LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
4	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1	MI I D C C 1 20 10 - 10 10 10 10 1- 10 10 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 5 TOTAL SE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58
CON'T	SOURCE LL 6 10 15 10 10 13 11 15 7 10 17 12 14 18 12 18 10 18 12 14 18 12 19 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	! WHILE SHUTDOWN FOR REFUELING A NEW TYPE OF CONTAINMENT AIR GASEOUS AND PARTICULATE
0 3	MONITORS WERE INSTALLED. APPROXIMATELY 37 HOURS LATER ON JULY 26 IT WAS DISCOVERED
0 4	THAT THEIR ALARM/TRIP SETPOINT VALUE WAS HIGHER THAN SPECIFIED IN T.S. 3.3.3.1. AT
0 5	THE TIME OF DISCOVERY THE ACTION OF T.S. 3.9.9 WAS CARRIED OUT. NO APPENDIX B
0 6	RELEASE LIMITS WERE EXCEEDED. THIS WAS THE FIRST OCCURRENCE OF THIS TYPE AND NO
0 7	PROBABLE CONSEQUENCE RESULTED. SEE ATTACHMENT
0 8	9 80
<u>(4 9)</u>	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE SUB
	LER/RO EVENT YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE CODE TYPE NO. OCCURRENCE REPORT TYPE NO. OCCURRENCE CODE TYPE NO. OCCURRENCE REPORT TYPE NO. OCCURRENCE REPOR
1 0	CONTROL ROOM PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE SURVEILLANCE OF THE ALARM/
1 1	TRIP SETPOINT COULD NOT DETERMINE THE PROPER SETPOINT. THE SURVEILLANCE PROCEDURE WAS J
1 2	REVISED AND SETPOINTS SET < 2x BACKGROUND. THE OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT MET WITH
1 3	IPERSONS INVOLVED TO DISCUSS MORE STRINGENT CONTROLS OVER FUTURE RELATED DESIGN CHANGE
1 4	LINSTALLATIONS. SEE ATTACHMENT. A FOLLOWUP REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED.
1 5	FACILITY SPOWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 H 28 0 0 0 0 29 NA B 31 OPERATOR OBSERVATION 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32
	ACTIVITY CONTENT SELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA LOCATION OF RELEASE 36 NA N
1 7	NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) 9 11 12 13 PERSONNEL INIURIES 13 80
1 8	NUMBER DESCRIPTION (41) NA
7 8	9 11 12 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43 TYPE DESCRIPTION 1 7 (42) NA PDR ADDCK 05000315 PDR ADDCK 05000315
1 9	NA PDR ADDCK PDR
2 0	PUBLICITY SSUED DESCRIPTION 45 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
7 8	NAME OF PREPARER D. M. DRAPER PHONE (616) 465-5901 ext. 1490

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

ON JULY 24, 1982, WHILE SHUTDOWN FOR REFUELING A NEW TYPE OF CONTAINMENT AIR GASEOUS AND PARTICULATE MONITORS WERE INSTALLED. APPROXIMATELY 37 HOURS LATER ON JULY 26, DURING A DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE DESIGN CHANGE COORDINATOR AND OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE ALARM/TRIP SETPOINT VALUE WHICH HAD BEEN SET IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPENDIX "B" T.S. 2.1.3 WAS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPENDIX "A" T.S. 3.3.3.1. AT THIS TIME THE ACTION OF 3.9.9 WAS CARRIED OUT.

DURING THIS PERIOD THE REACTOR HEAD WAS IN PLACE AND NO CORE ALTERATIONS TOOK

PLACE. NO APPENDIX "B" RELEASE LIMITS WERE EXCEEDED. THIS WAS THE FIRST OCCURRENCE

OF THIS TYPE AND NO PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES RESULTED.

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

CONTROL ROOM PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE SURVEILLANCE OF THE ALARM/TRIP SETPOINT COULD NOT DETERMINE THE PROPER SETPOINT USING THE NORMAL CHANNEL READING BECAUSE IT WAS A NEGATIVE VALUE. THIS RESULTED FROM A BACKGROUND SUBTRACT FEATURE ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEW INSTRUMENTATION. THEY WERE LAX IN REPORTING THEIR DIFFICULTY WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE SURVEILLANCE PROCEDURE TO THE PROPER PERSONNEL.

THE BACKGROUND SUBTRACT WAS DISABLED, THE ALARM SETPOINT SET AT < 2x BACKGROUND AND THE SURVEILLANCE PROCEDURE REVISED. THE OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT MET WITH PERSONS INVOLVED TO DISCUSS MORE STRINGENT CONTROLS OVER FUTURE RELATED DESIGN CHANGE INSTALLATIONS. A FOLLOWUP REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED CONCERNING ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.