Docket Nos. 50-266; 50-301 License Nos. DPR-24; DPR-27 NOED No. 94-3-003

Wisconsin Electric Power Company ATTN: Mr. Robert E. Link Vice President Nuclear Fower 231 West Michigar Street - P379 Milwaukee, WI 53201

Dear Mr. Link:

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF ENFORCEMENT DISCRETION FOR WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY REGARDING THE POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

By letter dated February 9, 1994, you requested the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to exercise its discretion not to enforce compliance with the required actions in Technical Specification (TS) 15.3.0. Your staff informed the NRC on February 8, 1994, that the Point Beach Nuclear Plant would not be able to restore either Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) GO1 or GO2 to operable status before having to comply with the requirement to place both units in Hot Shutdown within the next three hours. The 3-hour time limit would not allow sufficient time to perform the necessary GO1 repairs to correct VAR fluctuations caused by the shorting of a cable assembly within the generator, or to return GO2 to service from its annual preventive maintenance outage. You requested an additional 7 hours to place the first unit in Hot Shutdown and 10 hours to place the second unit in Hot Shutdown. You provided as justification for an outage time extension of approximately 7 hours, that during the additional time that both units would be operating at power, should a loss of offsite power occur with both EDGs out of service, the combustion turbine (GO5) was operable and available to provide power to the required shutdown loads. In addition, with both units at normal operating temperature, steam generators and auxiliary feedwater would be available to remove decay heat. You also identified compensatory measures which included actions to protect the availability of off-site power, and the suspension of testing and maintenance activities with the potential to adversely affect GO5 or plant status.

Based on our review of your justification, including the compensatory measures identified above, the staff concluded that extending the shutdown time did not significantly increase the risk to public health and safety. Integral to our decision was the increase in safety attained by alleviating a simultaneous dual unit shutdown during an off-shift and unnecessary thermal cycles on the plants.

IE011/0

Therefore, this letter documents our verbal granting on February 8, 1994, of enforcement discretion with regard to Technical Specification 15.3.0 for the period from February 9, 1994 at 1:04 a.m. (CST) until February 9, 1994, at 8:00 a.m. (CST), with the susubsequent requirement to place the first unit in Hot Shutdown by 11:00 a.m. (CST) and the second unit in Hot Shutdown by 2:00 p.m. (CST) if at least one EDG is not restored to operable status. Notwithstanding our granting of enforcement discretion, we will consider enforcement action, as appropriate, for the conditions that led to the need for this exercise of enforcement discretion.

Sincerely,

Crisinal signed by E. G. Greenman

Edward G. Greenman, Director Division of Reactor Projects

G. J. Maxfield, Plant Manager OC/LFDCB Resident Inspector, RIII Virgil Kanable, Chief Boiler Section Cheryl L. Parrino, Chairman Wisconsin Public Service Commission State Liaison Officer R. DeFayette, EICS, RIII W. T. Russell, NRR J. W. Roe, NRR S. Varga, NRR J. Lieberman, OE Technical Assistant, DRP I/II, NRR

bcc: PUBLIC

Greger

Hannon

RIII

cco Olteanu