

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | W I P B H 2 | 2 | 0 0 0 - | 0 0 0 0 0 0 - | 0 0 | 3 | 4 1 1 1 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 5 | 0 0 | 0 3 | 0 1 | 7 | 0 7 | 2 8 | 8 2 | 8 | 0 8 | 2 0 | 8 2 | 9
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | During normal operation, while a contractor was welding a pipe restraint
0 3 | to a section of CVCS divert line piping, a pinhole leak developed in the
0 4 | pipe adjacent to the weld. A contractor was sprayed with water but was
0 5 | not contaminated. There was no flow through the line at the time but
0 6 | there was stagnant water under slight pressure inside the pipe. The
0 7 | event is reportable in accordance with Technical Specification
0 8 | 15.6.9.2.A.3.
7 8 9

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | P C | 11 | CAUSE CODE | B | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | B | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | P I P E X X | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | A | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 2 | EVENT YEAR | 8 2 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 0 6 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 3 | REPORT TYPE | L | REVISION NO. | 0
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTION TAKEN | D | 18 | FUTURE ACTION | Z | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 0 0 0 | 22 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | NRR-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | N | 25 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | X 9 9 9 | 26
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | The leak occurred during the pipe restraint welding process. The hole
1 1 | was weld repaired. The repair was hydrostatically tested and the line
1 2 | was returned to service later the same day.
1 3 |
1 4 |
7 8 9

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | E | 28 | % POWER | 1 0 0 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | A | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Contractor personnel observation | 32
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | L | 33 | RELEASED OF RELEASE | M | 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | Undetectable | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | Piping to waste disposal system | 36
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | 0 0 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39
7 8 9 11 12 13 80

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | 0 0 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41
7 8 9 11 12 80

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | Z | 42 | TYPE | N/A | 43
7 8 9 10 80

2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | N | 44 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45 | 8208310269 820820 PDR ADDOCK 05000301 S PDR | NRC USE ONLY
7 8 9 10 68 69 80

NAME OF PREPARER C. W. Fay

PHONE: 414/277-2811

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 82-006/03L-0

Wisconsin Electric Power Company
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 2
Docket No. 50-301

On July 28, 1982, during normal operation, a contractor was welding a pipe restraint to a section of the chemical and volume control system letdown divert line piping in the auxiliary building as a part of NRC IE Bulletin No. 79-14 work. During the welding process, a pinhole leak developed in the pipe adjacent to the weld. There was no flow through the line at the time, but there was stagnant water under a slight pressure inside the pipe. One of the contractors working on the restraint was lightly sprayed with water from the leak. It was estimated that the leak existed for a total time of about 8 minutes. The Duty Shift Supervisor was notified of the event and the section of piping was isolated and the drain valve opened shortly thereafter. The leakage ran to the floor drain in the area which is connected to the plant's waste disposal system.

The auxiliary building ventilation system was out of service at the time of the leak. Health physics personnel took air samples in the area and found no detectable airborne activity. Likewise, a wet smear of the water leakage showed no detectable activity. Smears of the dry floor in the area showed activity levels of no higher than 500 dpm/100 cm². No contamination was detected on the contractor sprayed with water.

The leak occurred during the pipe restraint welding process. The hole was welded up and the repair was incorporated into the pipe restraint weld. The repair was hydrostatically tested and the line was returned to service later the same day.

This event is reported in accordance with Technical Specification 15.6.9.2.B.4. The NRC Resident Inspector has been notified of this event.