item # 2, 30, 31, and 32 for potential candidates and schedules)	1	RES	7/94 See item # 26

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
29	Pilot Program for PRA 4.2 4.8 4.9 4.10.1d	Use pilot studies when expanding uses of PRA into regulatory areas where PRA has not been use to date	Select and implement pilot programs (see items # 2, 30, 31, and 32)	1	Office-dependent	See item # 26
30	Develop Guidelines for use of PRA in 10 CFR 50.59 2.3.19 4.5 4.10.1b	PRA should be considered in improving licensees reviews for 10 CFR 50.59 -- guidance needed	Consider as part of the Implementation Plan	1	NRR	See item # 26
31	Multiple Actions if Safety Neutral 2.3.17b	Recognize and advise the staff and public of the availability of an integral approach to licensing actions such that a number of issues may be proposed in a license amendment request if the level of safety remains the same	Consider as part of the Implementation Plan Note: Staff has used an integrated approach in certain applications	1	NRR	See item # 26
32	Criteria for credit for redundancy 3.3.4b	Evaluate the adequacy of existing guidance for reviewing design features that exceed regulatory requirements or provide alternative means of compliance. Such guidance should encourage flexibility in the technical specifications for those design features for which the review concludes that increased safety margin is provided.	Consider as part of the Implementation Plan	1	NRR	See item # 26

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
INSERVICE TESTING 33	Revise ASME Code requirements based on risk 2.3.7a	Continue to build consensus in Code Committees to revise the ASME Codes governing Inservice Inspection & Inservice Testing based on risk-based techniques	1. Continue staff participation in Code activities 2. Risk-based ISI program and Code revisions nearing completion 3. Risk-based IST program and Code revisions underway 4. Revise 10 CFR 50.55a after completion of Code initiatives Note: Completion schedule of ASME activities not under NRC control	1	RES	late 1994 - incorporate into ASME 1995 Edition late 1996 - incorporate into ASME 1997 Edition
34	IST guidelines 2.3.7b	Continue staff work on IST Program Guidelines to take advantage of generic approval for using the most recent addenda and editions of the ASME Codes rather than outdated older codes listed in staff Safety Evaluation Reports	1. Publish draft Generic Letter 89-04, Supplement 1 and draft NUREG-1482 2. Issue final GL and NUREG	1	NRR	COMPLETE 9/94
35	Revise Inspection Procedure 73756	Review and revise Inspection Procedure 73756 for applicability given issuance of Supplement to Generic Letter 89-04 and NUREG-1482	Revise inspection procedure to include efforts and 'lessons-learned' from current Temporary Instruction on Generic Letter 89-04	2	NRR	9/94
36	Revise Regulatory Guides 1.84, 1.85, & 1.147 2.3.15a	These guides delineate ASME Code acceptability and should be maintained current	Regulatory Guides currently updated on an annual basis - last revisions to all guides was Summer 1993 Continue to follow current practices	NA	RES	NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
DECOMMISSIONING 37	OGC opinion on need to revise 10 CFR 50.82 2.3.3a	Determine whether rule revision is needed to address what type of license is needed for decommissioning Explore use of new possession-only license or renewal of original license for possession only purposes	1. Publish proposed rule to define possession only license, other terms important to decommissioning, modify 50.59 for decommissioning, and resolve remaining issues 2. Publish final rule	2	OGC	6/94 3/95
38	Revise Regulatory Guide 1.86 (Decommissioning Plans) 2.3.3b	Revise Regulatory Guide to be consistent with 10 CFR 50.82	1. Publish preliminary decommissioning guidance with proposed rule 2. Publish final regulatory guide	3	RES	6/94 9/95
REGULATORY AGENDA 39	Prioritization in Regulatory Agenda 2.3.17e	Add a discussion to the Regulatory Agenda that describes how rulemakings are prioritized	Reformat Regulatory Agenda to implement RRG recommendation	3	IRM	6/94
40	Schedules in Regulatory Agenda 2.3.17f	Schedules should be included for all rulemaking in Regulatory Agenda	See item # 39	3	IRM	6/94
41	Abstract information in Regulatory Agenda 2.3.17g	Abstract information in Regulatory Agenda should be current	See item # 39	3	IRM	6/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
42 RULE-MAKING	Guidance on Petitions for Rulemaking 1.3.3 2.3.17c,d	Consider issuing guidance on scope and level of detail needed on Petitions for Rulemaking that reduce regulatory burden	1. Develop Commission paper that defines conceptual approach. Paper to distinguish between 1) requirements for petitions for rulemaking potentially affecting safety and 2) requirements for petitions for rulemaking that focus on reducing regulatory burden. The latter petitions are to be consistent with the requirements on the staff for proposed rulemaking. 2. Publish proposed rulemaking to revise requirements on scope and depth of petitions for rulemaking 3. Final rule	2	RES	6/94 6 months after Commission approval 14 months after initial Commission approval
43	Reassess need for old or delayed rulemakings 2.3.17h	Provide a mechanism to reassess rulemakings that are low priority and are old	Update guidance to document current practices for semi-annual review of rulemakings and issuance of semiannual report to EDO. Specify reassessment of rulemakings that have not had resources applied for a long period of time (2-3 years) to determine whether they are still needed	3	RES	6/94
44 MARGINAL TO SAFETY	Marginal to Safety Program 2.3.17a	Marginal to Safety Program should focus on and be responsive to specific and detailed petitions that are performance based, eliminate burden, and are safety neutral Consider renaming the program	In Commission paper for item # 42, also discuss redirecting Marginal to Safety Program to focus on petitions for rulemaking and consider renaming program	2	RES	6/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
POLICY STATEMENTS 45	Modify past practice of using Policy Statements, Regulatory Guides, or other documents as legal requirements 3.3.4a 2.3.11	Eliminate past practice of using regulatory guidance documents such as policy statements, regulatory guides, generic letters, bulletins as legal requirements without going through "appropriate" disciplined process for establishing regulatory requirements	1. Issue EDO memo to Office Directors that discusses proper use of communication vehicles and put in PDR 2. Each office should revise their procedures as necessary Note: Some change to process has occurred through CRGR review and public comments on generic communications and policy statements	3	DEDR Office dependent	1/94 3/94
46	Policy Statement on Maintenance 2.3.11	Withdraw - 10 CFR 50.65 supersedes	See item # 6	3	RES	6/94
47	Policy Statement on Below Regulatory Concern 2.3.11	Withdraw - revoked by Congress	Federal Register notice published 8/24/93 withdrawing Policy Statement	NA		COMPLETE
48	Policy Statement on Information Flow 2.3.11	Withdraw - restates requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 and 50.73	See item # 6	3	RES	6/94
TRAINING and STAFFING 49	Policy Statement on Training and Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel 2.3.11	Withdraw - training rule (10 CFR 50.120) and Part 55 supersede	See item # 6	3	RES	6/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
50	Policy Statement on Engineering Expertise 2.3.11	Evaluate to determine if Policy Statement has been treated as a requirement	1. Determine whether training rule, Part 55, and SAT based training supersede policy statement. If so, withdraw policy statement. 2. If not, and considered necessary, publish proposed rule Note: Commission reaffirmed Policy Statement position after SECY 93-193 IN 93-81 issued which restates Commission's preferred position of dual role STA/SRO	3	NRR	12/94
51	Policy Statement on Nuclear Power Plant Staff Working Hours 2.3.11	Evaluate to determine if Policy Statement has been treated as a requirement	1. Publish proposed rule 2. Publish final rule Notes: Policy statement put into Technical Specifications through generic letter GL 82-12 Policy Statement forms the basis for Technical Specification 5.2.2 in the new Standard Technical Specifications	3	NRR	12/94 12/95
52	Policy Statement on Conduct of Nuclear Power Plant Operations 2.3.11	Evaluate to determine if Policy Statement has been treated as a requirement	Determine whether policy statement has been treated as a requirement. If not, no further action. If yes, and considered necessary, publish proposed rule	3	NRR	12/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
53	Policy Statement on Education for Senior Reactor Operators and Shift Supervisors at Nuclear Power Plant 2.3.11	Evaluate to determine if Policy Statement has been treated as a requirement	1. Determine whether the training rule, Part 55, and SAT based training programs supersede this policy statement 2. If yes, see item # 6 3. If no, determine if imposed as a requirement. If yes, publish proposed rule. If no, no further action.	3	NRR	12/94
54	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Control over material removed from Technical Specifications 2.3.10g	10 CFR 50.71(e), 10 CFR 50.59, 10 CFR 50.36, and parts of 10 CFR 50.54 should be reviewed and revised to ensure that the system of improved Technical Specifications will maintain appropriate control of changes to materials that are removed from Technical Specifications and placed in licensee-controlled documents Concerns with quality of FSARs and 10 CFR 50.59 process	SRM dated 5/25/93 - asked staff to pursue possible ways to improve Technical Specifications mechanisms to achieve greater legal and administrative efficiencies 1. Develop Commission paper to address SRM 2. Review NSAC-125 and endorse, or develop and promulgate staff guidance separately, if appropriate 3. Evaluate adequacy of 10 CFR 50.71(e) and implementation and integrate with results of TI 2515-112 on Chapter 2 of FSAR and recommend appropriate action Note: SECY 92-314 also speaks to SRM issue of updating FSARs	2	NRR	5/94 6/94 12/94
55	Revise Generic Letter 88-16 - Cycle-specific parameter limits in technical specifications 2.3.8	Provide quicker review of core reload codes. Revise current Technical Specifications to permit changes to improved analyses and approved core topical reports without license amendment	1. Issue guidance to licensees on necessary content of core reload packages 2. Consider whether issuing guidance to licensees that contains revised technical specification language is appropriate	2	NRR	12/94 12/94

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
56	Allow line item improvements for Improved Standard Technical Specifications 3.3.4j	Permit line-item improvements in accordance with the Technical Specifications improvement policy for all individual licensees in addition to lead plant licensees	Policy Statement on Technical Specifications dated 7/22/93 stated line item improvements would be accepted by NRC	NA		COMPLETE
REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 57	Delete unnecessary reporting requirements 2.3.16c	License amendments to delete reporting requirements for reports that are "not required" in the new Standard Technical Specifications licenses should be acted upon by the staff	Policy Statement on technical specifications allows line item improvements (see item # 56)	NA	NA	COMPLETE
58	Revise Regulatory Guide 1.16 2.3.15c 2.3.16 2.3.18	Revise Regulatory Guide 1.16, monthly operating report, to eliminate unnecessary reporting requirements	1. Revise and publish draft regulatory guide 1.16 to reduce scope of monthly operating report accordingly (see item # 59) 2. Publish final regulatory guide	2	RES	6/94 1/95
59	Evaluate need or frequency for all reporting requirements contained in regulations, technical specifications or industry codes and standards 2.3.16d 2.3.16e 2.3.16f	The RRG report recommends a major staff effort to review all technical specification reporting requirements for special reports, situational reports, routine or periodic reports and reports required by regulations.	1. Provide draft rule changes to the Commission on items decided by RRG and Reporting Requirements Task Force that can be eliminated 2. Publish final rule changes to eliminate requirements identified in item 1 3. Assess additional reporting requirements identified in public comments to determine whether they can be eliminated 4. Publish draft rule changes to eliminate requirements from item 2 5. Publish final rule changes	2	RES NRR RES	9/94 2/95 12/95 6/96 12/96

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
MISCELLANEOUS 60	Decrease Direct Inspection Effort by 10% 1.5.1	Decrease direct inspection effort by 10% in FY 1994 instead of FY 1995	1. Inspection program activities will be revised, as appropriate, to reduce inspection resources in program areas where current levels of oversight are not considered necessary. 2. Improve distribution of inspection resources based on PRA insights and licensee performance 3. Evaluate impact of reduction of direct inspection effort	2	NRR	3/94 9/94 9/95
61	Update 10 CFR 50.70(b)(2) 2.3.10c	Revise the rule to address the office space necessary for resident inspectors	Alternative Recommendation: No rulemaking for 50.70(b)(2) is necessary No problems exist with current implementation	NA		NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED
62	Generic Communication System 2.3.6b	Have one generic communication that requests information from licensees and one that informs licensees	1. Administrative letter format has been initiated 2. Evaluate effectiveness after 2 years	3	NRR	NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED 1/95
63	10 CFR 50.54(f) 2.3.6a	No revision to 10 CFR 50.54(f) needed	No action necessary - line organizations review issues for backfits, public and Commission comment period for generic communications, and current 50.54(f) letters reference applicable regulatory requirements	NA		NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED
64	Eliminate conflict between 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) and 10 CFR 50.71(e) 2.3.10d	Eliminate inconsistency between words in 10 CFR 50.54 and newly revised 10 CFR 50.71 regarding frequency of reporting changes to QA plan	Final rule published 8/16/93	NA		COMPLETE

TOPIC AREA	ISSUE	RRG RECOMMENDATION	ACTION PLAN	PRI	LEAD OFFICE	TARGET SCHEDULE
65	Revise 10 CFR 50.7 2.3.10b	Revise 50.7 to reflect Energy Policy Act of 1992 for whistleblower protection to extend statute of limitations for whistleblower to file a claim from 30 days to 6 months, expand definition of whistleblower and employer, and change the burden of proof	Final rule published 10/8/93	NA		COMPLETE
66	Graded Approach to committees 3.3.4g	Allow graded approach to review functions of licensee onsite or offsite review committees to permit review of safety significant items only	Ongoing initiative within the improved standard technical specification program to permit licensees to define role of review committees (see item # 56)	NA		NO ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED