PORTER EVANS 13813 Boswell Blvd. Sun City, Arizona 85351

Tuesday, 24 August 1982.

Secretary of the Commission, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn: Docketing and Serivce Branch.

\*82 AGO 30 A11:27 OFFICE OF SEC

DOCKET NUMBER PROPOSED RULE P

Gentlemen:

I have read with interest an item in the Arizona Republic. Phoenix, Arizona: "Nuclear regulator asks public's ideas". I must say that drugs and alcohol mix with nuclear products about as well as they do with gasoline. I am somewhat surprised that the Commission feels it necessary to question the using of drugs and/or alcohol by personnel having the least thing to do with any nuclear oriented facility.

In the mid-fifties, I was the Radiological, Officer for San Francisco Naval Shipyard and nuclear defense advisor to Admiral, Comtwelve. As radiological safety officer it was my duty to prepare, promulgate and execute radiological safety regulations for the shipyard, partucularly in the field of non-destructive test operations. This area was, without question, health sensitive. It was my firm order that there should be no alcohol contaminated personnel allowed in controlled areas. No real drug problem existed then, but employees on medication that dulled thinking and slowed physical reeactions were assigned to other functions until the condition of their health permitted return to regular duty.

These conditions were readily achieved via random physicals and laborstory rather than a regularly scheduled medical program. This method gave the Radiological Safety Officer effective control.

I feel that any person working with radioactive materials. and especially those assigned to emergency teams, should observe every precaution, and the people themselves should be at their best mental and physical faculties at all times. There is really no room for error in either judgement or operational procedure in the event of a serious nuclear accident (and I hate to add - sabotage) in any facility employing nuclear materials. However, such events can be planned for, thus leaving as little as possible to chance.

My humble opinion is that and governmental or industrial or medical facility using nuclear devices or products must be properly regulated, inspected and/or monitored in order to insure a high degree of radiological safety to both facility and vicinity alike.

Thank you for listening.

8209010293 820830

PROPOSED RULE PR-50 (47 FR 33980)

DOCKETED

\*82 AGO 30 A11:32

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Edward J. Wasilewski, Ph.D.

Licensed Psychologist 6236 Sterling Drive Newport, Michigan 48166 (313) 289-4291

August 25, 1982

Secretary of the Communion Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, E. E. 20555

Atention Dochetingand Sewice Branch:

As a clinical prophologist and nearly resident of Detroit Edison's Fermi II nuclear plant (live within 2 mile radius), Sam fully behind the development of rules to assure that persons unfit for duty do not operate nuclear plants. I fover prophological evaluations that usual include an interview as well as the administration of elective and projective prophological tests. One test alone eg, the MMPS should not be enough to sovien an individual.

for alcohol and/or drug wage and behaviour

procedures required of each licensee withallowance for unique circumstances.

DSID: newseloft

Acknowledged by card 8/31/82 emp.

Sincerely Wasilewshi Phi