NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Mallinckrodt Medical, Inc. Warren, Michigan

License No. 24-04206-10MD Docket No. 030-29675

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 22, 1993, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 30.41(c) requires that, prior to transferring byproduct material, the licensee verify that the transferee's license authorizes the receipt of the type, form, and quantity of byproduct material to be transferred. 10 CFR 30.41(d) specifies acceptable methods for this verification.

Contrary to the above, from December 10, 1993, to December 17, 1993, the licensee transferred nine doses containing millicurie quantities of technetium-99m, to Health Stop Medical Center and the licensee did not verify prior to the transfer by an acceptable method that the transferee's license authorized receipt of this material. Specifically, the copy of Health Stop Medical Center's NRC license on file at Mallinckrodt showed an expiration date of March 31, 1993.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Mallinckrodt Medical, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois, 60532-4351, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

	FEB 4	1994
--	-------	------

Dated

John A. Grobe, Chief

Nuclear Materials Inspection

Section 2