

COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM PLANT

CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

MALLINCKRODT, INC.

ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI PLANT

January 10, 1994

C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	2
2.1 SITE INFORMATION	2
2.1.1 Site Description	2
2.1.2 Site Radiological History	3
2.1.3 Site Remediation of MED-AEC Wastes	4
2.1.4 Hydrologic Setting	5
2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION	6
2.2.1 Historical Background	6
2.2.2 Plant 5 Development History	8
2.2.3 Plant 5 Hydrogeological Conditions	11
2.2.4 Former C-T Process and Support Areas	13
2.2.5 Environmental Controls and Waste Management	16
2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS	17
2.3.1 Historical Background	17
2.3.2 Former MED-AEC Process and Support Areas	18
2.3.3 Environmental Controls and Waste Management	19
2.3.4 Previous MED-AEC Decontamination Activities	22
2.4 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM	24
2.4.1 DOE's Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program	24
2.4.2 DOE Obligations Under the Federal Facilities Agreement	26
2.5 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS OF C-T PROCESS & SUPPORT AREAS	28
3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION & DECOMMISSIONING	31
3.1 SITE-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS AND OBJECTIVES	31
3.2 PROPOSED APPROACH AND RATIONALE	32
3.3 CHARACTERIZATION SCOPE	34
3.4 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN OBJECTIVES	36
4.0 SCOPE OF WORK	38
4.1 RADIONUCLIDES AND CHARACTERIZATION GUIDELINES	38
4.1.1 Radionuclides of Concern	38
4.1.2 Characterization Guideline Values	39
4.2 IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED AREAS	41
4.2.1 Building Interiors and Roofs	41
4.2.2 Plant 5 Streets	42
4.2.3 Plant 5 Sewers	42
4.2.4 C-T Incinerator	42
4.2.5 Wastewater Neutralization Basins	43
4.2.6 Subsurface Soils	43

C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	<u>Page</u>
5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN	44
5.1 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	44
5.1.1 Detection/Measurement Sensitivities	44
5.1.2 Field Data Confidence Limits	45
5.1.3 Reporting Data	45
5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS	46
5.2.1 Building Interiors	46
5.2.2 Piping, Vessels and Other Equipment	47
5.2.3 Building Exterior Walls	47
5.2.4 Building Roofs	47
5.2.5 C-T Incinerator Area	49
5.2.6 Plant 5 Streets	49
5.2.7 Wastewater Neutralization Basins	50
5.3 SAMPLES FOR RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION	50
5.3.1 Methodology	50
5.3.2 Building Interiors	52
5.3.3 Plant 5 Streets	52
5.3.4 Other Samples	52
5.4 BACKGROUND DETERMINATION	54
5.5 EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENTS	55
5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION	55
5.6.1 Constituents of Concern	55
5.6.2 Samples to be Analyzed	58
5.6.3 Analytes and Parameters	59
5.7 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND SCHEDULE	61
5.7.1 Approach to Implementation	61
5.7.2 Target Schedule	61
5.7.3 Site Characterization Report	62
6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES	63
6.1 GAMMA SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS	63
6.2 ALPHA SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS	64
6.3 RADIOLOGICAL INSTRUMENTATION	64
6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	65
6.4.1 Field Instrumentation	65
6.4.2 Duplicate Field Measurements	66
6.4.3 Data Review	66
6.4.4 Audits	66
6.4.5 Sample and Document Custody Procedures	66
6.4.6 Laboratory Quality Control	68

C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
7.0 DECONTAMINATION TESTS	69
8.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT	70
8.1 SOIL CUTTINGS	70
8.2 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION WASTES	70
8.2.1 Sludges	71
8.2.2 Wastewater	71
8.3 DECONTAMINATION TEST WASTES	72
8.4 MISCELLANEOUS WASTES	72
9.0 ADMINISTRATION	73
9.1 ORGANIZATION	73
9.2 HEALTH AND SAFETY	73
9.3 TRAINING	74
10.0 REFERENCES	76

TABLES

- 2-1 GROUNDWATER RADIOISOTOPE ANALYSIS
- 2-2 C-T PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS
- 2-3 C-T RAW MATERIALS LIST
- 2-4 MED-AEC RAW MATERIALS LIST
- 3-1 C-T CHARACTERIZATION AREAS
- 5-1 ANALYSES/SURVEYS SENSITIVITIES
- 5-2 INSTRUMENTATION FOR CHARACTERIZATION SURVEY
- 5-3 ADDITIONAL RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS
- 5-4 BUILDING ROOFS
- 5-5 SUBSURFACE SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION
- 5-6 SUBSURFACE SAMPLE ANALYTE LIST
- 9-1 SITE SPECIFIC TRAINING

FIGURES

- 2-1 SITE LOCATION MAP
- 2-2 CURRENT PLANT PLOT PLAN
- 2-3 APRIL, 1991 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH
- 2-4 SITE HYDROGEOLOGICAL CROSS SECTION
- 2-5 WATER LEVEL CONTOUR MAP
- 2-6 EUXENITE PRODUCTION & SUPPORT AREAS
- 2-7 PLANT 5 PERCHED GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAP
- 2-8 PLANT 5 GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC PROFILE
- 2-9 C-T PRODUCTION & SUPPORT AREAS
- 2-10 URO BURIAL LAYOUT MAP
- 2-11 C-T BLOCK PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM
- 2-12 MED-AEC PRODUCTION & SUPPORT AREAS
- 2-13 MED-AEC BLOCK FLOW DIAGRAM
- 5-1 BLDG. 238 SUBSURFACE SAMPLES
- 5-2 BLDG. 246/247 SUBSURFACE SAMPLES
- 5-3 BLDG. 248 SUBSURFACE SAMPLES
- 5-4 PLANT 5 STREETS SUBSURFACE SAMPLES
- 5-5 PLANT 5 SEWER MANHOLE SAMPLES
- 5-6 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN SCHEDULE
- 9-1 C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN ORGANIZATION

APPENDICES

- A. MED PLANT OPERATIONS DESCRIPTION
- B. DESCRIPTION OF DECONTAMINATION TESTS
- C. HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
- D. R.S.O. EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This plan describes the activities to be performed to characterize radioactive residues associated with the Mallinckrodt, Inc. (Mallinckrodt) former Columbium-Tantalum (C-T) facility operated under Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Source Material License STB-401. Section 2.0 describes the site, radiological processing activities which have occurred at the site, including C-T operations and those performed under the direction of the Manhattan Engineer District and the Atomic Energy Commission (MED-AEC), and provides a discussion of Department of Energy (DOE) responsibilities under the Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) and the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). Section 3.0 describes Mallinckrodt's overall approach to site characterization and decommissioning. Sections 4 through 10 describe the data quality objectives for the investigation, the specific surveys, sampling and analysis to be performed in site characterization; analytical techniques to be employed; program implementation and schedule; decontamination tests to be performed; characterization waste management; and program administration, including discussions of the organization performing the project, and health and safety practices to be employed.

Mallinckrodt is submitting this characterization plan to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for review and concurrence prior to plan implementation. Mallinckrodt believes that NRC concurrence with the scope and technical aspects of the plan is an important initial step in the timely and cost-effective termination of license STB-401.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 SITE INFORMATION

2.1.1 Site Description

The Mallinckrodt St. Louis plant is a 43 acre site located at 3600 North 2nd Street on the west bank of the Mississippi River in an area that is zoned and developed for industrial uses (Figure 2-1). The plant is generally bounded by Salisbury Street on the north, Angelrodt Street on the south, Broadway on the west, and Wharf Street on the east. The plant has been in operation since 1867 and has produced a wide range of products, including metallic oxides and salts, ammonia, gun cotton, organic chemicals, and various weapons-related uranium products. The plant currently manufactures a variety of products for food, drug, cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and specialty chemical uses.

The plant property and equipment is owned by Mallinckrodt, Inc. (Mallinckrodt), a Delaware corporation. The plant is operated by Mallinckrodt Specialty Chemicals Company (MSCC), a Delaware corporation, on behalf of Mallinckrodt.

The St. Louis plant has evolved with time. The plant currently consists of approximately 100 buildings in over ten sub-plants encompassing twelve city blocks. Plant departments and buildings are designated by numbers or single letters. A current plant plot plan is provided in Figure 2-2. A 1991 aerial photograph of the plant is shown in Figure 2-3. The present-day Plant 10 includes the area formerly described as Plant 4. Plant support facilities include maintenance shops, research and quality control laboratories, warehouses, steam boilers, wastewater neutralization basins and a RCRA-permitted facility for drum storage of hazardous waste. The site also operates numerous tanks for the bulk storage of both organic and inorganic chemical liquids, one large fuel tank, and two above-ground gasoline storage tanks.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1 SITE INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1.1 Site Description (Continued)

The St. Louis plant and adjacent industrial areas to the north and south were developed over the past century by placing fill over the alluvial soils of the Mississippi River floodplain. The fill typically consisted of bricks, coal, slag, cinder, concrete, construction rubble, glass, sand and clay. Construction of the Mississippi River levee east of Wharf Street started around 1964, and it now protects the plant from floodwater. Most of the plant is covered by buildings or by asphalt or concrete pavement.

2.1.2 Site Radiological History

Various past production operations at the Mallinckrodt plant have employed radioactive materials:

- From 1942 to 1957, Mallinckrodt refined uranium for national defense purposes, first as a contractor for the Manhattan Engineer District (MED), and later for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC).
- From 1956 to 1960, Mallinckrodt Chemical Works extracted columbium, tantalum, uranium, thorium, and rare earth elements from euxenite mineral ore for delivery to the AEC and the General Services Administration (GSA) as part of the Defense Materials Procurement Program. The euxenite operation was performed under AEC source material license No. R-226 which expired in 1960.
- Between 1956 and 1977, Mallinckrodt subdivided small quantities of uranyl and thorium salts for resale under NRC license SUC-872. A report of Mallinckrodt's final radioactivity survey was submitted to NRC on December 13, 1979.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1 SITE INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1.2 Site Radiological History (Continued)

From 1961 to 1985, Mallinckrodt performed a commercial operation to extract columbium and tantalum (C-T) oxides and salts from feed materials containing low levels of natural uranium and thorium. The C-T operation was performed under AEC and later U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) source material license No. STB-401. A two month trial production run was performed in early 1987, and the operation has been on standby status since that time.

2.1.3 Site Remediation of MED-AEC Wastes

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is required to investigate and remediate all residual contamination resulting from MED-AEC operations at the St. Louis plant, pursuant to the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) signed by DOE and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in June 1990. As discussed in Section 2.4 below, this requirement extends to both the investigation and remediation of all waste associated with the MED-AEC uranium processing activities, as well as any other waste which may have become commingled with the MED-AEC uranium processing waste. DOE is addressing the contamination through the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). DOE is preparing a proposed plan for remediation of areas within its responsibility. Pursuant to the FFA, the DOE must obtain EPA approval of the selected remedial action plan for the site. Implementation of a remedy is anticipated to commence within the next several years.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1 SITE INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.1.4 Hydrologic Setting

Subsurface investigations indicate the presence of four unconsolidated hydrostratigraphic units and one bedrock unit beneath the plant. These are, from top down (Figures 2-4 and 2-5): 1) an upper unconsolidated unit, 12-20 ft thick, consisting of perched groundwater in fill material; 2) relatively impermeable alluvial silt and clay; 3) a lower unconsolidated unit, 0-60 feet thick, consisting of relatively permeable sandy alluvial sediments; and, 4) limestone bedrock. Bedrock is exposed in highway cuts west of the site, and the bedrock surface slopes eastward to a depth of over 100 feet beneath the Mississippi River.

Groundwater in the sandy alluvial unit is locally saline and generally very hard, with high iron and manganese content. Groundwater found in the underlying bedrock is generally saline and non-potable. Groundwater beneath the plant and surrounding industrial areas is not used as a drinking water source. There are no known drinking water wells in the vicinity of the plant. The City of St. Louis obtains its drinking water from the Missouri River and Mississippi River (Chain of Rocks Water Works, 6.5 miles upstream from the plant).

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION

2.2.1 Historical Background

Mallinckrodt extracted columbium and tantalum compounds from natural and synthetic ores and tin slags from approximately 1956 to 1985. Columbium and tantalum are naturally occurring metallic elements with various uses. Columbium, also called niobium, is used as an alloying agent in carbon and alloy steels and in nonferrous metals to improve material strength and provide other desirable properties. Tantalum is used in electronic components, chemical process equipment, and aircraft and missile parts.

From approximately 1956 to 1960, Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (MCW) extracted columbium, tantalum, uranium, thorium, and rare earth elements from euxenite mineral ore for national defense purposes. The operation was performed in connection with the Defense Materials Procurement Agency (General Services Administration) and Atomic Energy Commission. MCW was a subcontractor, and performed the euxenite processing under an AEC source material license R-226. The license expired March 1, 1960. Former euxenite process and support areas are shown on Figure 2-6.

In 1961, after the end of the euxenite operation, MCW began production of columbium and tantalum compounds at the St. Louis plant under a new AEC license number STB-401 issued on August 23, 1961. C-T process and support areas are shown on Figure 2-9. The C-T operations were conducted from 1961 to 1985. A brief pilot run was conducted in 1987.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.1 Historical Background (Continued)

The business nature and feed materials of the C-T operation changed with time. From 1961 to approximately 1974, MCW purchased feed materials for processing from Malaysia, Thailand and other countries. From approximately 1975 to 1985, feed materials were processed under a long-term contract with National Research Corporation, Inc., of Newton, Massachusetts, which was owned partially by a West German company, H. C. Starck, Co., and a trading company called Salmon Corp. The feed materials during this period (1975-1985) consisted of a blend of upgraded synthetic tin slag concentrates and natural columbite and tantalite mineral ores.

In February 1986, Mallinckrodt informed the NRC that National Research Corporation, Inc. did not renew its contract and that the C-T plant would be placed on standby. Following a one year shutdown, a two month pilot production run was performed in early 1987 to explore new business opportunities. The pilot run processed approximately 20,000 pounds of tin slag from Thailand. The C-T plant was returned to standby mode following the trial run. No further C-T operations have occurred since that time.

Mallinckrodt's NRC source material license for the C-T operation expires March 31, 1994. On July 12, 1993, NRC amended Mallinckrodt's license to a possession-only license for decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) and license termination upon completion of D&D.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.1 Historical Background (Continued)

It is estimated that a total of 105 curies of natural uranium (U-238, U-234, U-235) and natural thorium (Th-232, Th-228) were processed during the euxenite operation. Original contract documents suggest that 95 Ci of natural uranium and 10 Ci of natural thorium were contained in the ores processed.

Approximately 25 curies of natural uranium and thorium were processed during C-T operations under license STB-401. It is estimated that 6 Ci of natural uranium and 19 Ci of natural thorium were contained in the ores and tin slags processed.

2.2.2 Plant 5 Development History

Most of the C-T operations occurred in portions of Plant 5. The current Plant 5 area was used for manufacturing prior to its purchase by Mallinckrodt. Mallinckrodt began to develop Plant 5 in 1947 with the construction of buildings 200 West, 200 East, and 201 along Angelrodt Street at the southern end of the block. These buildings are still in operation and house organic and inorganic manufacturing processes. A new sewer system was installed in sections as Plant 5 developed. Wastewater was conveyed in underground sewers to the northwest corner of Plant 7. From this point, an underground sewer carried Plant 5 effluent east and connected to the sewer and outfall system constructed to support the MED-AEC Destrehan Street Facility. A pair of wastewater neutralization basins was constructed in the northeast corner of Plant 7 in the early 1970's.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.2 Plant 5 Development History (Continued)

The building 219 warehouse/shed structure was built in 1949.

Buildings 213 and 236 were constructed in 1953. Building 213 was constructed as a locker and change facility for Plant 5 operations. It now houses plant utility operations, as well as a break room. Building 236 is currently used as a Plant 5 maintenance shop. At one time, C-T product was dried in tray dryers in building 236.

Building 238 was constructed in 1954 to house the euxenite process, the predecessor to the C-T operation. C-T operations performed in building 238 are described in Section 2.2.4 below.

Buildings 235 and 245 were constructed in 1959. Building 235 was used as a returned goods warehouse and at one time was used to store C-T feed materials and unreacted ore (URO). The east end of building 235 has recently been converted for chemical manufacturing. All areas of building 235 are currently being renovated for manufacturing and associated support activities. Building 245 was used for organic chemical processing unrelated to C-T.

Warehouse buildings 222 and 223 were built in 1960.

The building 204 inorganic chemical manufacturing facility was constructed in 1961.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.2 Plant 5 Development History (Continued)

Buildings 246A and 246B were built about 1961 as building 238 was converted for C-T processing. C-T operations offices were located in building 246A. The original C-T extraction operations were performed in building 246B.

Building 250 was built in 1967 to support C-T and other manufacturing operations. The C-T quality control and research laboratories were relocated to building 250, as were manufacturing and laboratory facilities for other Mallinckrodt products. Prior to building 250 construction, C-T research laboratories were located in building 25, in Plant 1. This building was also used to support AEC-MED operations and will be addressed by DOE under FUSRAP.

Buildings 247A, 247B, and 248 were constructed in 1967 to house expanded C-T extraction and finishing equipment.

Building 240 was built in 1970 to provide office space for Plant 5 production personnel.

All Plant 5 streets are paved with asphalt or concrete. Paved streets were installed to serve manufacturing and warehouse buildings as they were constructed.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.3 Plant 5 Hydrogeological Conditions

The hydrogeologic setting of the Mallinckrodt St. Louis Plant was previously summarized in Section 2.1. The subsurface hydrogeologic conditions in the vicinity of the C-T production and support areas in Plant 5 have been studied during previous investigations. These investigations have included the installation of over fifty exploratory borings within Plant 5, some of which were completed as monitoring wells.

The hydrostratigraphy, groundwater flow directions, and hydraulic gradient in the Plant 5 area are described below. Groundwater level contours and select boring locations in the Plant 5 area are shown on Figure 2-7. A subsurface profile of Plant 5 is shown on Figure 2-8.

Plant 5 is underlain by four stratigraphic units. These are, from top down (Profile A-A', Figure 2-8):

- . fill, 7-18 feet thick;
- . a relatively impermeable fine-grained alluvial unit, 20-30 feet thick;
- . a sandy alluvial unit, 0-10 feet thick; and
- . limestone bedrock.

The fill consists of bricks, clay, coal slag, cinder, concrete, construction rubble, glass, and sand. A perched groundwater unit occurs within the fill in Plant 5 at depths ranging from approximately three to nine feet below ground surface. Groundwater elevation measurements performed between 1982 and 1989 indicate that the perched groundwater flows generally to the northeast (Figure 2-7) with a horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.006 ft/ft.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.3 Plant 5 Hydrogeological Conditions (Continued)

The fine grain alluvial unit beneath the fill consists of interbedded silty clay, clay, silt, and sandy silt. Representative hydraulic and geotechnical properties of this unit were evaluated by DOE. An in-situ, changing head test performed in this unit in Plant 7 yielded a horizontal hydraulic conductivity of 9.9×10^{-6} cm/sec. DOE also collected fourteen undisturbed samples of this unit from various boreholes across the St. Louis Plant and tested them for laboratory permeability, cation exchange, and geotechnical parameters. The vertical hydraulic conductivity ranged from 4×10^{-4} to 1×10^{-6} cm/sec with a geometric mean of 1×10^{-5} cm/sec. Cation exchange capacities ranged from 70 to 200 meq/100 g, with an average value of 39 meq/100 g.

The sandy alluvial unit beneath Plant 5 consists of fine to coarse sand. Groundwater in this unit may be confined or semi-confined by the overlying relatively impermeable fine-grained unit. The groundwater potentiometric surface occurs at depths of about 10 to 35 feet below ground surface. Groundwater in this unit flows eastward towards the Mississippi River with a horizontal hydraulic gradient ranging from 0.01 to 0.02 ft/ft (Figure 2-5).

The bedrock surface beneath Plant 5 occurs at depths ranging from approximately 30 to 55 feet and slopes gently eastward towards the Mississippi River (Profile A-A', Figure 2-8).

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.3 Plant 5 Hydrogeological Conditions (Continued)

Some monitoring wells in and around Plant 5 have been sampled for radionuclides by Mallinckrodt or DOE (see Table 2-1). The site groundwater is not a source of drinking water, but even if it were, it is noteworthy that the reported radionuclide activities are well below USEPA's proposed maximum contaminant levels (MCLs).

2.2.4 Former C-T Process and Support Areas

C-T production and support areas are listed in Table 2-2 and shown on Figure 2-9. All of the C-T process operations occurred in Plant 5. C-T support areas were located in Plants 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8. Process building 238 in Plant 5 was used previously during the euxenite operation, while other process buildings in Plant 5 were constructed specifically for the C-T operation. Selected buildings and areas in Plants 6 and 7 were used to receive and store feed materials and drummed URO waste. Some URO was buried in the western portion of Plant 6 in 1972 and 1973 in conformance with 10 CFR 20.304 (Figure 2-10).

A generalized C-T process flow diagram is shown in Figure 2-11. C-T process raw materials are identified in Table 2-3. Columbium and tantalum oxides and salts were produced in a batch process that included five major steps:

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.4 Former C-T Process and Support Areas (Continued)

Step 1: Feed materials were received by truck in burlap bags and drums. The bags were generally placed in drums or boxes for storage. These were stored in Plants 6 and 7 prior to forklift transport to the ore staging area in Plant 5, where ore batches were selected.

The ore (feed material) was arranged into feed batches in the ore staging area east of Building 245. Ore was also staged on other paved areas in Plant 5. The feed material was ground into a fine grained slurry in the ball mill room (building 238 annex) using a wet milling process. The slurry was then pumped into boildown tanks where excess water was boiled off.

Due to the value of columbium and tantalum, the burlap ore bags were incinerated, and the ash was recycled into the process stream to recover columbium-tantalum. The incinerator was originally located west of building 248. In 1980, a new incinerator was located in its present position west of building 101 in Plant 6.

Step 2: The ore slurry was pumped into large rubber-lined acid dissolving tanks in building 238. Hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, and hydrofluoric acid were used during the tin slag processing. Hydrofluoric and sulfuric acids alone were used in dissolving/leaching columbite and tantalite ores and synthetically upgraded tin slags.

Step 3: The acid C-T mother liquor was decanted from the unreacted ore by mixing and settling. A flocculating agent was utilized to enhance separation. The decanted liquor was filtered and pumped to building 247 for Step 4 processing. Initially, the URO was filtered on

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.4 Former C-T Process and Support Areas (Continued)

a plate and frame filter press as an acid slurry, washed with water, and the cake discharged to the plant sewer system. Between 1975 and 1980, the URO press cake was drummed and stored for future use or disposal. Beginning in 1980, the stored URO was reprocessed and URO generated by the process was neutralized with caustic or ammonia, dewatered in a filter press, dried in a pancake drier, and drummed for transfer to a licensed uranium mill or to a licensed low level radioactive waste disposal facility. All of the above URO processing was performed in building 238.

Step 4: The acid mother liquor was subjected to a two-series extraction/purification process. In the first series, the C-T mother liquor was subjected to an extraction process using methyl isobutylketone (MIBK) and sulfuric acid. This generated a columbium-tantalum-MIBK stream (organic end) and a raffinate (aqueous end) consisting of hydrofluoric acid, sulfuric acid, salts (e.g., iron, manganese), and residual URO material. In the second series, the columbium-tantalum-MIBK stream was contacted with water in a second extractor to separate the columbium from the MIBK phase. This yielded a tantalum-MIBK stream (organic end) and a fluocolumbic acid stream (aqueous end). MIBK was removed from the tantalum-MIBK stream by steam stripping, yielding a fluotantallic acid stream. The raffinate waste stream was steam stripped to remove minute quantities of MIBK, and then used to wash columbium and tantalum acid liquors from the URO and reused as feed liquors for the solvent extraction step, or was neutralized and discharged to the sewer. The MIBK was recovered and recycled back into the process. These process steps were performed in buildings 246B and 247A. Solvent extraction was not utilized until approximately 1964. Prior to this time, the columbium and tantalum are believed to have been separated from the mother liquor by precipitation.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATION (CONTINUED)

2.2.4 Former C-T Process and Support Areas (Continued)

Step 5: The primary C-T process products were columbium oxide and potassium fluotantalate salt. Approximately five percent of the tantalum product was produced as tantalum oxide. Columbium and tantalum oxides were precipitated from their respective product streams (fluocolumbic acid, fluotantallic acid) by addition of ammonia. Finishing steps included filtration, drying, and calcining. Columbium oxide precipitation and finishing were performed in buildings 247B and 248. Tantalum oxide precipitation and finishing were performed in building 238; tray drying was also performed for a short period in building 236. The potassium fluotantalate salt was precipitated from the fluotantallic acid stream by addition of potassium chloride, separated in a centrifuge, and dried in tray dryers. These steps were conducted in building 238.

2.2.5 Environmental Controls and Waste Management

Process environmental controls employed by the C-T operation included air emission controls and floor sumps with controlled discharge to sewers. The emission controls included a dust collector in the ball mill area, two acid fume scrubbers and an ammonia scrubber in building 238, and dryer/calciner scrubbers. The C-T operation was supported by a radiation safety program.

During the C-T operation, decanted wash liquors, raffinate, and C-T filtrate from the final process steps were discharged to the plant sewer.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.2 THE COLUMBIUM-TANTALUM (C-T) OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.2.5 Environmental Controls and Waste Management (Continued)

The management of URO solids changed with time. Prior to 1975, URO was discharged to the sewers. Starting in 1975, all URO solids were drummed and stored on-site. This material was processed starting in 1980 using the new URO neutralization, filtration and drying system. All URO processed through this system was drummed for transfer to a licensed uranium mill or a licensed low level radioactive waste disposal facility.

In 1972 and 1973, approximately 300 cubic yards of URO were buried on-site in conformance with 10 CFR 20.304 (Figure 2-10). This material had been drummed and held for further processing due to high levels of insoluble tantalum. Because of the high cost of recovery, only the drums with the highest levels of tantalum were reprocessed and the rest, which amounted to 300 cubic yards, was buried on-site.

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS

2.3.1 Historical Background

In April 1942, Mallinckrodt, then called Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (MCW), was selected by the United States War Department to produce the key uranium compounds used in the first self-sustaining nuclear chain reactor at the University of Chicago. To produce the needed uranium fuel, MCW purified uranium ore concentrates by ether extraction. The company was the sole supplier of uranium compounds for the Manhattan Project well into 1943 and provided high purity uranium for the duration of the war.

Within 50 days of accepting the assignment from the War Department, MCW began producing highly purified uranium dioxide (UO₂) at a rate of one ton per day in Plant 2. Later in 1942, MCW started production of uranium tetrafluoride (UF₄ or green salt). In July 1943, MCW started the first uranium metal plant in the area called Plant 4 (this area is now designated Plant 10). Laboratory and process

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS

2.3.1 Historical Background (continued)

development support for Plant 2 and 4 activities were provided from facilities in Plant 1. In 1945, the Destrehan Plant (Plants 6, 6E, and 7 on Destrehan Street, Figure 2-12) was built to process pitchblende ore and to increase the capacity of the refinery. Plant 6 production began in 1946. By 1948, the project employed 250 people and operated approximately \$12 million worth of equipment. In 1958, the plant was put on standby, and the uranium processing was transferred to the Weldon Spring Plant.

The St. Louis plant manufactured approximately 50,000 tons of uranium products during the MED-AEC operations. Feed materials containing approximately 30,000 Ci natural uranium and 10 Ci natural thorium activity were processed between 1942 and 1958.

In 1950 and 1951, the MED-AEC facilities in Plants 1 and 2 were partially decommissioned. In 1960 and 1961, the MED-AEC facilities in the former Plant 4 area and the Destrehan Plant were decommissioned. These decommissioning activities are described further in Section 2.3.4.

As described in Section 2.4 below, DOE will remediate these facilities under the FUSRAP program.

2.3.2 Former MED-AEC Process and Support Areas

Figure 2-12 shows the former MED-AEC production process and support areas, and Figure 2-13 shows a generalized process flow diagram for the MED-AEC operation. Table 2-4 lists the feed materials, other raw materials, products, and byproducts of the MED-AEC production process.

The production of uranium metal in the Destrehan Plant involved eight basic process steps: (1) acid digestion of feed material in nitric acid; (2) adjustment of the the resulting liquor; (3) solvent (ether)

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.3.2 Former MED-AEC Process and Support Areas (Continued)

extraction of uranyl nitrate from solution; (4) production of uranium trioxide (UO_3) by dinitration of uranyl nitrate; (5) production of uranium dioxide (UO_2) by hydrogen reduction; (6) production of uranium tetrafluoride (UF_4) by reaction with anhydrous hydrofluoric acid (HF); (7) production of uranium metal by reduction of uranium tetrafluoride; and, (8) vacuum remelt and recasting of uranium metal. When pitchblende feed materials were used, the process steps included radium stripping and the generation of radium-bearing wastes (K-65 and barium sulfate cake).

MCW developed a number of these steps at the pilot scale for batch production during the early years of the operation. These were later converted to full scale production process steps for continuous production in the Destrehan Plant. MED-AEC operations are described in detail in Appendix A. This Appendix also includes aerial photos showing MED-AEC operation areas in Plants 6, 7 and 4, as well as major operating facilities in Plants 6 and 7.

2.3.3 Environmental Controls and Waste Management

MED-AEC environmental controls included dust control scrubbers with baghouses, non-discharge sumps, material handling or laboratory hoods, and incinerators. Laboratory and walk-in hoods were installed to control dust and provide ventilation for employee safety. Concern for dust control began in the early stages of the uranium processing. Dust collection systems became a standard after 1943-44. With the start up of the Destrehan Plant, dust control systems, ventilation systems, and radiation shields were installed in pitchblende processing areas to provide additional protection from radium.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.3.3 Environmental Controls and Waste Management (Continued)

During the construction of Plants 6E (Metals Plant) and 7 (Green Salt Plant), process equipment was improved to reduce the dust levels. Three dust control systems were installed in Plant 4, and one each in buildings 102, 110, and 705.

In the wet processing areas of Plant 6 (buildings 101, 104, and 105), floor sumps collected overflows, leakage, washings, etc. The liquid material was pumped to sump-liquor concentration tanks, where the solution was concentrated by precipitation. The concentrated solution was then pumped to digesters or adjustment tanks.

Buildings 101 and 111 in Plant 6 contained sumps. Buildings 104, 105, 120, and 704 contained sumps that discharged to collection tanks or the sewer system.

Incinerators were used to burn miscellaneous contaminated materials such as rags, packaging supplies, old uniforms, etc. Incinerators used for the MED-AEC operations were located approximately 20 feet east of building 403 in Plant 4, and in the building 115 yard area in Plant 6.

Waste management activities were conducted within and adjacent to each plant. Solid wastes were shipped off-site for disposal. In wet process areas of the Destrehan Plant, liquid process materials were recycled, and raffinates were dewatered prior to off-site disposal.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.3.3 Environmental Controls and Waste Management (Continued)

Recycled liquid waste streams included ether, nitric acid, and hydrofluoric acid. Some liquids were recycled on-site. Others, such as spent hydrofluoric acid, were shipped off-site to the original supplier. Hydrofluoric acid waste streams from the UF_4 processing were neutralized and discharged to the sewers. Contract No. W-14-108-Eng-8, Supplement Agreement No. 11 (March 1, 1947) indicated that sewer lines were installed in Plant 6 to drain three sumps, located outside the north wall of the manufacturing building. Weak acid concentrates and tail water from the nitric acid concentrator were discharged to the plant sewer. A 1956 description of the Destrehan Plant operations indicated that raffinate filtrate from pitchblend extraction was discharged to the sewer at a rate of 12,000 gallons per day.

The sewer systems from Plants 1 and 2 drained north into the deeper of two sewers beneath Salisbury Street. This sewer flowed east by gravity and discharged into the Mississippi River. The Plant 4 sewers flowed west to the Broadway sewer which flowed to the south and ultimately to the Mississippi River. The Destrehan Plant (plants 6 and 7) discharged wastewater to the shallow Salisbury Street sewer and to a dedicated sewer built beneath Destrehan Street by MED/AEC. Both sewers discharged into the Mississippi River.

From 1942 to 1945 MED-AEC solid wastes were shipped to the Lake Ontario Ordnance Works in Lewiston, New York. Most of this material was subsequently transferred to National Lead in Fernald, Ohio in 1952.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.3.3 Environmental Controls and Waste Management (Continued)

From 1946 to 1958, process wastes were sent to a 22-acre AEC storage site next to the St. Louis airport. The lead gangue cake (K-65) from pitchblende processing was packaged in drums and stored in building 114 until removed by the AEC. The barium sulfate and raffinate cakes were collected in dumpsters, loaded in AEC dump trucks, and transferred daily to the AEC-owned St. Louis airport storage site. The raffinate, a byproduct from the wet processes, was dewatered, stripped of ether, precipitated, and filtered to a cake. The St. Louis airport storage site received approximately 74,000 tons of Belgian Congo pitchblende raffinate cake, 32,500 tons of Colorado raffinate cake, and 8,700 tons of barium sulfate cake. Other MED-AEC wastes sent to the site included metal scrap, dolomite slag, and tailings of uranium scalping operations from magnesium fluoride slag.

2.3.4 Previous MED-AEC Decontamination Activities

Programs to decontaminate surfaces and structures in Plants 1 and 2 were performed in 1950, 1954 and 1970.

In 1960 and 1961, the AEC initiated decontamination of Plant 4 and the Destrehan Plant. In general, all buildings in Plant 4 and all buildings in the wet processing areas of Plant 6 were demolished. The salvageable material and equipment were decontaminated and sold. If the material could not be decontaminated to levels below the acceptable cleanup standards, it was either sold as non-salvageable material with usage restrictions or disposed of.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.3 THE MED-AEC OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2.3.4 Previous MED-AEC Decontamination Activities (Continued)

The goal of the decommissioning effort was to decontaminate the area to then permissible levels. The levels applied during the decontamination activities were:

- average beta-gamma radiation no greater than 0.1 milliroentgen-equivalent-physical per hour with peaks no greater than 1 mrep/hr.
- average alpha activity of 1,000 dpm/100 cm² with peaks no greater than 5,000 dpm/100 cm².

Decontamination methods used to remove gross contamination included:

- broom sweeping to clean floors of accumulated dust and debris
- water rinsing using fire hydrants at maximum pressure
- dry sandblasting to clean concrete and steel surfaces, followed by a water rinse
- pneumatic hammer chipping where contamination penetrated deep into concrete
- spot cleaning of certain surfaces with an acid-detergent solvent.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.4 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM AND FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT

2.4.1 DOE's Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954 contained a Congressional finding that the "regulation . . . of the production and utilization of atomic energy and of the facilities used in connection therewith is necessary in the national interest . . . to protect the health and safety of the public." 42 U.S.C. §2012(e). The Act also states "in order to protect the public and to encourage the development of the atomic energy industry . . . the United States may make funds available for a portion of the damages suffered by the public from nuclear incidents [defined to include any "occurrence . . . causing damage to property"], and may limit the liability of those persons liable for such losses." *Id.* at 2012(i). The Act directs DOE to establish standards to "minimize danger to life or property" *Id.* at 2201(b), and authorizes the appropriation and expenditure of funds by DOE "for the restoration or replacement of any plant or facility destroyed or otherwise seriously damaged . . ." *Id.* at 2017(c). Thus, DOE's authority under the Act extends to the "restoration" of facilities damaged by authorized activities, owned by contractors, such as Mallinckrodt, that assisted with the development of the program.

DOE, in turn, has used that authority to establish the FUSRAP. When DOE established FUSRAP, it stated that the objective of the program was "to ensure that sites formerly used by the Manhattan Engineer District and the Atomic Energy Commission are not contaminated with radioactive residues that may present a radiological hazard to the general public" (FUSRAP Summary Protocol; January 1986). FUSRAP is a recognition of the partnership that allowed the expansion of the wartime and peacetime nuclear programs, and an acknowledgement of the government's responsibility to remediate formerly utilized sites.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.4 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM AND FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT

2.4.1 DOE's Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) (Continued)

Review of Mallinckrodt's St. Louis site occurred in 1977, when the Energy Research and Development Agency (ERDA) commissioned a study of former MED/AEC activities at the site. This was followed by a radiological survey completed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in 1981. The survey identified residual MED-AEC contamination above DOE guidelines. In 1992, DOE gave formal notice in the Federal Register of its intent to Prepare a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study and an Environmental Impact Statement for the response action at the St. Louis Site. DOE states in that notice that the primary objective of FUSRAP is to "identify and remediate sites where radioactive contamination remains from the early years of the nations' (sic) atomic energy program or from other activities that resulted in conditions that Congress has authorized DOE to remediate" (57 Fed. Reg. 887, Column 3, Jan. 9, 1992). The Notice also states that the goals of FUSRAP are to:

- (1) Control radioactive contamination at the sites, in compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements for the protection of human health and the environment, and
- (2) To the extent possible, certify the sites for use without radiological restrictions following removal of the contamination.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.4 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM AND FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.4.1 DOE's Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) (Continued)

Mallinckrodt participated in the early nuclear program as a contractor acting under the strict control of the government and following rigid plans and procedures. It was clear at the time that there were risks associated with the program, but the government deemed the benefits to outweigh the risks, and proceeded with the program, taking precautions that it believed appropriate at the time. It is also clear from the Atomic Energy Act, and from the design of the FUSRAP program, that the government has accepted responsibility for the consequences of its early nuclear program, including the remediation of residual radioactive contamination at FUSRAP sites, such as Mallinckrodt's.

2.4.2 DOE Obligations under Federal Facility Agreement

The DOE's obligation to remediate the St. Louis Downtown Site is further described in the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) for the Site. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region VII and DOE executed this Agreement in June, 1990. DOE had previously investigated and performed radiological surveys at the St. Louis Site in connection with designation of the site for remediation under FUSRAP. The FFA further defines the conditions dictated by EPA to manage remediation at St. Louis. The document creates broad obligations for DOE to clean up all residual waste from uranium processing, including such waste that might have mixed with other contamination at the site. The FFA specifically requires that the DOE remediate (FFA §III at 2):

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.4 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM AND FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.4.2 DOE Obligations under Federal Facility Agreement (Continued)

1. All waste, including but not limited to, radiologically contaminated waste, resulting from or associated with uranium manufacturing or processing activities conducted at the St. Louis Downtown Site, and
2. Other chemical or non-radiological waste which have been mixed or commingled with radiologically contaminated waste resulting from or associated with uranium manufacturing or processing activities conducted at the St. Louis Downtown Site.

Many areas at the St. Louis plant have already been characterized and indicate various levels of radiological contamination from MED/AEC uranium processing activities. Some of these areas which were used for MED/AEC processing activities were also used for C-T support activities. The DOE must remediate these areas pursuant to the terms of the FFA.

Through implementation of DOE's FUSRAP goals and objectives and as required by the FFA, DOE will remediate and remove residual radioactive contamination at the St. Louis plant in areas where MED-AEC activities occurred as part of the FUSRAP remediation, in a manner to prevent any harm to human health and the environment.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.5 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS OF C-T PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS

Several previous site assessments have been conducted in Plant 5 and in and around the C-T facilities. These have included radiological, chemical and hydrogeologic investigations, and are summarized below:

John Mathes and Associates, Inc.

In September, 1984, Eberline and John Mathes and Associates, inc. collected and analyzed subsurface samples from 21 borings in and around building 238 (Reference 10.2). Borings were taken to a depth of 10 feet or bit refusal. Boring logs indicate that all soil samples were taken in fill material.

Sixty-four soil samples were analyzed for Ra-226. The average concentration, with one 560 pCi/g outlier removed, was 4 pCi/g. A total of 9 samples, collected from six boreholes, had activity greater than 5 pCi/g.

Gamma logging was performed on 20 of the bore holes. Eberline was unable to correlate the gamma logs with the Ra-226 analysis.

Department of Energy

In 1988, the DOE contractor, Bechtel National, Inc., performed soil sampling at eight locations in Plant 5 (Reference 10.1). Soil samples were analyzed for the radioisotopes: U-238, Th-230, Ra-226, and Th-232. Two samples were analyzed for specific metals (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, molybdenum, selenium, tellurium, and zinc). Samples were obtained via split spoons from the surface to depths up to 20 feet.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.5 PREVIOUS SITE INVESTIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

Department of Energy (Continued)

Elevated U-238 and Th-230 were identified north of building 247, north west of building 245, and in the street north of buildings 238 and 235. Maximum U-238 and Th-230 activities were 23 and 55 pCi/g, respectively. Maximum Ra-226 activity was 150 pCi/g. Maximum depth of elevated activity was 14 feet in the street north of building 235. Thorium-232 was not identified above guideline values in any of these samples, with the exception of one sample at 8 pCi/l.

Elevated U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 activity were identified northwest of building 250 at depths up to seven feet. U-238 and Th-230 activity in shallow samples (0.5-2.0 feet) was 170 and 250 pCi/g, respectively. Activity decreased with sample depth. Thorium-232 was not identified above guideline values in these samples. Bechtel also obtained samples southwest of building 240. The shallow sample (0 - 2.0 feet) indicated slightly elevated Th-230 activity (6.8 pCi/g). None of the other samples exceeded guideline values. Mallinckrodt believes that the elevated activity in DOE samples taken west of buildings 240 and 250 is attributable to MED-AEC material handling activities in Plant 4 and along Second Street. Mallinckrodt believes that the elevated activity in DOE samples taken west of buildings 240 and 250 is attributable to MED-AEC material handling activities in Plant 4 and along Second Street.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

2.5 PREVIOUS SITE INVESTIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

In 1989, Malcolm Pirnie performed environmental investigations at the Mallinckrodt St. Louis Plant. Groundwater samples from wells in and adjacent to Plant 5 were filtered with a 0.45 micron filter and analyzed for radionuclides (see Table 2-1). Well locations are shown on Figure 2-7. None of the samples contained Ra-226, Th-230, or Th-232 activity above 1 pCi/l. Well W-152, located northeast of building 238, contained U-238 at an activity of 2.2 pCi/l.

TMA/Eberline

In 1992, TMA/Eberline removed loose contamination from equipment, interior walls, and floors of selected C-T process buildings in Plant 5 (Reference 10.4). Wastes generated from this activity were disposed of as low level radioactive material at Richland, Washington. Following this removal activity, TMA/Eberline performed a Preliminary Radiological Investigation of loose and fixed contamination in selected C-T and Plant 5 buildings (Reference 10.5). Eberline performed a walkover gamma survey of Plant 5 streets and collected and analyzed limited residue samples. This activity provides a significant portion of the surface scanning required for the C-T characterization.

Rust Remedial Services, Inc.

In 1993, Rust Remedial Services, Inc. (Rust) performed a radiological scoping survey in selected C-T support areas; Buildings 90, 91, and 236 maintenance shops; Buildings 62 and 213 locker rooms; and Building 250 laboratories (Reference 10.6). Gross and removable alpha and beta/gamma measurements were performed.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING

3.1 SITE-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS AND OBJECTIVES

Based on the information presented in the prior sections, a site-specific characterization approach is proposed. The proposal is based on facts related to the St. Louis site that are important considerations for C-T site characterization and decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) pursuant to license No. STB-401:

- The areal extent and scale of MED-AEC operations as shown by historical records, maps, and photographs, as well as the radioactivity throughput, indicate that virtually all of the radiological contamination outside the well defined Plant 5 C-T process and support areas is derived from MED-AEC operations and is unrelated to the NRC licensed operations.
- The areal extent of the former MED-AEC process and support areas surrounding Plant 5 (see Figure 2-12), and routes of contaminant dispersal (e.g. loss during materials transport, atmospheric deposition, and flooding) suggest that MED-AEC contamination may have migrated into Plant 5.
- The DOE is investigating and remediating residual contamination from the MED-AEC operations under FUSRAP and in accordance with the terms of the FFA. The DOE will propose a remedial action for the site and in coordination with the EPA and with public notice and comment will select and implement the appropriate remediation activities. The DOE is preparing a proposed remediation plan which will be implemented within the next several years.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.1 SITE-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS AND OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

The presence of MED-AEC contamination at the St. Louis plant, and the overlap of the Plant 6 and 7 MED-AEC process areas and C-T support areas indicate that the plans for C-T site characterization and decommissioning must seek to limit the potential for conflict, duplication, and inconsistency between Mallinckrodt's responsibilities under NRC License No. STB-401 and those of DOE under the FFA and FUSRAP. Therefore, the objectives considered in developing the C-T site characterization and decommissioning plans are as follows:

- Develop decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) goals and approaches that are protective of human health and the environment and consistent with applicable NRC, EPA, and state regulations.
- Develop practical, cost-effective D&D approaches that limit potential interference and duplication of effort with DOE's remediation activities pursuant to the FFA and FUSRAP.
- Limit major inconsistencies with the remedial goals and approaches established by DOE, EPA, and Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) for remedial work under the FFA and FUSRAP.

3.2 PROPOSED APPROACH AND RATIONALE

To achieve the objectives listed above, Mallinckrodt proposes an approach to C-T characterization pursuant to NRC License No. STB-401 that addresses the unique mix of regulatory and technical issues at this facility. The approach is designed to protect human health and the environment consistent with NRC goals and accommodate DOE's FUSRAP activities.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.2 PROPOSED APPROACH AND RATIONALE (CONTINUED)

The proposed scope of the characterization and decommissioning program involves all C-T processing and support areas in Plant 5 (except as described in the following paragraph) and certain C-T support areas in Plants 3, 6, 7, and 8. Although the presence of MED-AEC contamination cannot be precluded, the contamination in these areas appears to be primarily related to the operations under license No. STB-401 or AEC license No. R-226.

DOE has identified radiological contamination in Plant 10 (the former MED-AEC Plant 4 area) and in areas along Second Street between Angelrodt and Salisbury Streets. Surficial and subsurface contamination at the northwest corner of building 250 has been documented by DOE. Mallinckrodt has also identified radiological contaminants along the west side of building 250. The area was used by Mallinckrodt as an employee parking lot prior to building 250 construction. Contamination of these areas including the soils beneath buildings 250 and 240 is believed to be the result of MED-AEC activity (MED activities in Plant 4 and materials transport along streets and tracks west of Plant 5), and therefore subject to remediation by DOE under FUSRAP and the FFA. These areas are therefore not addressed in this plan.

Certain of the C-T support areas in Plants 1, 6, and 7 contain widespread MED-AEC contamination that is subject to DOE remediation under the FFA and FUSRAP. Because these C-T support areas will be addressed pursuant to DOE activities under the FUSRAP program and the FFA, they are not included in the C-T characterization plan.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.3 CHARACTERIZATION SCOPE

C-T process and support areas are shown in Figure 2-9, and are described in Table 2-2. C-T areas to be addressed by Mallinckrodt are listed in Table 3-1. Site characterization will be focused on the former C-T process and support areas in Plant 5. The scope will also include: Building 62 (a change room) in Plant 3; Buildings 90 and 91 (maintenance areas) in Plant 8; C-T incinerator and adjacent building 101 roof in Plant 6; and the wastewater basin structures in Plant 7, excluding the soil beneath them. Mallinckrodt believes that the historical records and recent DOE investigations indicate that the soils beneath the basins contain MED-AEC residues subject to DOE remediation under the FFA and FUSRAP (see Appendix A).

Mallinckrodt will perform no further characterization of the URO burial cells in Plant 6 as the locations are relatively well documented (Figure 2-10) and surgical excavation and disposal in the decommissioning phase are planned. An estimated 300 cubic yards of URO are present in these burial cells. DOE investigations have identified widespread MED-AEC contamination at depths up to 18 feet. The URO was buried in trenches in this area which will be remediated by DOE under FUSRAP.

Plant 5 characterization will include affected and potentially affected structures, subsoils, sewers, groundwater, and outdoor ore and URO staging and handling areas. The structures will include the Plant 5 buildings listed in Table 3-1.

The sewer characterization will include affected lines within Plant 5, the lines connecting Plant 5 to the Plant 7 lift station, and the concrete structure of the Plant 7 wastewater neutralization basins.

Subsurface characterization will be focused on C-T wet process areas, sewer lines, and outdoor areas where surface scans indicate the potential for subsurface contamination. This will be a phased approach with a subsequent, second phase of subsurface sampling, if necessary.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.3 CHARACTERIZATION SCOPE (CONTINUED)

The former C-T incinerator in Plant 6 will be characterized as required to properly decontaminate, dismantle, and dispose of the structural components and adjacent pavement within the restricted area. The incinerator stack is mounted against the west wall of building 101 with the exhaust point emerging above the roof line. Therefore, the roof of building 101 will be further characterized to evaluate the presence of potential contamination from former stack emissions.

Groundwater is not withdrawn for drinking water use in the plant locale, and Mallinckrodt does not foresee its' future drinking water use. Groundwater characterization activities are therefore not included in this plan. It is highly unlikely that the shallow groundwater beneath the Mallinckrodt site will ever be used as a source of water for drinking or irrigation. There are several reasons for this belief:

- The Mallinckrodt plant is within the City of St. Louis, which has an established public water supply system. This system treats and distributes water obtained from the Mississippi River north of Mallinckrodt.
- Groundwater beneath the plant and surrounding industrial areas is not currently used as a drinking water source. There are no known drinking water wells in the vicinity of the plant.
- Groundwater in bedrock is generally saline and non-potable. Groundwater in the sandy alluvial unit is locally saline and generally very hard, with high iron and manganese content.
- The Mallinckrodt plant is built on fill consisting of cinders, soil, rubble and debris. The fill is underlain by clay and silts, which are underlain by a sand layer of varying thickness and limestone bedrock. There is insufficient organic soil cover to support crop growth.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.3 CHARACTERIZATION SCOPE (CONTINUED)

- It is reasonable to expect the Mallinckrodt site to continue in the current industrial land use. If future St. Louis development were to preclude such land use in the urban areas, likely alternative uses would include commercial or multifamily residential. These uses will not result in the withdrawal of groundwater.
- The Mississippi River is located immediately east of the Mallinckrodt plant and could provide sufficient quantities of water if the municipal supply were disrupted.

In addition, DOE is investigating and evaluating groundwater under FUSRAP and the FFA. As previously described, MED-AEC activities were performed to the west, north, and east of the C-T facility. Mallinckrodt anticipates that a groundwater remedy under FUSRAP, if required, will be of a site-wide nature and, as required by the FFA, will meet EPA requirements.

3.4 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN OBJECTIVES

In support of the ultimate decommissioning of the C-T Site, the main objectives of the characterization include:

- Quantifying the physical and chemical characteristics and the geographic extent of radioactive contamination in C-T process and support areas as described above to the degree necessary for development of the decommissioning and decontamination plan. Associated non-radioactive constituents will be characterized as necessary to assess the potential impact on decommissioning and decontamination waste disposal alternatives.
- Quantifying environmental parameters as necessary to allow prediction of potential human exposure from existing or post-decontamination radioactive residues.

3.0 APPROACH TO C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND DECOMMISSIONING
(CONTINUED)

3.4 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

- Supporting evaluation of alternative decommissioning actions and detailed planning of a preferred approach for decommissioning, decontamination, and waste disposal.

The approach used to achieve these objectives will ensure that the health and safety of employees and subcontractors performing the characterization will not be compromised, that the characterization activities will not produce environmental damage, that the quality of the data obtained will be appropriate to the purpose for which they were obtained, and that the characterization will provide a defensible basis on which to design and evaluate site decommissioning alternatives.

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK

4.1 RADIONUCLIDES AND CHARACTERIZATION GUIDELINES

4.1.1 Radionuclides of Concern

Based on the knowledge of site operations and the results of previous radiological assessments, the significant radioactive contaminants have been determined to be the radionuclides found in natural uranium and natural thorium. As the C-T plant did not use enriched uranium material, it is not expected that uranium contamination will be enriched in the U-238 isotope. However, the uranium and thorium decay chains are expected to be found in varying degrees of secular equilibrium because of the chemical extraction processes performed on the licensed material. The isotopes of concern for this characterization are:

Uranium Decay Series

U-238
U-234
Th-230
Ra-226

Thorium Decay Series

Th-232
Th-228
Ra-228

Potassium-40 (K-40) is potentially prevalent on the site in concentrations greater than would be found in nature. This is due to the use of large quantities of potassium chloride used in the C-T process and other areas of the Mallinckrodt site. K-40 will also be included in soil and solid sample analysis.

The presence of other radioactive contaminants will be investigated if significant activities are encountered in gamma spectroscopy analyses. Specific guideline values will be determined on the basis of data obtained through this characterization.

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK (CONTINUED)

4.1 RADIONUCLIDES AND CHARACTERIZATION GUIDELINES (CONTINUED)

4.1.2 Characterization Guideline Values

For the purpose of ensuring that the appropriate data are collected during the characterization, Mallinckrodt will establish a series of Guideline Values. The guidelines are of primary usefulness in defining required minimum detectable activity (MDA), detection limit (DL), accuracy, and precision for field and laboratory instruments to be employed. These guidelines are reflective of, but not necessarily identical to the final release criteria upon which the decommissioning plan is expected to be based. Guideline values have been developed for each radionuclide of concern and have generally been based on NRC's Branch Technical Position (BTP) Option 1 (Reference 10.9) and Policy and Guidance Directive FC 83-23 (Reference 10.14).

These guidelines apply as "above background" levels.

Surface Contamination Guideline

Surface contamination guideline values will be established for each support and process building as recommended by the NRC (Reference 10.14). Six surface contamination samples will be obtained from each building and analyzed to define the presence and distribution of radionuclides. A gross surface activity guideline will be derived based upon the relative distribution of radionuclide activity and NRC's Acceptable Surface Contamination Guidelines (Reference 10.14) for nuclide groups using the equation:

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK (CONTINUED)

4.1 RADIONUCLIDES AND CHARACTERIZATION GUIDELINES (CONTINUED)

Surface Contamination Guideline (Continued)

$$\text{Derived Guideline} = 1/(f_1/G_1 + f_2/G_2 + \dots f_n/G_n),$$

where: f_n = fraction of total sample activity
contributed by radionuclide "n", and

G_n = NRC free release surface guideline value
for radionuclide "n".

Soil Contamination Guideline

The BTP Option 1 values were chosen as soil contamination guidelines.

- 10 pCi/g natural thorium (Th-232 + Th-228)
- 10 pCi/g natural uranium (U-238 + U-234)
- 30 pCi/g uranium (U-238 + U-234) (when Th-230 and Ra-226 are not present at <50% of radioactive equilibrium)
- 5 pCi/g Th-230, Ra-226, Ra-228 (when enriched over equilibrium)

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK (CONTINUED)

4.1 **RADIONUCLIDES AND CHARACTERIZATION GUIDELINES (CONTINUED)**

Direct Exposure Guideline

Ten micro-Roentgens/hours ($\mu\text{R/hr}$) above natural background at one meter from the nearest surface is the characterization guideline for gamma exposure rate measurement. This is the same as the NRC specifies as an acceptable exposure rate above contaminated land (Reference 10.0 and 10.14). Since it is most unlikely that any Plant 5 building will ever be used as a residence, the same 10 $\mu\text{R/hr}$ guideline will be applied indoors as the basis to derive a gamma exposure rate measurement sensitivity goal.

4.2 **IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED AREAS**

The C-T process and support areas were separated into affected and unaffected categories to facilitate cost-effective radiological surveying. Areas with known contamination and areas for which previous surveys identified activities in excess of 25% of the guideline values (Reference 10.7) were identified as affected areas. C-T areas for which there was a low likelihood of contamination or for which previous surveys identified activities less than 25% of the guideline values were identified as unaffected areas. Each area is discussed briefly below:

4.2.1 Building Interiors and Roofs

As described in Section 4.1.2 above, surface contamination samples will be obtained and guideline values will be determined for each building. The areas will be surveyed as unaffected areas and compared to guidelines. The areas will be resurveyed as affected areas if any activities exceeding 25% of the weighted guideline values are identified.

Some Plant 5 roofs were surveyed during a previous investigation (Reference 10.5). Additional roof surveys will be conducted as discussed in Section 5.2.5 below.

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK (CONTINUED)

4.2 IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED AREAS (CONTINUED)

4.2.2 Plant 5 Streets

Eberline performed a 100% walkover gamma survey of Plant 5 streets in 1992. The survey identified eighty one areas with surface activity greater than twice background. The anomalous areas ranged from single points to areas of 100 ft² or more, though spots and small areas form the bulk of the anomalous measurements. Seven areas with surface activity over 100k cpm were identified: north of buildings 246 and 247, east of building 238, southeast of building 238, southwest of building 238, south of building 250, southeast of building 240, and southeast of building 245. Direct alpha and beta/gamma measurements will be taken as described in section 5.2.7. The subsurface soils beneath areas with surface activity in excess of 75% of the guideline will be characterized as affected areas.

4.2.3 Plant 5 Sewers

The Plant 5 sewers (and the soils adjacent to them) serving building 250 and the C-T process areas will be surveyed as affected areas. Plant 5 sewers connected to manholes containing residues in excess of 25% of the guideline will also be surveyed as affected areas.

4.2.4 C-T Incinerator

The C-T incinerator and adjacent pavement within the restricted area in Plant 6 will be surveyed as an affected area.

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK (CONTINUED)

4.2 IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED AREAS (CONTINUED)

4.2.5 Wastewater Neutralization Basins

The concrete liner of these basins was exposed to effluent from the C-T plant and therefore may exhibit contamination in excess of 25% of guideline values. In 1990, synthetic liners were installed in each basin; removal and intact replacement of the liner is not feasible. To the degree practical, the basins will be surveyed as an affected area. As discussed in Section 3.3, remediation of the soils beneath the basins is the responsibility of DOE.

4.2.6 Subsurface Soils

Soils beneath affected Plant 5 C-T structures, sewers, and streets will be sampled as appropriate to delineate areas above guideline values. As discussed below, both fill and underlying silt/clay soils will be sampled and analyzed; locations will be chosen on both biased and systematic bases, depending upon the potential for subsurface contamination.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

This section describes the phased approach to be followed regarding radiological survey work and subsurface sampling to be conducted. It also outlines the data quality objectives identified for this investigation, along with the planned physical sampling work and the target schedule.

5.1 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The purpose of sampling and analysis is to provide analytical measurements of sufficient quality to support site characterization, dose assessment and a basis for decontamination and decommissioning as required for termination of Mallinckrodt's Source Material License No. STB-401. Towards this end, field and laboratory analytical data will be generated under a quality assurance program consistent with NRC Regulatory Guide 4.15 and in accordance with the guidance provided in NUREG/CR 5849. This process involves the use of Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) to ensure that data are of acceptable quality. Data Quality Indicators (DQI's) are the performance measurements of DQOs. For this project, the DQI listed below will be used to direct the sampling and analytical program:

- Completeness
- Comparability
- Representativeness
- Precision
- Accuracy

5.1.1 Detection/Measurement Sensitivities

At least a minimum number of data points for all determinations shall be collected to enable a statistically valid evaluation in accordance with NRC guidance (Reference 10.7). The detection and measurement sensitivities for all analytical determinations shall be documented as

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.1 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

5.1.1 Detection/Measurement Sensitivities (Continued)

outlined in the Eberline standard operating procedures describing these activities. For laboratory determinations, the minimum detectable activity (MDA) values for all determinations shall be calculated as defined by EPA (Reference 10.10), and as listed in Table 5-1. The desired sensitivity for all field measurements is 50% of the guideline value for that radionuclide.

5.1.2 Field Data Confidence Limits

Radioactivity measurements will have the necessary precision, accuracy, and lower limit of detection (LLD) to enable data to be presented with an overall confidence of 95%. Estimates of measurement uncertainty will include each measurement method, calibration, sampling, sample preparation, and measurement procedure, as well as random or counting error.

5.1.3 Reporting Data

Reported radioactivity measurements will include the qualities:

- The actual measurement, even when a value is < MDA or < LLD or is negative;
- the estimated uncertainty, including counting error;
- for non-significant results, the estimated MDA or LLD; and
- the decision outcome: detected versus not detected.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

As summarized in section 2.1.4 above, a Preliminary Radiological Investigation (PRI) (reference 10.5) was conducted in the former C-T processing buildings in mid-1992, and scoping surveys (reference 10.6) were conducted in C-T support buildings in May, 1993. The radiological surveys to be conducted as part of this site characterization will make maximum use of these existing data to complete the surveys of these buildings.

5.2.1 Building Interiors

A 6-foot grid system will be installed on the floors and mezzanines of each area requiring additional surveys. The grid will extend vertically through all floors, walls and ceiling areas. The origin of the grid system will be the southwest corner of the respective building or room.

Direct radiological measurements for alpha, beta and/or beta/gamma activity will be taken at each 6-foot grid intersection using instrumentation in Table 5-2. Removable measurements will be taken at locations where the direct measurement value exceeds the established removable criteria.

Table 5-3 summarizes the planned surveys in the Plant 5 buildings and in the building 101 incinerator area.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS (CONTINUED)

5.2.2 Piping, Vessels and other Equipment

Several items of equipment in the C-T buildings were surveyed as part of the PRI. The remaining vessels and other equipment in these buildings will be surveyed during the site characterization work.

Surveying of small diameter pipe is not cost-effective. All pipelines which are known, suspected or had the possibility of handling radioactive materials and are 4" or less in diameter will not be surveyed, and will be considered radioactive waste and managed accordingly.

5.2.3 Building Exterior Walls

The exterior walls of buildings 213, 238, 246A&B, 247A&B and 248A&B will be surveyed on a 6-foot grid for alpha, beta and/or beta/gamma activity by taking direct measurements. Removable measurements will be taken at locations where direct measurement values exceed established surface activity criteria. Buildings 235, 236 and 245 will be surveyed on a 12-foot grid. See Table 5-3.

5.2.4 Building Roofs

Based upon operating history, proximity to C-T operations, and data obtained during the PRI and scoping surveys, the roofs of buildings 213, (including A and B), 222, 223, 235, 236 and 245 will be surveyed using a gamma walkover scan. Four sample cores will be taken on each roof at locations with elevated gamma activity, or at locations identified in the PRI or scoping surveys for sample analysis and direct beta/gamma measurements.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS (CONTINUED)

5.2.4 Building Roofs (Continued)

Insufficient data exist for the roofs of buildings 250, 240 and 101. A six foot grid will be installed on each of these roofs. Direct measurements will be taken for alpha and beta/gamma activity. Removable contamination measurements will be taken at locations where direct measurement values exceed the established removable contamination criteria.

Buildings 200E, 200W and 204 are not adjacent to the former C-T process operations, yet in order to do a complete roof investigation of the Plant 5 area, these buildings will be surveyed as unaffected areas. A total of 20% of the surface area will be surveyed with a gamma walkover scan, and direct measurements for alpha and beta/gamma activity. Areas that exceed the release criteria will be sampled and analyzed as discussed above.

Roof drains on C-T process buildings will be surveyed at their determined end point. All roof penetrations, ventilation systems will be surveyed at access/discharge points on the roof.

Table 5-4 summarizes the roof surveys planned for these buildings, along with roof core samples to be taken.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS (CONTINUED)

5.2.5 C-T Incinerator Area

The C-T incinerator is located within a fenced area adjacent to building 101 in Plant 6. The incinerator and the surrounding surface area will be surveyed as an affected area.

A six foot grid will be established on the surface within the fenced area. Direct alpha and beta/gamma measurements will be taken at each grid intersection. A large area floor monitor will be used to monitor for beta/gamma activity across the entire area to locate any "hot spots" not identified at grid intersections.

5.2.6 Plant 5 Streets

A gamma walkover survey was performed during the PRI, indicating areas of elevated gamma activity in the Plant 5 streets. The survey did not include direct alpha or beta/gamma survey measurements.

The streets in Plant 5 will first be surveyed for beta/gamma activity using a large area gas proportional beta/gamma floor monitor providing direction for the identification of localized "hot spots" where direct measurements will be taken for alpha and beta/gamma activity. Direct measurements will then be taken on a six foot grid. The grid will be located on the street through the use of removable road striping, and anomalous areas will be noted on data sheets and/or sketches.

Streets in the unaffected areas will be surveyed on a 12-foot grid and at a maximum of two biased locations within each grid section identified as a potential migration pathway. Floor monitor use is not planned unless the boundary of an affected area requires extension into an unaffected area.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.2 RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS (CONTINUED)

5.2.7 Wastewater Neutralization Basins

A surface gamma walkover scan will be conducted across each of the two basins. One square foot sections of the liner will then be removed, and direct measurements for alpha and beta/gamma activity will be taken at 30 locations (15 in each basin) in areas with the highest gamma activity. Core samples will also be taken in this area as described in section 5.3.4 below.

5.3 SAMPLES FOR RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Previous subsurface radiological sampling in Plant 5 was summarized in Section 2.5. Additional subsurface soil sampling will be conducted as part of this characterization to determine the nature and extent of subsurface contamination resulting from past C-T operations.

5.3.1 Methodology

In accessible areas, continuous samples from boreholes will be collected by stainless steel split spoon samplers advanced ahead of hollow stem augers. Sampling spoons will be decontaminated before and after each sampling event. Boreholes will proceed to the interface with undisturbed soil and two to three feet into undisturbed soil, with 10% of the borings advanced an additional 15 feet into the natural clay strata.

Each borehole will be logged with a gamma scintillation detector (NaI) to determine the presence of gamma emitting radionuclides in the soil. This information will be used to assist in guiding further drilling activities and in the selection of samples to be analyzed. All borings will be logged by a qualified geologist. Sample collection and equipment decontamination will follow procedures in TMA/Eberline

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.3 SAMPLES FOR RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.3.1 Methodology (Continued)

procedure 4.A.2 (Reference 10.12). An enclosed decontamination area will be constructed to ensure that contamination is not spread during decontamination of sampling equipment and to collect the decontamination residues in an orderly manner. Drill spoils and decontamination wastes will be collected and drummed for on-site storage. See Section 8.0.

Certain sample locations inside buildings may be inaccessible to the drilling rig. In these cases, a coring machine will be used to drill a hole through the concrete floor, and a hand auger or skid mounted drill rig will be used to obtain soil samples to a maximum depth of eight feet.

All samples will be labeled and retained subject to chain-of-custody. Samples not sent for analysis soon after collection will be archived for potential future analysis. The initial soil or fill samples sent for radionuclide analysis will be selected in accordance with Table 5-5 from areas exhibiting elevated gamma activity, if any. Regardless of activity level detected by gamma logging, samples will be selected for radionuclide analysis in all borings from near ground surface and in the top of the undisturbed alluvial material. From all the deep borings, additional samples will be sent for radionuclide analysis from a depth about 15 feet into the alluvial material in accordance with Table 5-5. When selecting the actual samples from these depths to be analyzed, preference will be given to finer-grained material, i.e., silt and or clay, rather than coarser-grained material, i.e., sand.

Samples will be analyzed for radionuclides, as specified in Section 6.0, and for chemical properties, as described in Section 5.7.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.3 SAMPLES FOR RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.3.2 Building Interiors

Subsurface samples will be collected for radiological analysis inside buildings 238, 246B, 247 A and B, and 248. Table 5-5 shows the number and depth of samples to be taken, along with the total number of alpha and gamma spectroscopic analyses to be performed.

Figures 5-1 through 5-3 show the locations of the subsurface samples to be collected in these buildings.

5.3.3 Plant 5 Streets

A total of 32 boreholes will be drilled along sewer lines and at locations of high gamma readings determined during the PRI. The locations of these borings are shown on Figure 5-4. Table 5-5 shows the number and depth of the samples to be taken, along with the total number of alpha and gamma spectroscopic analyses to be performed.

5.3.4 Other Samples

Several other samples will be collected for chemical/radiological characterization, including the following:

Roof Cores

A minimum of four core samples of roofing material will be collected on the roofs of buildings in Plant 5 at locations corresponding to the two highest and two lowest survey measurement readings. If the core samples show that the survey did not identify areas of contamination, additional samples will be taken on a 12-foot systematic grid and at other biased locations that exhibit elevated direct measurements. Table 5-4 summarizes the planned roof coring activity.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.3 SAMPLES FOR RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.3.4 Other Samples (Continued)

Sewer Line Manholes

Sewers which carried process effluent will be sampled at all manhole access points. This includes the sewer lines servicing buildings 235, 236, 238, 247, 248 and 250. Other access points that could collect runoff from ore storage and handling east and south of building 235, and east of building 213 will be sampled. This sampling will follow the sewer line until it reaches the wastewater basins in the Plant 7 area. A sludge sample will also be collected from the Plant 5 lift station at the wastewater basins in Plant 7. Figure 5-7 shows the locations of the manholes to be sampled, and Table 5-5 summarizes the Plant 5 street inlets and sewer manholes to be sampled. Each sample will be analyzed by gamma spectrometry for radionuclide concentration.

Wastewater Basins

Following the alpha and beta/gamma survey described in section 5.2.7, two concrete core samples will be taken from each basin at locations with the highest direct readings. These cores will be sliced, and direct measurements taken to determine the depth of contamination. These cores will then be analyzed for the radionuclides described in Section 6.0.

Building Materials

Samples of surface contamination on concrete and brick will be collected and analyzed for relevant radionuclides to determine average surface release guidelines. A minimum of six samples each will be collected from buildings 90/91, 62, 213, 238, 246, 247 and 248.

These samples will be analyzed for the radionuclides described in Section 6.0.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.4 BACKGROUND DETERMINATION

Guidelines for residual radioactivity at sites undergoing D&D are presented in terms of radiation levels, or activity levels, above natural background for the area, or facility. It will therefore be necessary to perform background determinations to measure both direct radiation levels and the concentrations of the potential radionuclide contaminants in construction materials, soil, and groundwater in the vicinity of the site. Background is determined by measurements and/or sampling at locations on site, or in the immediate vicinity of the site, which have been unaffected by site operations. The soil matrix beneath the C-T Plant contains coal cinders, brick, rubble and various soils and clays. Since much of the fill is cinders and clays having naturally occurring radionuclides, a background will be determined for each of these materials by sampling cinders and natural clays upgradient of the facility. At least six samples of each will be collected for analysis.

Construction materials of interest consist mainly of bricks in the C-T plant buildings. Six bricks with low activity will be surveyed and removed from C-T plant buildings for analysis. Each brick will be cut in half to expose fresh clay material. Direct alpha and beta/gamma measurements will be taken on the cut face of the brick. The alpha and beta/gamma activity will be averaged and used as natural background for bricks in C-T plant buildings. Samples will also be analyzed for radionuclide concentrations. This will be done in other buildings as applicable.

Concrete and asphalt surface backgrounds will be established at six locations off site.

Gamma exposure rates will be measured at six locations off site using a Pressurized Ionization Chamber (PIC) and reported in microR/hour.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.4 BACKGROUND DETERMINATION (CONTINUED)

Background determination methods are presented in TMA/Eberline procedure 3C.2 (Reference 10.13).

5.5 EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENTS

Gamma exposure rates will be measured for characterization purposes in certain background areas (Section 5.5) and in all unaffected areas.

Exposure rate measurements for health and safety considerations are reflected in the site characterization health and safety plan.

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

This section describes the sampling and chemical constituent analyses to be performed to characterize soils, which may be disposed during the D&D activity. Of interest is whether soils will need to be disposed of as hazardous and/or mixed waste. Based on the chemical nature of the materials used in the former euxenite and C-T processes, however, it is unlikely that mixed (RCRA hazardous and radioactive) waste will be discovered as a result of the planned chemical characterization activities.

5.6.1 Constituents of Concern

In addition to the ore feed materials, the majority of the chemicals used and handled in both the euxenite and C-T processes was inorganic in nature. One organic chemical was used in a solvent extraction step in the C-T process.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.6.1 Constituents of Concern (Continued)

Inorganics

Hydrochloric acid was used for digestion of the euxenite ore slurry, and mixtures of ammonium bifluoride sulfuric and hydrofluoric acids were used to dissolve columbite and tantalite ores.

From a potential mixed waste standpoint, the only inorganic constituents of concern are the trace metals (cadmium, chromium, lead and selenium) which were contained in the ore feed materials. Additional RCRA metals not associated with the C-T process, however, will be analyzed in selected samples to complete the mixed waste characterization of the subsurface in the Plant 5 area.

The only other inorganic parameter of concern is the hazardous characteristic of corrosivity of building floors and subsoils due to potential spills and leaks of the acids used in the process.

Organics

Methyl isobutylketone (MIBK) was the only organic chemical used in the C-T process, and it was used in relatively small quantities. MIBK was used only in buildings 246B and 247A for solvent extraction purposes. Following extraction of the C-T product streams and subsequent steam stripping of tantalum-MIBK mixtures, the MIBK fraction was decanted, and the MIBK rich stream recycled to the process.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.6.1 Constituents of Concern (Continued)

Organics (Continued)

If MIBK is discarded as a spent solvent, it is a solid waste that is regulated under RCRA as a listed hazardous waste (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F003). MIBK is also a listed hazardous waste if it is deemed a discarded commercial chemical product (EPA Hazardous Waste No. U161). Because the MIBK used in the C-T process was recovered and reused consistent with one or more exemptions in the RCRA regulations, it never became a "solid waste" to which the F003 or U161 listings could apply. Similarly, the water phase from the decanter came into contact only with MIBK which was performing its process function and which was not a "waste" at the time; therefore, the decanter water that was sewerred did not contain a listed hazardous waste and was not regulated as a listed hazardous waste under RCRA.

Based on the above, if any soil or groundwater at the C-T plant contains MIBK residues (which has not been shown to date), the soil or groundwater would not need to be addressed as listed hazardous waste under RCRA.

It is likely that low concentrations of methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) were contained as an impurity in the MIBK. MEK is included on the RCRA Toxicity Characteristics (TC) list. MEK will therefore be analyzed in subsurface samples taken in the building 246/247 area.

As with the inorganic constituents discussed above, the remainder of the organic constituents on the TC list will be analyzed in selected samples to complete the chemical characterization of the subsurface in the Plant 5 area.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.6.2 Samples To Be Analyzed

Subsurface samples will be collected and analyzed for chemical/mixed waste characterization as noted above. In general, the samples collected for chemical characterization will be obtained from the same boreholes as those collected for radiochemical analyses. Table 5-6 lists the specific chemical analyses to be performed.

Inside Buildings

Subsurface samples will be collected and analyzed to determine both lateral and vertical delineation of potential chemical contamination. Samples of the fill materials (slag, gravel, brick, sand, cinders and silty clay) and undisturbed soil will be obtained. Based on previous subsurface investigations in the Plant 5 area (Reference 10.1), the thickness of the fill ranges from 14-18' below grade.

- **Building 238.** Figure 5-1 shows the location, and Table 5-5 the depths of the samples to be collected.
- **Building 246 and 247.** Figure 5-2 shows the location of the boreholes in buildings 246B and 247 A&B, and Table 5-5 shows the depths of the samples to be collected.
- **Building 248.** Figure 5-3 shows the location, and Table 5-5 the depths of the samples to be collected.

Plant 5 Streets

Figure 5-4 shows the approximate location, and Table 5-5 the depths of the samples to be collected. These locations will check potential subsurface chemical contamination as a result of sewer leakage and other spills and leaks of plant used materials.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.6.2 Samples To Be Analyzed (Continued)

Sewer Manholes

The location of the Plant 5 manholes to be sampled is shown on Figure 5-5, which includes the Plant 5 wastewater lift station located adjacent to the neutralization basins in Plant 7.

5.6.3 Analytes, Parameters and Methods

The selection of analytes and parameters to be analyzed is based on the following:

- 1) Ores and other materials which were handled in and around the C-T process buildings,
- 2) Other TC constituents which, through spills and leaks, may have contaminated subsoils which also exhibit radioactive levels of concern, and
- 3) Materials which were never known to be handled on site will not be included.

Table 5-6 shows the constituents and parameters to be analyzed for all the subsurface samples to be obtained.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.6 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION (CONTINUED)

5.6.3 Analytes and Parameters (Continued)

The constituents to be analyzed are those included on the RCRA TC list with the exception of the two herbicides and the seven pesticides will be excluded, since these materials were never known to be handled in the Plant 5 area.

Volatiles

Total concentrations of the 10 volatile constituents will be determined in selected samples using the EPA 8240 method. If the total concentration of any of these constituents exceed their TC regulatory limit by more than 20%, the TCLP will be determined for that constituent.

Metals and Semi-Volatiles

TCLP analysis will be obtained on selected samples using EPA methods 1311/8270 for the thirteen semi-volatile constituents, and 6010/7470 for the TC list metals.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.7 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND SCHEDULE

This characterization plan will be implemented in a timely fashion by contractor personnel who are familiar with the St. Louis plant and with the C-T facility.

5.7.1 Approach to Implementation

- A phased approach is reflected in the above subsurface sampling plan. Subsequent sampling will be done in a second phase, if necessary, to complete the definition of the nature and extent of subsurface contaminations.
- Emphasis will be placed on obtaining good quality data to assure conformance with the project DQO's.
- A best effort will be made to select optimum locations for subsurface samples for radiologic analyses. This will include gamma logging of each borehole, and maximum use of relevant existing data.
- Decontamination tests will be conducted to determine the practical feasibility of decontaminating these buildings for unrestricted use.

5.7.2 Target Schedule

The overall duration of the C-T site characterization is anticipated to be approximately 30 weeks through preparation of the final report - see Figure 5-6.

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION PLAN (CONTINUED)

5.7 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND SCHEDULE (CONTINUED)

5.7.3 Site Characterization Report

Following completion of the site characterization work, a report will be developed which will summarize the results of the field work, along with other supporting data and site information. This report will be submitted to the NRC for information and review.

The contents of the site characterization report will be used as the basis for development of the C-T decommissioning plan. This plan will be submitted to the NRC for approval prior to the start of any decommissioning activities.

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

The analysis of samples to support the characterization activities at the C-T site will be conducted at the TMA/Eberline Oak Ridge facility or the TMA/Eberline Albuquerque facility. Both of these facilities are full service radiochemistry laboratories supporting both government and commercial clients, and are licensed by their respective state agencies to receive low-level radioactive samples. All analyses are conducted in strict accordance with standard operating procedures, and both laboratories successfully participate in the EPA and EML intercomparison performance evaluation programs.

6.1 GAMMA SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

Gamma spectroscopic analysis will be conducted on samples of various matrices collected during the characterization of the CT site. Soil, sediment, and building materials will be analyzed in the TMA/Eberline laboratory in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. This facility utilizes a Digital VAX/VMS system customized with the Canberra Genie software to control and process all gamma spectroscopic counting. The detector system consists of a high purity n-type thin window beryllium detector.

TMA/Eberline will utilize Marinelli beaker and Nalgene jar geometries during the counting process. These geometries typically contain approximately 500 ml of sample, and allow for a reproducible geometry in relation to the counting standard used for system calibration. Report output will be controlled by the Canberra Genie Procount software and radioisotope concentrations will be reported in pCi/g with a reporting error of two standard deviation units also expressed in pCi/g. The specific radioisotopes to be reported include uranium-238, radium-226 and 228, thorium 232, and potassium-40. Additional gamma-emitting radioisotopes will be identified and reported when the Canberra Procount software identifies positive activity during data processing.

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES (CONTINUED)

6.1 GAMMA SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

During the collection of subsurface samples, the TMA/Eberline Oak Ridge laboratory will analyze select samples in a wet geometry to assist the TMA/Eberline site manager in the identification of borehole depths and locations. TMA/Eberline has used this technique extensively on previous site characterization and remedial projects as a screening method to assist field personnel.

6.2 ALPHA SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

Alpha spectroscopy for isotopic thorium will be conducted on all samples. Soil, water and building material samples will undergo specific chemical separation procedures followed by alpha spectroscopy. TMA/Eberline will utilize the aforementioned Digital system with customized Canberra Genie software to control and process all samples undergoing alpha spectroscopic analysis. The detectors used by TMA/Eberline for this analysis are Canberra ion-implant diode detectors. Reports will be issued presenting radioisotopic concentrations in units of either pCi/l or pCi/g. A two standard deviation counting error will be included with the analytical result, and also presented in the aforementioned units. The alpha spectroscopic analysis will report isotopic thorium for all samples, and isotopic uranium for approximately 10% of the samples to confirm gamma spectroscopic results.

6.3 RADIOLOGICAL INSTRUMENTATION

The radiation detection instruments used for performing fixed contamination, removable contamination and radiation surveys are listed in Table 5-2. The combination of instruments and techniques used in field data collection will be such that a desired detection sensitivity of less than 50% of the appropriate guideline value will be targeted. Actual sensitivity levels for each instrument are dependent on site backgrounds and conditions and will be evaluated on a daily basis for each instrument in use.

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES (CONTINUED)

6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

TMA/Eberline will perform field work in accordance with the QA/QC requirements of the American National Standard (ANSI) N-323-1978, "Radiation Protection Instrumentation Test and Calibration", and the TMA/Eberline's Corporate Quality Assurance Program (Reference 10.8).

6.4.1 Field Instrumentation

All field instrumentation used will be calibrated in the TMA/Eberline Instrumentation Shop against NIST traceable check sources. Certificates will be issued and maintained on-site for all equipment. Instruments will be recalibrated in the TMA/Eberline Instrumentation Shop on a six month basis.

Background and response checks will be performed on field instrumentation at the beginning and end of each day in the field. Response checks must agree within $\pm 20\%$ of the calibrated efficiency. If response checks fall outside this range, the instrument will be tagged out-of-service and returned to the TMA/Eberline Instrumentation Shop in Oak Ridge, TN. Check sources used in the field are NIST traceable, and certificates will be maintained on-site for the check sources.

Documentation will be maintained for all background and response checks.

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES (CONTINUED)

6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (CONTINUED)

6.4.2 Duplicate Field Measurements

Duplicate fixed radiation measurements will be taken on 1 in 20 measurements performed for alpha and beta/gamma readings. Measurements may be predetermined by the site manager, or selected by a random number generator. Measurements will be recorded and marked as "D" or "Dup" on the appropriate form.

Duplicates on smears (transferrable contamination) will be performed on 10% of the smears collected.

6.4.3 Data Review

Data sheets and calculations will undergo an independent peer review by the site manager or designee of all forms collected to document surveys performed in the field.

6.4.4 Audits

Project management responsible for delegating assignments shall visit the site on an as needed basis in order to review program activities and assess the effectiveness of radiological services.

6.4.5 Sample and Document Custody Procedures

Sample custody will be initiated at the time of sample collection. The site manager will ensure that field samples will be identified by sample labels with the following information: unique sample identification number, date and time of sampling, sampling location or station, preservation, analysis and any additional applicable comments. Field chain-of-custody forms containing the same information will be

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES (CONTINUED)

6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (CONTINUED)

6.4.5 Sample and Document Custody Procedures (Continued)

completed for each set of samples. A line item on the sample's chain-of-custody form will be completed for each sample, and the sampling technician will confirm that information is complete by signing the form.

The original Field Sample Collection Form with samples is to be shipped to the laboratory. Personnel at the laboratory (or location which receives the sample) will acknowledge receipt of the sample on the next line of the Chain of Custody box by their signature in the "received by" column, and by entering the date and time the sample was received.

A copy of the Field Sample Collection Form shall be sent to the Oak Ridge Project Office by the TMA/Eberline Site Supervisor (or designee). A copy of the Field Sample Collection Form is retained for on-site records.

Upon receipt of samples in the laboratory, receiving personnel shall acknowledge this shipment by completion of appropriate information in the Chain of Custody section of the Field Sample Collection Form. The laboratory will also note within the remarks section of this form if custody seals have been violated. In addition to completing the Chain of Custody section of the Field Sample Collection Form, the laboratory stamps the form with a received date stamp, and on the back of the form with a sample receiving information stamp. The sample receiving information stamp requires: (1) condition of the shipping container, (2) condition of samples, (3) presence or absence of custody seals, (4) presence or absence of Chain of Custody and airbill, (5) notes by inspector of shipment, (6) person performing inspection of the shipment, and (7) the date.

6.0 RADIOLOGICAL ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES (CONTINUED)

6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (CONTINUED)

6.4.6 Laboratory Quality Control

Work performed by laboratories will perform spikes, blanks, and duplicate analysis with each batch of samples. Each set of samples will have at least one blank and spike performed. There will be at least one duplicate count performed, or 10% of the samples based on the number of samples in each batch. All instruments will be calibrated to NIST traceable standards.

7.0 DECONTAMINATION TESTS

Decontamination tests will be performed to evaluate candidate methodologies and determine decontamination costs, methodology and wastes which will be generated during the decommissioning phase. The tests will evaluate decontamination effectiveness and the cost of conducting the decontamination, including labor, waste generated, packaging, transportation and disposal costs.

In brief, the tests will include: designating a specific area and type of surface (concrete, metal, brick, etc.), performing pre-decontamination surveys, testing of different types and repetitions of decontamination techniques, performing post decontamination surveys, and evaluation of data for decontamination factors, waste production, and cost effectiveness.

The following decontamination techniques will be tested: wire brush (manual cleaning); scabbling (mechanical cleaning); grinding (grinding and abrasive action); grit blasting (abrasive blasting); and chemical extraction. The parenthetical descriptions are the analogous descriptions as found in Appendix B, Description of Decontamination Tests. This Appendix provides greater detail regarding the decontamination methods with respect to cost, personnel safety, and general decontamination effectiveness.

Contaminated soil volume reduction/decontamination tests such as soil washing may also be performed if soil characteristics and residue volumes suggest that such approaches may be cost effective.

8.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT

Site characterization activities will result in the generation of certain contaminated items and materials. These include used personnel protective equipment (PPE), soil cuttings from the subsurface sampling activity, and decontamination test waste. These waste materials will be managed in accordance with all applicable regulatory requirements.

All of the above generated waste materials will be properly disposed of following completion of the site characterization work. All source material-contaminated waste will be disposed of in accordance with requirements of NRC license STB-401.

8.1 SOIL CUTTINGS

The generated soil cuttings from each borehole will be placed in 55-gallon steel drums pending chemical/radiological analyses of the samples collected from the boreholes. Each drum will be properly labeled to identify the specific borehole source and depth of the cuttings. These drums will be temporarily stored in a hard surface area.

The chemical and radiological characterization of the cuttings in each drum will be determined from the analyses of the samples taken from the boreholes from which the cuttings were generated. This waste will be disposed of in accordance with the requirements of NRC license STB-401.

8.2 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION WASTES

Potentially contaminated wastes will be generated from cleaning drilling augers and sampling equipment.

8.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

8.2 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION WASTES (CONTINUED)

8.2.1 Sludges

Potentially contaminated sludges and solid wastes will be generated from steam cleaning drill augers and sampling equipment. These wastes will be collected and placed in 55-gallon drums, and temporarily stored pending chemical/radiological analyses of the samples collected from the boreholes. Each drum of sludge waste will be properly labeled to identify the specific borehole source for the augers and sampling equipment.

The contaminant characterization of this sludge waste will be determined from the analyses of the samples taken from the identified boreholes. This waste will be disposed of in accordance with the requirements of NRC license STB-401.

8.2.2 Wastewater

Potentially contaminated wastewater will be generated from steam cleaning drill augers and sampling equipment. All such water will be collected and placed in an on-site storage tank for subsequent chemical/radiological characterization.

This water will be sent to the plant sewer following treatment, as indicated by the chemical/radiological test results, and approval from the St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District.

8.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

8.3 DECONTAMINATION TEST WASTES

A relatively small volume of solid waste will be generated as a result of planned decontamination tests inside the C-T buildings. This material will be collected and temporarily stored in 55-gallon drums. Each drum will be labeled as to the specific source of the waste.

One composite from three discrete samples from each drum will be analyzed for the radionuclides listed in section 4.1. Following characterization, disposal of this source material-contaminated waste will be disposed of in accordance with the requirements of NRC license STB-401.

8.4 MISCELLANEOUS WASTES

Disposable PPE (tyvec suits, rubber gloves and booties) will be generated during the field work.

Other such generated miscellaneous wastes include smears, air sample filters and PVC bags/sheet material. All these wastes will be placed in suitable containers and disposed of as low level radioactive waste.

9.0 ADMINISTRATION

This section describes the overall administrative aspects of implementing this plan, including the project organization, and health and safety requirements.

9.1 ORGANIZATION

The implementation of this plan will be administered and managed by Mallinckrodt. TMA/Eberline will serve as the prime radiological contractor, and will be responsible for the safe, timely implementation of this plan. Eberline will be supported by experienced radiological consultants (contracted by Mallinckrodt) to assist in data interpretation (including QA/QC), and by a drilling firm who is licensed in the State of Missouri and familiar with the St. Louis plant.

Figure 9-1 shows the C-T characterization plan implementation organization.

Thomas J. Byrd is the site Radiation Safety Officer (RSO). Mr. Byrd's experience and qualifications are summarized in Appendix D.

9.2 HEALTH AND SAFETY

The health and safety of all personnel associated with the field activities of this characterization plan is a key project goal.

A site specific/field activity specific health and safety plan has been prepared for this project. This plan, which shall be strictly followed during all phases of the field work, is contained in Appendix C. This plan outlines and evaluates all potential health hazards, and addresses each with specific personnel protection and monitoring requirements.

All personnel involved in actual radiological survey work, media sampling, decon tests, borehole drilling, etc., will be trained as outlined in 29 CFR 1910.12, and will be required to be familiar with and adhere to all applicable provisions of this health and safety plan.

9.0 ADMINISTRATION (CONTINUED)

9.3 TRAINING

Due to the potential health hazards associated with remedial investigations and remedial action, personnel will be informed of any health risks prior to assignment. Training programs, medical assessment programs, and the need for personal protective equipment will be discussed with the site employees.

Site orientation and training shall be provided by the TMA/Eberline Project Manager or Industrial Hygiene Specialist. This individual shall be experienced in field operations and familiar with the safety and health program. Through daily interactions with the site staff members, the Project Manager communicates, implements and enforces the safety and health program.

All site employees must have received 40 hours of preassignment training consistent with 29 CFR 1910.120 (e)(2). Each employee must also have eight hours of annual refresher training. TMA/Eberline will provide documentation of worker training before work begins at the C-T plant.

Before commencing site activities, each assigned individual shall be instructed of any potential hazards in the work area, exposure pathways by which toxic materials may enter the body, specific measures to prevent or reduce exposure to radioactive materials and/or chemicals, proper maintenance and usage of safety equipment and personnel protective equipment, avoidance of unsafe work practices, emergency procedures and good housekeeping.

Personnel will also be required to fulfill Mallinckrodt training requirements to work at the site.

9.0 ADMINISTRATION (CONTINUED)

9.3 TRAINING (CONTINUED)

Weekly meetings shall be conducted which will serve as continuous safety training. Topics discussed will provide information on hazardous situations, identification of problems and preventive measures. The extent of safety training shall be adequate to cover OSHA General Industry Standards (29 CFR 1910) and Construction Standards (29 CFR 1926). Site Specific training includes, but is not limited to, the topics listed in Table 9.1.

Training records which include dates of instruction, curriculum, and copies of certificates shall be maintained in each employee's individual permanent personnel file, in Oak Ridge, TN. Training records for contractor personnel shall also be retained in a permanent personnel file.

10.0 REFERENCES

- 10.1 *Radiological, Chemical, and Hydrogeological Characterization Report for the St. Louis Downtown Site in St. Louis, Missouri-Volumes I, II, and III*, Bechtel National, 1990.
- 10.2 *Eberline Letter Report*, December 10, 1984.
- 10.3 *Revised Draft Report Phase I Environmental Assessment*, Malcolm Pirnie, 1989.
- 10.4 *Waste Assistance Draft Report*, Eberline, 1992.
- 10.5 *Preliminary Radiological Investigation, Mallinckrodt Columbium Tantalum Plant*, Eberline, 1993.
- 10.6 *Rust Letter Report*, June 8, 1993.
- 10.7 *NUREG 5849, Manual for Conducting Radiological Surveys in Support of License Termination - Draft Report for Comment*, J.D. Berger, Oak Ridge Associated Universities for the Division of Regulatory Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, June, 1992.
- 10.8 *Quality Assurance Manual, Revision 7*, TMA/Eberline, June 30, 1992.
- 10.9 *USNRC: NMSS, Branch Technical Position "Disposal or On-Site Storage of Thorium or Uranium Wastes from past Operations"*; 46 FR 52601, October 23, 1981.
- 10.10 *EPA 1980-1 - EPA 520/1-80-012, Upgrading Environmental Radiation Data*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, August, 1980.
- 10.11 *EPA 1980-2 - EPA 600/4-80-032, Prescribed Procedure for Measurement of Radioactivity in Drinking Water*, March, 1980.

10.0 REFERENCES (CONTINUED)

- 10.12 *TMA/Eberline Health Physics Operational Procedures Manual, No. 4A.2, "Subsurface Soil Sampling (Radiological), Rev. 2, April 24, 1991.*
- 10.13 *TMA/Eberline Health Physics Operational Procedures Manual, No. 3C.2, "Determination of Background", Rev. 2, April 17, 1991.*
- 10.14 *USNRC "Policy and Guidance Directive FC 83-23: Termination of Byproduct, Source and Special Nuclear Material Licenses", 1983, Rev. 1987.*

TABLES

TABLE 2-1

MALLINCKRODT JUNE 13, 1989 SAMPLING EVENT

Analytical Results (1), (2)

<u>Analytical Parameter</u>	<u>Well W-128 (pCi/L)</u>	<u>Well W-151 (pCi/L)</u>	<u>Well W-152 (pCi/L)</u>	<u>Well B16W03S (pCi/L)</u>
Total Uranium	<7.3	<7.1	<7.6	<8.6
Uranium-238	2.3	2.6	2.2	4.4
Radium-226	<1	<1	<1	<1
Thorium-230	<1	<1	<1	<1
Thorium-232	<1	<1	<1	<1

- (1) All groundwater samples were filtered with a 0.45 micron filter and preserved to a pH of 2 or lower with nitric acid.
- (2) Uranium and Thorium: EPA Method 520/5-84-006, 00-07;
Radium: EPA Method 600/4-80-032, 903.1

DOE/FUSRAP GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical Results (1), (2), (3)

<u>Analytical Parameter</u>	<u>Well B16W03S (pCi/L)</u>	<u>Well B16W04S (pCi/L)</u>	<u>Well B16W12S (pCi/L)</u>
Total Uranium	<3 to <3	<3 to <3	5
Radium-226	0.3 to 0.6	0.3 to 1.3	0.4
Thorium-230	0.2 to 0.6	<0.1 to 0.8	0.2
Thorium-232	N/A	N/A	<0.1

- (1) Uranium: Fluorimetry EML-U-03.
Radium: Radon emanation: EPA Method 903.1.
Thorium: Alpha spectrometry EML-Th-03 (modified).
- (2) Wells B16W03S and B16W04S were sampled quarterly from July 1988 to April 1989. Analytical results represent the historical range of values from four sampling events. The data are from "DOE Radiological, Chemical, and Hydrogeological Characterization Report for the St. Louis Downtown Site in St. Louis, Missouri," dated September 1990 (prepared by Bechtel National, Inc.)
- (3) Well B16W12S was sampled once during the fall of 1992. The data are from "DOE Remedial Investigation Addendum Report for the St. Louis Site," dated May 1993.

TABLE 2-2
C-T PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Use</u>
<u>Plant No. 1 Area</u>	
Building 25	Laboratory
<u>Plant No. 3 Area</u>	
Building 62	Change rooms
<u>Plant No. 5 Areas</u>	
Building 213	Change rooms
Building 235	Feed material/URO storage in eastern half of building
Building 235 Yard	Drummed feed material/URO storage east of building
Building 236	Maintenance area
Building 238	Cb-Ta ore grinding, dissolving and processing; Ta processing
Building 245 Yard	Ore staging area southeast of building
Building 246	Offices and unused solvent extraction plant
Building 247A	Cb-Ta solvent extraction and product storage
Building 247B	Cb filtration and drying
Building 248	Cb filtration, drying and calcining
Building 250	Offices and quality control laboratories
<u>Plant No. 6 Areas</u>	
Building 101 Area	URO burial cells
Building 101 Area	C-T incinerator on west side of building; use to incinerate burlap bags for ore recycling
Building 116	Receipt/unloading of Cb-Ta ore
Building 116 Yard	Storage of feed material and URO
Building 117	URO drum preparation and staging
<u>Plant No. 7 Areas</u>	
Building 700	Storage of tin slag feed material
Building 700/708 Yard	Storage of tin slag feed material
Building 704	URO drum storage
Building 705	Cb-Ta ore storage
Building 706	Cb-Ta ore storage
Building 708	Storage of tin slag feed material
Wastewater Basins	Used to neutralize C-T waste streams discharged to MSD
<u>Plant No. 8 Areas</u>	
Buildings 90, 91	Maintenance areas

TABLE 2-3

C-T CHEMICAL RAW MATERIALS

Raw Material

Ore
Sodium Hydroxide
Hydrofluoric Acid
Sulfuric Acid
Separan
Aqueous Ammonia
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone
Hydrochloric Acid
Potassium Chloride

Products

Tantalum Oxide
Potassium Fluotantalate
Columbium Oxide

TABLE 2-4

MED-AEC FEED MATERIALS, OTHER RAW MATERIALS,
PRODUCTS, AND BYPRODUCTS

High Volume Feed Materials

Canadian ore concentrates
Colorado Plateau ore concentrates
Colorado sodium salts (sodium di-uranate)
Belgian Congo pitchblende ore

Low Volume Feed Materials

Uranyl nitrate, UO_3 , UO_2 , UF_4 from other MED sites
Portuguese ores from Africa
Magnesium uranate
Calcium uranate
Scrap U-metal, slag, pickling liquor and other by-products

Other MED-AEC Raw Materials

Diethyl Ether
Nitric Acid
Barium Carbonate
Sulfuric Acid
Calcium Hydroxide
Caustic Soda
Sodium Bicarbonate and Carbonate
Magnesium Oxide
Anhydrous Hydrofluoric Acid (AHF)
Anhydrous Ammonia
Graphite

TABLE 2-4 (CONTINUED)

MED-AEC FEED MATERIALS, OTHER RAW MATERIALS,
PRODUCTS, AND BYPRODUCTS (CONTINUED)

Products

UO₃ (orange oxide)
UO₂ (black oxide)
UF₄ (green salt)
U-metal (ingots, derbies, billets, buscuits, dingots, etc.)
Uranium Nitrate Liquor produced from U₃O₈
Molybdenum- or zirconium-uranium alloy (biscuits or ingots)
U-metal produced from slightly enriched UF₄ (<1.035 weight
% U-235)
UO₂ from slightly enriched UO₃ (<0.9 weight % U-235)
Uranium product from slightly enriched UF₆ (<1.3-1.6 weight
% U-235)
Uranium Derbies containing niobium or molybdenum
Ingots produced from depleted derbies from other MED-AEC
sites
UO₂F₂

TABLE 3-1
C-T PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS TO BE ADDRESSED BY
C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

<u>Location</u>	<u>Use</u>
<u>Plant No. 3 Area</u>	
Building 62	Locker rooms
<u>Plant No. 5 Areas</u>	
Building 213	Locker and break rooms
Building 235	Feed material/URO storage in eastern half of building
Building 236	Maintenance area, product drying
Building 238	Cb-Ta ore grinding, dissolving and processing; Ta precipitation, separation, drying
Building 246A	Offices
Building 246B	Solvent extraction
Building 247A	Solvent extraction and product storage
Building 247B	Cb precipitation, filtration and drying
Building 248	Cd precipitation, filtration, drying and calcining
Building 248, West Yard	Former location of C-T incinerator
Building 250	Offices, locker room and laboratories
Streets and Yards	Feed material staging and URO handling
Sewers	C-T and building 250 sewers and line to Plant 7 lift station
<u>Plant No. 6 Areas</u>	
Building 101 Area	URO burial cells/storage
Building 101, West Yard	C-T incinerator and building roof
<u>Plant No. 7 Area</u>	
Wastewater Basins	Concrete structure only
<u>Plant No. 8 Areas</u>	
Buildings 90, 91	Maintenance shops

TABLE 5-1

REQUIRED SENSITIVITY FOR LABORATORY ANALYSES

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>Guideline Value</u>	<u>Required Sensitivity</u>	
		<u>Alpha Spectroscopy</u>	<u>Gamma Spectroscopy</u>
Natural Th (Th-228/232)	10	0.5	1.0
Natural U (U-234/238)	10	0.5	5.0
Th-230	5	0.5	
Ra-226	5	0.5	1.0

NOTE: All values in pCi/gram

TABLE 5-2

INSTRUMENTATION FOR CHARACTERIZATION SURVEY

Survey Type	Instrument	Detector	Units
Direct Beta/gamma	ESP-2	GM Detector	DPM
Direct Alpha	ESP-2	ZnS Scintillation	DPM
Exposure Rate	Reuter-Stokes	Pressurized Ionization Chamber	$\mu\text{R}/\text{Hr}$
Removable Alpha	SAC-4	ZnS Scintillation	DPM/100cm ²
Removable Beta/ Gamma	ESP-2	GM Detector	DPM/100cm ²

NOTE: Tables 5-3 and 5-4 list the buildings planned for radiological surveys.

TABLE 5-3

ADDITIONAL RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

BUILDING/ AREA	101	213	235	236	238	245	246A	246B	247 A	247B	248 A	248B
First Floor, Floors		X	X						X	X	X	
First Floor, Walls		X	X	X					X	X	X	X
First Floor, Ceiling		X	X					X	X	X		
Second Floor, Floors										X	X	X
Second Floor, Walls					X				X	X		X
Second Floor, Ceiling					X				X			
Equipment	X	X			X			X	X	X	X	X
Exterior Walls		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Outside Fenced Area	X											

Note: Additional surveys for Buildings 62 and 90/91 to be based on the specific average release criteria for these buildings.

TABLE 5-4

BUILDING ROOFS

<u>BUILDING</u>	<u>RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY</u>	<u>CORE SAMPLES</u>
101	Alpha/Beta/Gamma(2)	4
200E	Alpha/Beta/Gamma	(1)
200W	Alpha/Beta/Gamma	(1)
204	Alpha/Beta/Gamma	(1)
213	Gamma	4
222	Gamma	4
223	Gamma	4
235	Gamma	4
236	Gamma	4
238	None	4
240	Alpha/Beta/Gamma	4
245	Gamma	4
246A	None	2
246B	None	2
247A	None	2
247B	None	2
248	None	4
250	Alpha/Beta/Gamma(2)	4

Note 1: Roof to be cored only if elevated activity is determined.
 2: Surveys to be conducted on 6' grids.

TABLE 5-5

SUBSURFACE SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>SAMPLE LOCATION DESIGNATION</u>	<u>NUMBERS & DEPTHS OF SAMPLES (1)</u>				<u>TOTAL</u>
		<u>1-2'</u>	<u>7-8'</u>	<u>20'-22'</u>	<u>30'-32'</u>	
Bldg. 238	B-1 to 7	7	7	4	1	19
Bldg. 246B	B-8 to 9	2	2			4
Bldg. 247A	B-10 to 11	2	2			4
Bldg. 247B	B-12 to 13	2	2			4
Bldg. 248	B-14 to 16	3	3	1		7
Plant 5 Streets	B-17 to 49	32	32	25	5	94
Plant 5 Sewer Manholes	MH-1 to 6					<u>6</u>
Total Number of Samples =						138

RADIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Total Gamma Spectroscopy = 138

Total Alpha Spectroscopy = 138

NOTE:

1. These depths are approximate. The actual depths at which samples will be taken depend on spoon recoveries achieved and the actual depth of undisturbed soil at the specific drilling locations.

TABLE 5-6

SUBSURFACE SAMPLE ANALYTE LIST

<u>SAMPLE DESIGNATION</u>	<u>SAMPLE LOCATIONS</u>	<u>ANALYTE SUITES/SAMPLE DEPTHS</u>				
		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
B-1 to 7	7	1,2	3			1,2,3
B-8 to 11	4			1,2	3	1,3
B-12 to 16	5	1,2				1,2
B-17, 19, 21	3	1,3		1,3		1,2
B-22 to 24	3	1,3	2	1,2		
B-25 to 29	5	1,3		1,2		
B-30 to 32	3		1,3	1,2		1,2
B-33, 34, 45, 46	4	1,3				1,2,3
B-38, 40, 42, 44	4		1,3		1,2	
MH-1, 4, 6	3	(3)				
TOTALS	41	57	24	36	12	63

ANALYTE SUITES

- A = C-T Metals Pb, Cd, Se, Cr
- B = All Eight TC List Metals
- C = Ten TC List Volatiles
- D = 10 TC List Volatiles & 13 Semi-Volatiles
- E = Corrosivity Characteristic

SAMPLE DEPTH LEGEND


- 1 = 1-2' depth
- 2 = 7-8' depth
- 3 = 20-22' depth
- 4 = 30-32' depth

TABLE 9-1

SITE SPECIFIC TRAINING

Site Health and Safety Plan, 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(1)
Site Characterization and Analysis, 29 CFR 1910.120(i)
Chemical Hazards
Radiological Hazards
Personal Protective Equipment, 29 CFR 1910.134
Medical Surveillance Requirements
Respiratory Protection, 29 CFR 1910.134
Overhead and Underground Utilities
Tools
Decontamination, 29 CFR 1910.120(k)
Air Monitoring
Handling Drums and Other Containers, 29 CFR 1910.120(j)
Radioactive Wastes
RCRA Wastes, 40 CFR 265.16
Buddy System
Heat and Cold Stress
Hazard Communication, 29 CFR 1910.1200
Fire Prevention and Protection
Good Housekeeping
Reporting Non-compliance and Potential Hazards
Instrument Maintenance and Operation
Sampling Procedures
Drilling
Confined Spaces, 29 CFR 1910.146
Barricades, 29 CFR 1926.202
Arc Welding and Cutting, 29 CFR 1926.351
Lockout and Tagout of Electrical Sources, 29 CFR 1926.417
Material Hoists, 29 CFR 1926.552
Ladders, 29 CFR 1926.1053
High Lifts
Emergency Procedures and Evacuation

FIGURES



NOTE: DRAWING TAKEN FROM U.S.G.S. - GRANITE CITY, ILL-MO QUADRANGLE DATED 1954.

SCALE: 1IN = 2000FT

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

PROJECT NO.
90C8150-D

Woodward-Clyde
Consultants

Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment

DRN. BY: kdw 6/9/93
DSGN. BY: MET 6/21/93
CHKD. BY: CFP 6/21/93

Site Location Map

FIG. NO.
2-1

CB 1217

FABRICE PLANT NO. 14

SALISBURY ST.

N. BROADWAY

PLANT NO. 9

CB 2529

90

SECOND ST.

CB 1833

87

WINDMILL BRIDGE

CB 1833

HALF'S BRIDGE AREA

HALL ST.

8TH ST. PARKING LOT

CB 1214

BROOKWAY PARKING LOT

PLANT NO. 4

8TH ST. PARKING LOT

MALLINCKRODT ST.

91

90

PLANT NO. 8

CB 1213

PARADE

26

P R R

D B T V W

K

16

CB 1212

F

17

PLANT NO. 1

CB 1211

Z

27

28

CB 1205

DESTREHAN ST.

74

76

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

PLANT NO. 3

CB 1206

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1635

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685



1686

1687

1688

1689

1690




ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available On
Aperture Card

FIGURE 2-2

9402140086-01



DATE		TITLE	
REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	08/26/81	CHG. TEXT STY. TO 1/2" X 1/4" STY.	
2	04/26/82	CHG. STY. TO 1/2" X 1/4" STY.	
3	04/26/82	CHG. STY. TO 1/2" X 1/4" STY.	
4	04/26/82	CHG. STY. TO 1/2" X 1/4" STY.	


MALLINCKRODT, INC.
ST. LOUIS, MO.

**ST. LOUIS
PLANT MAP**

PLANT NO.	ST. LOUIS	JOB NO.	100
SCALE	1" = 100'	DATE	08/15/83
BY	E. R. PERRELL	CHKD.	J. R. PERRELL
TITLE	ST. LOUIS PLANT MAP	DATE	08/15/83

5000


THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF MALLINCKRODT, INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED BY MALLINCKRODT, INC.



ANSTED
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available On

SOURCE: SURDEX CORPORATION



WALTON BRIDGE SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY
 2700 W. 10th St. S.W. Grand Rapids, MI 49508

Project No.
 WCC-300

10-30-02 - 02


Woodward-Clyde 
Consultants

10000 E. 15th Ave. Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80231

Project No.
 WCC-300


Woodward-Clyde
 30 Lower Main
 Denver, CO 80202

Page No.
 1-27



ANSTEAD
APERTURE
CARD

SOURCE: SURDEX CORPORATION



9402140086-02

0 150
SCALE FEET

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

PROJECT NO.
90C8150D

Woodward-Clyde
Consultants



Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment


DRN. BY: kdw 6/15/93
DSGN. BY: RST 6/21/93
CHKD. BY: JFF 6/21/93

Mallinckrodt
St. Louis Plant
April 24, 1991

FIG. NO.
2-3

File name: G:\90C8150\TASK-D\FIG1-4.DWG Last edited: 93/06/17 @ 16:18

A




SOURCE:

Bechtel National, Inc. September 1990. Radiological, Chemical, and Hydrogeological Characterization Report for the St. Louis Downtown Site in St. Louis, MO. Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). Volumes I-III: Revision 1. DOE/OR/20722-258.

NOTE:

Cross Section Trace shown on Fig. 2-5
Water Levels were measured on June 9, 1989.

A'



ANSTEC
 APERTURE
 CARD
 Also Available On
 Aperture Card


9402140086 - 03

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO. 90C8150D
Woodward-Clyde Consultants <small>Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment</small>		
DRN. BY: kdw 6/11/93 DSGN. BY: RET 6/21/93 CHKD. BY: FP 6/21/93	Hydrogeologic Cross Section	FIG. NO. 2-4

E: WELL B16W090 WAS NOT COMPLETED UNTIL AFTER THE READINGS WERE TAKEN.

LEGEND


- CONTOUR OF GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
- +—+— RAILROAD TRACKS
- RIVER EDGE
- ⊗ MONITORING WELL
- 390 ELEVATION OF GROUNDWATER IN FEET ABOVE NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM (NGVD). GRADE ELEVATION OF THE SITE RANGES FROM 419 TO 425 FEET ABOVE NGVD.



CHICAGO, BURLINGTON,
& QUINCY RAILROAD


ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD



Also Available On
Microfilm

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO. 90C8150D
Woodward-Clyde  Consultants Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment		
DRN. BY: kdw 6/17/93 DSGN. BY: RET 6/21/93 CHKD. BY: CFF 6/21/93	Water Level Contour Map, Alluvial Sand Unit, June 9, 1989	FIG. NO. 2-5

9402140086-04


LEGEND

 EUXENITE PRODUCTION AND SUPPORT AREAS




ANSTEC APERTURE CARD

Also Available On
Aperture Card.



NOTE: Drawing modified from Drawing No. 2211-1,
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works, St. Louis,
(7-24-58).


MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI	PROJECT NO. 90C81500
---	-------------------------

Woodward-Clyde 
Consultants

Engineering & services rendered in the earth & its atmosphere


DRN. BY kdw 6/14/93	Euxenite Production and Support Areas	FIG. NO.
DSGN. BY	St. Louis Downtown Site	2-6
CHKD. BY		

File name: G:\90C8150\TASK-D\FIG2-7.DWG Last edited: 94/01/06 @ 15:31



MAP REFERENCE:

Bechtel National, Inc. September 1990. Radiological, Chemical, and Hydrogeological Characterization Report for the St. Louis Downtown Site in St. Louis, MO. Former Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). Volumes I-III: Revision 1. DOE/OR/20722-258.




LEGEND


- ◆ MALCOLM PIRNIE (1989) MONITORING WELLS
- FUSRAP/DOE (1988) MONITORING WELLS
- 414— WATER LEVEL CONTOUR, PERCHED GROUNDWATER, JUNE 12, 1989 (feet, MSL)
- ➔ GENERAL DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW
- ⊕ WABASH DRILLING CO. (1947) BORINGS
- A — A' LOCATION OF GEOLOGIC PROFILE SHOWN IN FIGURE 2-8


ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available On
Aperture Card


9402140086 - 06



MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO. 90C8150D
Woodward-Clyde  Consultants <small>Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment</small>		
DRN. BY: kdw 1/6/94 DSGN. BY: RET 1/6/94 CHKD. BY: CFP 1/22/94	Monitoring Well Locations and Flow Direction of Perched Groundwater, Plant 5	FIG. NO. 2-7



- NOTE: 1) Location of geologic profile shown on Figure 2-7
- 2) Generalized geologic profile based on boring data from Mallinckrodt Drawing
Boring data are from Plant 5 - Loads & Areas, Wabash Drilling Co., March 2
- 3) Horizontal Scale: 1 inch = 50 feet
Vertical Scale: 1 inch = 10 feet
Vertical Exaggeration: 5X




ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

9402140086 -07

No. 3333-1.
1947.


Also Available in
Swedish and
German.


MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO. 90C81500
Woodward-Clyde Consultants CONSULTING ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS		
DRN BY RET CHKD BY CFP	Generalized Geologic Profile, Plant 5	FIG. NO. 2-8



*David
Sitar (last page)
Cincinnati
January 2*


File name: G:\90C8150\TASK-0\FIG2-11.DWG Last edited: 94/01/03 @ 15:45



MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO. 90C8150D
Woodward-Clyde  Consultants Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment		
DRN. BY: kdw 6/14/93	Generalized Process Flow Diagram, C-T Operation	FIG. NO. 2-11
DSGN. BY:		
CHKD. BY:		

LEGEND


- MED-AEC OPERATIONS
- Plant 1 & 2 (1942-1946)
- Plant 4 (1942-1951)
- Plant 6 (1946- 1958)
- Plant 6E & 7 (1950-1958)



ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available in
English

Plant No.	Building No.	
1	25	lab.
1	alley south of 25	parking
1	E-1-E	temp.
1	A	gran.
1	X	lock
2	38B	park
2	40	work
2	30	fuel
2	51	U/C
2	51A	dent
2	517	work
2	52	offic
2	52A	mic
2	52X	work
2	55	shop
4	400	work
4	401	work
4	406	work
4	408	work
6	100	work
6	101	work
6	102	work




IDENTIFICATION OF MED-AEC PRODUCTION PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS

Use
quality control, R&D
leads R&D extraction
pitchblende ore pilot plant
plant maintenance
room
change house
temporary storage of residues
material and product storage, utility, operations
lead preparation/digestion recovery
nitric fumes and hydrogen reduce to UO ₂
heavy oxygen enrichment outside for use of pitchblende liquor
extraction to produce UNH ₄
fluorous re-extraction
by enclosure - temporary structure
lab - temporary structure
factory UF, and metal slag handling, finance, laboratory, office, change house, metal pilot plant
storage, metal storage, metals and other plant
material storage
boiler, ECR, NH ₃ , HF, and other
ical substation
lab, laboratory, decontamination receiving offices
control lab, S&D lab, lab offices

Plant No.	Building No.	Use
6	103	refrigeration, spectrographic laboratory
6	104	main refinery building (res to UO ₂ to UO ₂)
6	104A & AA	main refinery - ore handling & milling
6	104B	main refinery - pilot plant area
6	105	main refinery - other house - extraction
6	106	nitric acid recovery
6	106A	nitric acid recovery
6	107	nitric acid recovery, tank farm pump house
6	108	shotgun sample preparation lab
6	109	acid unloading station
6	110	main warehouse for bagged goods, pitchblende ores, concentrate, UO ₂ product
6	110A	main warehouse part used as Leadex Lab
6	110B	automotive repair
6	111	sample preparation, scrub-Leadex Lab, south-maintenance shop
6	112	administration, maintenance shops
6	113	paint shed
6	114	scale house, temporary storage of residues
6	115	boiler house/steam plant
6E	116	manufacturing UF, to metal, warehouse, graphite machining
	116-1	manufacturing U metal, warehouse
	116-2	warehouse, office
6E	116-B	electrical substation
6E	116-C	slag handling & grinding


Plant No.	Building No.	Use
6E	117	support services, laundry, kitchen, cafeteria and offices
6	117-1	security, change house
6	117-2	lunchroom, laundry, contractor change room
6	119	main plant/maintenance storage shed
6	120 & 121	U metal dissolver, nitric digest & recovery (pitchblende)
6	122	slag recovery pilot plant
6	123	ammonia & disassociator
7	700	warehouse, safety office, fabrication plant
7	701	interim residue plant
7	702	hydrofluoric acid vault, HF tank farm
7	704	HF recovery, HF off-gas treatment
7	705	manufacturing UO ₂ , UO ₂ , UF ₄
7	706	warehouse UO ₂ , to UF ₄
7	707	ammonia cracking, manufacturing H ₂ + N ₂ from NH ₃
7	708	magnesium metal storage & packaging
7	709	HF refrigeration equipment and pump house
7	710	NH ₃ tank farm and pump house, ammonia storage
7	711	mechanical storage, storage shed
7	712	iodium (thorium-230) extraction (temporary)

MED-AEC STORAGE AREAS FOR FEED MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, BYPRODUCTS, AND WASTES		
Plant No.	Building Number	Material Type
2	40	temporary storage of residues
2	50	ore concentrate, UO ₂ product
4	400	UF ₄ product, U-metal
4	405	slag
6	104	barium sulfate cake and raffinate cake
6	104A	pitchblende ore
6	110	pitchblende ore, ore concentrate, UO ₂ and UO ₂ product
6	114	radium-bearing cake (R-65) in drums
6E	116	U-metal, UF ₄ slag
7	700	unknown
7	708	magnesium
7	Southwest Yard	drums, feed materials, contaminated equipment
7	706 Yard	UF ₄ screws
7	712 Yard	open storage (contents unknown)




Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the page, including 'C. W. WOODWARD' and other illegible markings.

File name: G:\90C8150\TASK-D\FIG2-2.DWG Last edited: 93/07/08 13:60





MODIFIED FROM:
Radiological Survey of the
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works,
St. Louis, Missouri, DOE
report prepared by ORNL,
December, 1981.

① Wastes from pitchblende
ore only.

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI		PROJECT NO 90C81500
Woodward-Clyde  Consultants <small>Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment</small>		
DRN. BY: kdw 6/15/93 DSGN. BY: RET 7/3/93 CHKD. BY: CFP 7/2/93	Generalized Process Flow Diagram MED-AEC Operation	FIG NO 2-13

LEGEND

- MED-AEC OPERATIONS
- Plant 1 & 2 (1942-1946)
- Plant 4 (1942-1951)
- Plant 5 (1946-1958)
- Plant 6E & 7 (1950-1958)



Plant No.	Building No.	Room No.	Room Name
1	25		lab. o
1	alley south of 25		pi. ad
1	K-1-E		temp
1	A		gener
1	X		locker
2	38B		perce
2	40		unsp
2	50		feed UF,
2	51		U.O.
2	51A		dead UO,
2	51X		unsp
2	52		stor
2	52A		mic
2	52X		stor
2	55		shop
4	400		man
4	401		man
4	406		neg
4	408		slag
6	100		elec
6	101		recor
6	102		man




IDENTIFICATION OF MED-AEC PRODUCTION PROCESS AND SUPPORT AREAS

Use
Quality control, R&D
Radio R&D extraction
Primary pitchblende ore pilot plant
Plant maintenance
Room
Lead change house
Primary storage of reagents
Material and product storage, utility equipment
Feed preparation/digest recovery
Uranium fumes and hydrogen residue to UO ₂
Primary energy enrichment outside for final pitchblende liquor extraction to produce UNG
Mass flow re-extraction
Utility enclosures - temporary structures
Utility lab - temporary structure
Manufacture UF ₆ and metal slag handling, research, laboratory, offices, change, metal pilot plant
Reagent, metal storage, metal and pilot plant
Reagent storage
Reagent, ECHL, NH ₃ , HF, and other
Electrical substation
Radio laboratory, decontamination, receiving offices
Control lab, R&D lab, lab offices


Plant No.	Building No.	Use
6	103	refrigeration, spectrographic laboratory
6	104	main refinery building (ore to UO ₂ to UO ₂)
6	104A & AA	main refinery - ore handling & milling
6	104B	main refinery - pilot plant area
6	105	main refinery - ether house - extraction
6	106	nitric acid recovery
6	106A	nitric acid recovery
6	107	nitric acid recovery, tank farm pump house
6	108	shotgun sample preparation lab
6	109	acid unloading station
6	110	main warehouse for bagged goods, pitchblende ore, concentrate, UO ₂ product
6	110A	main warehouse part used as Ledoux Lab
6	110B	automotive repair
6	111	sample preparation, north Ledoux Lab, north maintenance shop
6	112	administration, maintenance shop
6	113	paint shed
6	114	scale house, temporary storage of residues
6	115	boiler house/steam plant
6E	116	manufacturing UF ₆ to metal, warehouse, graphite machining
	116-1	manufacturing U metal, warehouse
	116-2	warehouse, office
6E	116-B	electrical substation
6E	116-C	slag handling & grinding

Plant No.	Building No.	Use
6E	117	support services, laundry, lockers, canteen and offices
6	117-1	security, change house
6	117-2	restroom, laundry, contractor change room
6	119	steam plant/maintenance storage shed
6	120 & 121	U metal dissolver, misc. digest & recovery (pitchblende)
6	122	slag recovery pilot plant
6	123	ammonia & dissociator
7	700	warehouse, safety office, fabrication plant
7	701	incinerator residue plant
7	703	hydrofluoric acid vaults, HF tank farm
7	704	HF recovery, HF off-gas treatment
7	705	manufacturing UO ₂ , UO ₃ , UF ₆
7	706	warehouse UO ₂ to UF ₆
7	707	ammonia cracking, manufacturing H ₂ + N ₂ from NH ₃
7	708	magnesium metal storage & packaging
7	708	HF refrigeration equipment and pump house
7	710	NH ₃ tank farm and pump house, ammonia storage
7	711	mechanical storage, storage shed
7	712	Isolux (thorium-230) extraction (temporary)

MED-AEC STORAGE AREAS FOR FEED MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, BYPRODUCTS, AND WASTES		
Plant No.	Building Number	Material Type
2	40	temporary storage of residues
2	50	ore concentrate, UO ₂ product
4	400	UF ₆ product, U-metal
4	40E	slag
6	104	barium sulfate cake and raffinate cake
6	104A	pitchblende ore
6	110	pitchblende ore, ore concentrate, UO ₂ and UO ₃ product
6	114	radium-bearing cake (K-65) in drums
6E	116	U-metal, UF ₆ , slag
7	700	unknown
7	708	magnesium
7	Southwest Yard	drums, feed materials, contaminated equipment
7	706 Yard	UF ₆ , screws
7	712 Yard	open storage (contents unknown)



9402140026-09/01



EQUIPMENT LOCATION TABLE

FIRST FLOOR MAIN BUILDING

LOCATION		EQUIPMENT NUMBER	REMARKS?
NORTH	EAST		
75 ft	24 ft	TANK A	
57 ft	9 ft	TANK T-321	
78 ft	3 ft	TANK B	
36 ft	105 ft	TANK 3402	
30 ft	96 ft	TANK T-343	
9 ft	98 ft	TANK 3315	
8 ft	51 ft	TANK T-361	
8 ft	27 ft	PRESS-PAN TA205	420-11
10 ft	10 ft	TANK T-337	
55 ft	102 ft	TANK #3	
63 ft	102 ft	TANK #2	
75 ft	102 ft	TANK #1	
60 ft	90 ft	DRYER 412-83	

BALL MILL ROOM

LOCATION		EQUIPMENT NUMBER	REMARKS
NORTH	EAST		
36 ft	14 ft	BALL MILL	450-11
12 ft	12 ft	BALL MILL A	

BLENDER ROOM

LOCATION		EQUIPMENT NUMBER	REMARKS
NORTH	EAST		
5 ft	22 ft	DESPATCH OVEN A	
13 ft	22 ft	DESPATCH OVEN B	
36 ft	15 ft	MOTOR EXHALATION VENTS	

CALCINER ROOM

LOCATION		EQUIPMENT NUMBER	REMARKS
NORTH	EAST		
3 ft	7 ft	DUMPER	TANK TOP
6 ft	15 ft	BLENDER	MACHINE

METAL DISSOLVER ROOM

LOCATION		EQUIPMENT NUMBER	REMARKS
NORTH	EAST		
6 ft	12 ft	DISSOLVER TANK	393

NOTES:

1. ALL DIMENSIONS WERE TAKEN FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE BUILDING OR ROOMS (SEE PLAN).
2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY.
3. SHADED EQUIPMENT INDICATES SURVEYED AREAS.

ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available On
412-83 Dryer

FIGURE 5-1

8 FIRST FLOOR

9402140086-10

Dwg. No.		Title	
REFERENCE DRAWINGS			
ENGR. JOB NO.	7085	PROJECT NO.	7085
NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	
0	01/08/94	ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS	
MALLINCKRODT, INC.			
ST. LOUIS, MO.			
BOREHOLE LOCATIONS			
BUILDING 238			
PLANT	STL-5	DC	238 FLOOR FIRST
SCALE	1/8" = 1'-0"	DWG.	01/08/94
DR.	B.W.P.	PLG.	Q 0104
TITLE	7085-200008	DWG.	APT HINGE
DRAWING NO.	7085-200-008		REV
THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF MALLINCKRODT, INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED BY MALLINCKRODT, INC.			



CLINICAL

•B-13




BLDG. 247-B

•B-12




STEAM WATER

W WALKER LINE 218A



PLANT 5 AREA SITE PLAN




ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD


Also Available On
Aperture Card


FIGURE 5-4

DATE	TITLE		
	REFERENCE DRAWING		
ENGR. JOB NO.	PROJECT NO.		
REV. NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	
MALLINCKRODT, INC.			
ST. LOUIS, MO.			
BOREHOLE LOCATIONS PLANT 5			
PLANT	ST. 5	BLDG. 515	FLOOR NA
SCALE	1"=40'-0"	DATE	1-06-94
DR.	HSE	PLDT	JK21
FILE	7085\200607	ENGR.	ART HERZIG
DRAWING NO.	7085-200-007		REV. <input type="checkbox"/>
THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF MALLINCKRODT, INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED BY MALLINCKRODT, INC.			

9402140086-13







ANSTEC
APERTURE
CARD

Also Available On
Aperture Card


◇ FIGURE 5-5

9402140086-14

LEGEND

- MANHOLE
- ▣ SURFACE INLET
- CIRC. DRAIN
- ▧ GUTTER
- SOUP
- DOWNPOUT
- ▬ BLOCKED
- FLD FINISHED

SCALE:



NOTES:

1. LAYER SCHEDULE & HATCH PATTERN TO THIS DRAWING

REV.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
0	10/10/78	AS BUILT	
1	11/15/78	ADD 27" MAN HOLES @ 17000	

MALLINCKRODT, INC.
ST. LOUIS, MO.

ST. LOUIS PLANT UTILITIES
PLANT #5
SEWER LINE SYSTEMS


PLAN	REL-5	DATE	10/16/78
SCALE	1"=50'	DATE	10/16/78
BY	R.W.P.	PLAT	1"=50'
FILE	5000-202-004	NO.	A-5

5000-202-004 ◇

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF MALLINCKRODT, INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED BY MALLINCKRODT, INC.

FIGURE 9-1

C-T CHARACTERIZATION PLAN ORGANIZATION



C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

APPENDIX A

MED PLANT OPERATIONS DESCRIPTION

APPENDIX A

MED PLANT OPERATIONS DESCRIPTION

Plant 1 and 2 - Basic Operations

Uranium dioxide processing from ore concentrates began in 1942 in Plant 2. Facilities for batch production were installed in buildings 50, 51, 51A, and 52 (Figure 2-12).

In 1942, laboratory development was conducted in Plant 1 in the building 25 laboratory and in the alley between buildings K-1-E and 25 (Figure 2-12). The original feed materials were ore concentrates from Canada consisting of uranium black oxide, U_3O_8 . The concentrates were produced at off-site uranium mills and were free of radium and its decay products.

The U_3O_8 was digested in nitric acid in building 51, producing uranyl nitrate. This was transferred to building 52 and purified by ether extraction to yield pure uranyl nitrate hexahydrate (UNH). In building 51A, the UNH was converted to UO_3 , which was further converted to UO_2 . The UO_2 powder was packaged and transferred to Plant 4 or to off-site government installations. Building 50 was used as a warehouse to store incoming feed materials, product, and tanks of process liquids. Solid residues from the extraction process were drummed and transferred to government sites for scrap recovery, and liquid residues were neutralized and discarded into the sewer system.

By July 1942, Plant 2 was producing approximately 1 ton of UO_2 per day. Production continued until 1945-1946 when the area was closed in preparation for start-up of the Destrehan Plant. According to production contracts between MCW and MED/AEC, a total of approximately 4,400 tons of UO_2 was produced in Plant 2.

Plant 4 - UF₄ and Uranium Metal Operations

In 1942, MCW began a batch process to manufacture uranium tetrafluoride (UF₄ or green salt) by the high temperature gas-solid reaction of UO₂ with hydrofluoric acid (HF). This process was conducted in building 400 in the former Plant 4 area (Figure 2-12). Figure A-1 attached is a historical photograph of the Plant 4 area. The UF₄ was created by heating UO₂ powder with anhydrous HF gas. The UF₄ was then emptied into fiberboard containers for storage. Excess HF and water vapor were condensed, neutralized with lime, and discharged to the sewer.

MCW began manufacturing uranium metal in 1943 in buildings 400 and 401B (Figure 2-12) in a two stage batch process. The first stage reacted UF₄ with ground magnesium metal to produce a solid uranium metal called "derby." The thermite reaction was carried out in dolomite lined, steel reaction vessels which were heated inside electric muffle furnaces. The cooled shells were "broken out" to expose the derby and the magnesium fluoride slag. The derby was cleaned and made ready for the second step.

The second stage involved melting and recasting derbies inside in induction heated vacuum furnace. The molten metal was poured into graphite molds to form a cylindrical ingot rod or other forms of uranium metal which were cut and packaged for storage in building 400. The slag, dross, and other furnace residues were packaged for shipment to an off-site government facility. The uranium residues consisted entirely of scrap metal or black oxide.

In Plant 4, uranium metal production ceased in 1950 and green salt production ceased in 1951. Afterward, Plant 4 was utilized for various pilot and experimental uranium metal projects until 1956.

Destrehan Plant - Plants 6, 6E and 7

The Destrehan Plant (Plant 6, 6E, and 7, Figure 2-12) was built in 1945 to increase the capacity of the uranium refinery and to process pitchblende ores. Figure A-2 attached is a historical photograph of the Plant 6, 6E and 7 area.

Destrehan Plant - Plants 6, 6E and 7 (continued)

The engineering design of the Destrehan Plant was based on experimental pitchblende processing performed by MCW in Plant 1 in 1944. The pitchblende ore contained 30% to 55% uranium as uranium oxide (U_3O_8) in equilibrium with its decay products. Processing the pitchblende required extraordinary health and safety precautions due to the presence of Ra-226, a decay product of U-238. The laboratory in building 25-2 was used for development work, and a pilot plant to extract the radium was installed in building K-1-E (Plant 1, Figure 2-12). In the production process, pitchblende was dissolved in nitric acid and "adjusted" by stripping the radium. Lead and radium decay products were precipitated from the liquor by sulfate precipitation and filtration to yield K-65, a "lead gangue cake." A second step treated the filtered liquor with barium to neutralize excess sulfate and yield a barium sulfate precipitate that stripped any remaining radium.

The Destrehan refinery, Plants 6, 6E, and 7 (Figure 2-12) was brought on line in 1946. Incoming pitchblende ore and ore concentrates arrived by rail and were stored in building 110. The southwest yard area, which is the present-day location of the wastewater basins (Figure 2-2), was also used to store MED-AEC feed materials as well as contaminated equipment. The continuous process reactor, located in building 104, was designed for pitchblende and included new operations to recover radium-bearing residues and raffinate cake and to concentrate and convert U_3O_8 to UO_2 . The feed liquor was extracted with ether in a manner similar to that used in Plant 2, yielding pure uranyl nitrate hexahydrate (UNH). The UNH was denitrated to yield UO_3 , and the UO_3 was reduced with hydrogen to yield UO_2 . The UO_2 product was sent to Plant 4, Plant 7, or an off-site government facility for further processing. In 1949, a second digest line was added to building 104 to process uranium ore concentrates from uranium mills. From 1949 to 1953, approximately 50% of the feed material was pitchblende ore. In June 1955, the last shipment of pitchblende ore was processed in the Destrehan Plant. Afterward, all of the feed material consisted of ore concentrates.

Building 116 (Plant 6E, Figure 2-12) was constructed in 1950 as a replacement for uranium metal production area in Plant 4. The objectives were to increase capacity of uranium metal production, improve the quality, and reduce the processing costs. To increase the capacity,

Destrehan Plant - Plants 6, 6E and 7 (continued)

the size of the derbies and cast ingots were increased. To improve the quality of the uranium metal, the casting operation used vaporization and "hot-topping" to reduce the impurities and the shrinkage voids. In 1954, building 116C was built to recycle magnesium fluoride slag. It was found that the slag could be used as a refractory liner material in the bob-reduction process in place of the dolomitic oxide material. This improved the quality of the derbies and reduced costs.

Plant 7 was built in 1951 as a replacement for the UF_4 production area in Plant 4. The objectives were to increase the UF_4 capacity and quality. This plant used a continuous-process, stirred-bed reactor to produce the UF_4 ; additionally, continuous processing equipment for converting UO_3 to UO_2 was added, allowing Plant 7 to have continuous production of UF_4 with the starting feed material being uranium trioxide (UO_3).

In 1955, a fabrication facility was installed in building 700 for temporary machining of reactor cores. In the same year, an interim residue plant was built in Plant 7 to recover uranium from reject magnesium fluoride slag liner using a mill and flotation process. The interim residue plant (building 701, Figure 2-12) was located in the present-day wastewater basin area. From July 1955 to December 1957, an estimated 467 tons of uranium were recovered in this facility.

Plant 6 was placed on standby by the AEC in January 1958 for eventual decommissioning. Plants 6E and 7 reportedly were placed on standby as of July 1, 1958. MCW's uranium refining operations were transferred from the St. Louis plant to a new facility in Weldon Spring, Missouri.

Small Volume Batch and Experimental Processes

Several small volume batch and experimental uranium-related processes were conducted at the St. Louis plant. Based on a review of MED-AEC contracts, it is estimated that the miscellaneous uranium products constituted less than 0.3% of the total uranium produced. Examples of the miscellaneous processes include:

Small Volume Batch and Experimental Processes (continued)

- Conversion of feed materials slightly enriched in U-235 to metal or uranium nitrate liquor
- Extraction of Ionium (Th-230) from pitchblende raffinate
- Production of U_3O_8 and uranium dioxide (UO_2) using an experimental continuous denitration furnace
- Experimental extraction of uranium using Tributyl Phosphate (TBP) in hexane to replace ether, since TBP was much safer to handle
- Production of uranium metal dingots, using a thermite reduction process
- Recycling of slag for use as liner material in the processing of uranium metal





FIGURE A-1

DESTREHAN STREET FACILITIES



LEGEND

1. REFINERY
2. WAREHOUSE
3. MAINTENANCE
4. BOILER HOUSE
5. METAL PLANT
6. SERVICE
7. GREEN SALT
8. OFFICE
9. ANALYTICAL LABORATORY
10. PROCESS & DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY

MALLINCKRODT

CHEMICAL

WORKS

C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

APPENDIX B

DESCRIPTION OF DECONTAMINATION TESTS

APPENDIX B

DESCRIPTION OF DECONTAMINATION TESTS

1.0 Introduction

This Appendix summarizes general methods for decontamination and is presented as a guide in planning and selecting decontamination procedures for radioactively contaminated materials and equipment being cleaned for unrestricted release. Decontamination procedures, in general, follow acceptable industrial practices for maintaining cleanliness and removing contaminants such as surface dirt, oils, scale deposits, and oxide film. Decontamination methods range from simple procedures such as hand wiping to complex operations involving large facilities, heavy mechanical equipment, and extensive logistical support. The choice of a method depends on the resources, experience, and innovativeness of those responsible for accomplishing the tasks. Techniques vary widely on the basis of the type of material contaminated, the extent, concentration, and the chemical and physical characteristics of the contaminant. Detailed treatment of decontamination methods is beyond the scope of this standard; however, techniques and experiences are well documented in the literature.

2.0 Basic Considerations

2.1 Resources

The availability of adequate resources is a key factor in selecting a decontamination method. Resources which should be evaluated include decontamination facilities, auxiliary equipment, decontamination supplies, protective clothing, monitoring equipment, and manpower. Particular emphasis should be placed on adequacy of containment and waste-handling facilities.

2.0 Basic Considerations (continued)

2.2 Cost

Essentially all decontamination efforts are influenced by the cost of the procedure compared with the value of the material, equipment, or facilities being cleaned. In some instances it may be more economical to dispose of the objects as contaminated waste than to clean them to uncontrolled release levels.

2.3 Personnel Safety

Protection of personnel performing the decontamination and of those in surrounding areas should be considered in initial planning. Respiratory protection is a key consideration since many decontamination procedures generate airborne contamination. The toxicity of the cleaning agent should be considered along with the potential for evolution of toxic fumes when the cleaning agent comes in contact with the surface being cleaned. In addition, the potential for the presence or generation of explosive or flammable gas mixtures should be evaluated. Procedures with significant radiological, industrial hygiene, or safety hazards should be performed under an approved written job plan in which all workers understand the requirements of the job plan before starting work.

2.4 Effects of Decontamination

The effect of the decontamination procedure on the material being cleaned should be considered in the initial planning. In addition to the obvious destructive effect of procedures such as sandblasting, grinding, etc., corrosion or insidious changes associated with various chemical reactions should also be evaluated.

3.0 Decontamination Methods

3.1 General

It is often of advantage to try several different decontamination procedures or techniques on a test basis before making a commitment to a single method. In most procedures the decontamination factor (DF) is high on the initial cleaning but significantly reduced in successive steps.

3.2 Manual Cleaning

Manual cleaning includes such procedures as wiping, scrubbing, mopping, etc., and in general, is an effective method of removing low or moderate levels of contamination on nonporous or nearly nonporous surfaces. Water or a variety of detergents, solvents, chelating agents, and other chemicals may be used. Manual cleaning usually presents minimal airborne and surface contamination control problems.

3.3 Mechanical Cleaning

Mechanical cleaning includes such decontamination methods as vacuuming, high-pressure steam and water cleaning, soaking, ultrasonics, and electropolishing. These methods are generally associated with the decontamination of high contaminated equipment but have application with lower levels of contamination.

3.3.1 Jet Cleaning

High-pressure steam and water used alone or mixed with chemicals and detergents are effective in attaining high decontamination factors. Commercial systems using the jet cleaning principle are available. Equipment of this type is ideally suited for remote operation and for cleaning large surface areas. High-pressure jet cleaning has the disadvantage of spreading contamination over a large area and is more effective when used in a cave or cell designed especially for this purpose.

3.0 Decontamination Methods (continued)

3.3 Mechanical Cleaning (continued)

3.3.2 Soaking and Spraying

Soaking and spraying are used extensively for decontamination of small and moderate size material and equipment. Both methods make use of chemical solutions and may require support features such as catch tanks, liquid recycle ability, and filtered ventilation systems. Spraying has the advantage of combining mechanical as well as chemical action; however, in some cases the shape of the object being cleaned prevents effective cleaning action on all surfaces. Soaking provides good access to surfaces but does not provide mechanical action.

3.3.3 Ultrasonic Cleaning

Ultrasonic cleaning combines the advantage of chemical action and mechanical energy for cleaning. It is best suited for small components and offers the advantage of remote operation and rapid decontamination of objects with irregular shapes and crevices.

3.3.4 Chemical Extraction

Chemical extraction techniques, as applied to the decontamination of large areas and various materials is relatively new. Recent tests in the Martin Marietta Energy Systems Y-12 Plant at Oak Ridge, TN indicates the consideration of this technique for the C-T plant areas. The pre-engineered solutions are applied to the area requiring remediation and allowed to act within the matrix for several hours. The chemical extraction solution brings the contamination to the surface where it is collected. This method produces only small amounts of residual waste product and is desirable not only for decontamination ability, but for significant volume reductions of waste product requiring disposal.

3.0 Decontamination Methods (continued)

3.4 Grinding and Abrasive Action

Cleaning procedures employing grinding or abrasive action are effective means of decontaminating metal and concrete surfaces, provided alteration of the surface area of the object being cleaned can be tolerated.

3.4.1 Grinding

Grinding of surfaces to remove contamination is usually limited to small objects or isolated spots of contamination where the surface is reasonably smooth. Grinding normally produces a high decontamination factor and is economical. A variety of commercial grinders may be used. Grinding inherently leaves residual contamination on the surface of the object being cleaned and therefore usually requires final cleaning by some other method (vacuuming, wiping, etc.).

Grinding frequently produces particulate air activity and is generally not economical for large surface areas.

3.4.2 Abrasive Blasting

Abrasive blasting has a number of advantages over grinding. It is rapid, provides a high DF, is effective on irregular shaped surfaces and can be used for large areas. Abrasive blasting makes use of a large variety of abrasives (sand, shells, glass beads, metals, etc.) with velocity, shape, and size of the abrasive blasting is that it usually generates high airborne contamination and spreads surface contamination; however, this can be minimized by wet blasting techniques, vacuum systems, or filtered enclosures.

3.0 Decontamination Methods (continued)

3.5 Destructive Decontamination

Destructive decontaminating procedures include physical removal of contaminated parts or sections. Generally, little or no effort is made to clean the contaminated parts before disposal as waste. Containment and other radiological controls associated with destructive cleaning are dependent on contamination levels, the nature of the contaminant, and the physical characteristics of the parts being removed.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail

The decontamination tests have been designed to obtain information needed to evaluate proper decontamination methods for the site decommissioning. Building 238 will be the site for most of the decontamination tests. This building was chosen for the following reasons:

- 1) It was the main process building
- 2) The majority of the acids were used in this building. The use of these acids would cause worst case penetration into the building materials. Because of this, conservation information would be obtained from the tests.
- 3) This building contains a wide variety of building materials.
- 4) Since the majority of the building is contaminated, there is a high probability that good test specimens can be identified. Additionally, there would be very small potential for contaminating a clean area.

The tests to be conducted have been limited to those materials that have a good probability of being decontaminated. These materials are concrete, brick, block, wood and metal.

Areas to be used in test decontamination will be identified as having levels of contamination requiring remediation with preference given to areas of highest contamination. Direct surface contamination levels will be established prior to testing and recorded on the Test Decontamination Report.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail (continued)

A technician will then attempt to decontaminate the designated areas, one at a time using a different technique in each specified area. In general, one eighth of an inch will be removed on each pass. The area would then be resurveyed. This process would continue until the area met the release guidelines, or until it was decided that it would not be worth while to continue trying the method (i.e., using a wire brush and it appears that the contamination is deep). If 1/2 inch of material is removed and the release guidelines have not been met, a 2 inch core will be taken to determine the depth of the contamination. The core will then be cut into 1/4 inch slices. Direct measurements will be taken on each slice to determine the depth.

The decontamination tests will include the following:

Scabbling, high-pressure water blasting, and chemical extraction of selected concrete floor areas in buildings 238, 246 and 247.

Scabbling, and chemical extraction of selected brick wall areas in buildings 238, 246 and 247.

Water blasting, wire brushing, acid cleaning and chemical extraction of metal components from Building 238 including at least two sections from vessels and two from steel plate floors.

Manual cleaning and chemical extraction of rubber lined tanks in building 238.

Chemical extraction of wood components in building 238.

A use of bead/grit blasting techniques has not been considered due to the likely contamination of this system during the test. The depth of contamination found in the scabbler testing will identify the depth of contamination at the selected locations. This depth and the rate of surface removal for typical bead/grit blasting will be applied to estimate the success of a bead/grit blasting application.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail (continued)

4.1 Decontamination Procedures

4.1.1 Floor and Walls

Tests will be performed on the following floors and walls in building 238:

Main process area - three floor areas receive scabbling, water blasting and chemical extraction tests. Total nine tests.

Main process area - three wall areas receive scabbling and chemical extraction tests. Total six tests.

Ball mill room - one floor area receives scabbling, water blasting and chemical extraction tests. Total three tests.

Tests will be performed on the following floors and walls in building 246B:

One floor area receives scabbling, water blasting and chemical extraction tests. Total three tests.

One wall area receives scabbling and chemical extraction tests. Total two tests.

Tests will be performed on the following floors and walls in Building 247A:

One floor area receives scabbling, water blasting and chemical extraction tests. Total three tests.

One wall area receives scabbling and chemical extraction tests. Total two tests.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail (continued)

4.1 Decontamination Procedures (continued)

4.1.1 Floor and Walls (continued)

Tests will be performed on the following floors and walls in Building 247B:

One floor area receives scabbling, water blasting and chemical extraction tests. Total three tests.

One wall area receives scabbling and chemical extraction tests. Total two tests.

Test results including the method, contamination reductions and time requirements will be recorded on the Test Decontamination Report form. (See attached.)

4.1.2 Metal Components

Metal components will be removed from the structures for test decontamination where applicable in order to obtain lower background measurements and control of the solution and methods proposed. Chemicals used in these tests will be stored and properly identified under the waste management directions of this plan.

Two vessel wall sections of approximately one square foot will be tested using water blasting, wire brushing, acid cleaning and chemical extraction. Total of eight tests.

Two steel plate floor sections of approximately one square foot from the steps, mezzanines, or other flat components will be tested using water blasting, wire brushing, acid cleaning, and chemical extraction. Total of eight tests.

Test results including the method, contamination reductions and time requirements will be recorded on the Test Decontamination Report form.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail (continued)

4.1 Decontamination Procedures

4.1.3 Rubber Lining in Vessels

Two rubber liner sections of approximately one square foot will be removed from contaminated vessels and tested using detergent/water scrubbing and chemical extraction. Total of four tests.

Test results including the method, contamination reductions and time requirements will be recorded on the Test Decontamination Report form.

4.1.4 Wood Components

Wood components will be removed from the filter press and from other accessible areas for testing using chemical extraction techniques. Total of 2 tests.

Test results including the method, contamination reductions and time requirements will be recorded on the Test Decontamination Report form.

4.1.5 Subsurface Soils/Fill Materials

The chemical extraction test decon procedure will be performed on subsurface materials. A cursory gamma screen will be done on site to identify those samples with elevated gamma activity. These samples will be split for isotopic analysis and a test decontamination performed using chemical extraction techniques. The sample that has been tested using chemical extraction will then be analyzed for isotopic concentrations and compared to the analysis of the original untested sample split. Four samples will be tested using this method.

Test results including the method, isotopic concentration reductions and time requirements will be recorded on the Test Decontamination Report form.

4.0 Decontamination Test Detail (continued)

4.2 Analysis of Results

The information obtained from the tests will be used to determine if a decontamination technique would be cost effective. The evaluation would take into effect the cost of performing the decontamination, the volume of material decontaminated for that cost, the cost of disposal of the secondary waste generated, the cost to release the material and the benefits of reuse or recycling the material. This information would be balanced against the cost to just dispose of the material without performing any decontamination. Costs due to health and safety requirements will not be included in the analysis.

TEST DECONTAMINATION REPORT

DECONTAMINATION TECHNIQUE: _____

TEST AREA LOCATION

Floor Level: _____
Grid Number: _____

INITIAL RADIATION AND CONTAMINATION SURVEY RESULTS

Initial Radiation levels: _____
Initial Contamination levels: _____
(Attach Copy of Initial Survey Documents)

DECONTAMINATION EFFORTS

Equipment/Materials Used: _____
(incl. approx. quantities: _____

Dimensions of Test Area: _____
Time of Test Decon Start: _____
Time of Test Decon Finish: _____
Elapsed Time (Min. and Sec.): _____

POST TEST DECONTAMINATION RESULTS

Final Radiation levels: _____
Final Contamination levels: _____
(Attach Copy of Final Survey Documents)

Performed By

Date

C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

APPENDIX C

HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

MALLINCKRODT SPECIALTY CHEMICALS COMPANY
C-T PLANT
SITE SPECIFIC
HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
FOR
SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

Prepared By:

TMA/Eberline
601 Scarboro Rd
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

January 5, 1994

ABBREVIATIONS	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	C-1
1.1 SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF THE SITE HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN ...	C-1
1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION	C-1
2.0 KEY PERSONNEL	C-2
3.0 SITE HAZARD EVALUATION	C-3
3.1 Radiological Hazards	C-3
3.2 Chemical Hazards	C-3
3.3 Physical Hazards	C-3
4.0 SITE ACTIVITIES	C-5
5.0 PERSONNEL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT	C-6
6.0 PERSONNEL MONITORING	C-8
6.1 Radiation Monitoring	C-8
6.2 Heat/Cold Stress Monitoring	C-8
6.3 Chemical Monitoring	C-8
7.0 MEDICAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	C-9
8.0 SITE CONTROL	C-10
9.0 EMERGENCY PROCEDURES	C-11
10.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	C-13
11.0 CONFINED SPACE ENTRY	C-14
11.1 CONFINED SPACE ENTRY PERMITS	C-14
11.2 CONFINED SPACE LOCKOUT	C-14
12.0 REFERENCES	C-15

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Hazardous Work Permit C-7
Figure 2. Site Emergency Numbers C-12

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Site Emergency Procedures C-5
Table 2. TMA/Eberline Procedures C-13
Table 3. MSCC Safety Guidelines C-13

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A TMA/Eberline Procedure 2B.2, Personnel Monitoring
ATTACHMENT B TMA/Eberline IH Procedure 3.06, Decontamination of Personnel
ATTACHMENT C TMA/Eberline IH Procedure 3.01, Heat Stress Monitoring and Prevention
of Heat Illness
ATTACHMENT D TMA/Eberline Procedure IH3.03, Confined Space Work

ABBREVIATIONS

C-T	Columbium-Tantalum Facility
DAC	Derived Air Concentrations
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
HWP	Hazardous Work Permit
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Association
PPE	Personnel Protective Equipment
TLD	Thermoluminescent Dosimeter

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF THE SITE HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

The purpose of this Health and Safety Plan is to establish personnel safety and protection standards relative to the radiological, chemical and physical hazards that may be encountered during the characterization activities by TMA/Eberline at the Mallinckrodt Columbiuim-Tantalum (C-T) facility and subsequent support areas. These standards are mandatory for TMA/Eberline and subcontractor employees that are involved with any phase of the activities planned.

This site health and safety plan was developed with consideration of known contaminants, and procedures designed for potential exposure to site substances. Current safety standards as defined by OSHA, NIOSH, and EPA have been consulted. All changes to this site health and safety plan must be made in writing and distributed to all site personnel.

1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The C-T plant is located within the bounds of the Mallinckrodt Specialty Chemicals plant near downtown St. Louis, Missouri. The C-T plant is contained within four buildings (numbers 238, 246, 247, 248) of concrete block, brick, and panel construction and certain exterior portions. The C-T plant manufactured columbium oxide from ores that contained naturally occurring thorium (Th-232) and uranium (U-238) in concentrations of approximately 0.1%. The C-T facility has not been in operation since 1987.

2.0 KEY PERSONNEL

The names and telephone numbers of key site field people are listed below.

Project Management:

For Mallinckrodt Specialty Chemicals:

Arthur J. Heinze, P.E. 314-530-2111

Thomas J. Byrd, CHMM 314-539-1459

For TMA/Eberline:

TMA/Eberline Professional Staff:

Industrial Hygienist:

Kathy Dendahl 615-481-0683

Health and Safety Director:

Kathy Dendahl 615-481-0683

TMA/Eberline Field Staff:

Site Manager and Field Health/Safety Officer:

Reg Smith

3.0 SITE HAZARD EVALUATION

3.1 Radiological Hazards

The C-T plant processed raw ore materials that contained naturally occurring uranium-238 and thorium-232. The U-238 and Th-232 ores became by-products in the production of Columbium Oxide and Potassium Fluotantalate and have been carried through the processing equipment and associated buildings. Radioactive emissions from these two isotopes, and their daughter products, may be expected to be present as potentially airborne dust and as fixed materials, on, and inside, structural components and processing equipment of the facility. The immediate concern is to control the potential for inhalation of these products by employees during this project and to control the spread of radioactive materials from the C-T plant. Bulk quantities of these materials that could contribute to an external gamma exposure are not believed to exist at the C-T plant.

Exposure rate measurements will be performed to maintain dose rates to workers as low as reasonably achievable. External administrative limits are as follows:

- Whole body effective dose equivalent maintained at or below 5 rems/year,
- Effective dose equivalent to the eye maintained at or below 15 rems/year,
- Effective dose equivalent to the skin or extremities maintained at or below 50 rems/year.

3.2 Chemical Hazards

Several chemicals were used in the processing activities including sulfuric acid, hydrofluoric acid, ammonia, and some caustics. Most of these have been used up in the process but possibly remain in small diluted quantities inside the process lines.

3.3 Physical Hazards

Noise, temperature extremes, oxygen deficiencies, fire, and electricity are all physical hazards that may be encountered.

Hearing protection will be provided to employees to use at their discretion, except when routine monitoring reveals that the noise in the area is above the acceptable level. Where dosimeter readings reveal the noise level in an area to be at or above 85 dBA, hearing protection will be required.

The serious form of temperature extremes occurs when working in hot environments (greater than 80 °F) and/or wearing PPE. Heat fatigue, serious illness, or death may result if proper precautions are not taken. Frostbite can occur when working in cold environments (less than 40 °F and/or wet). Commencement of work activities at the C-T plant will dictate which temperature extreme to monitor.

When electrical hazards may be encountered, Mallinckrodt procedures for lockout/tagout will be

followed.

Barricades will be established as necessary after notifying Mallinckrodt and in accordance with American National Standard Institute D6.1-197, "Manual on Uniform Traffic Control".

Surveys on building exteriors may require the use of a battery operated man lift.

4.0 SITE ACTIVITIES

Some of the tasks (not limited to) to be performed by TMA/Eberline with associated hazards are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Task Description and Hazard Evaluation

Task Description	Hazard Evaluation
Radiation Survey	Contact with, inhalation of and ingestion of contaminated dust; and solids; exposure to low-levels of alpha, beta and gamma radiation; heat stress; opening of vessels, tanks having contained radioactive materials and chemicals; use of ladders; hot steamlines; poor roof support;
Vacuuming	Contact with, inhalation of and ingestion of contaminated dust and solids; exposure to low-levels of alpha, beta and gamma radiation; heat stress; hot steamlines;
Sampling including drilling	Airborne dusts; lower explosive limits; volatile organics; residual chemicals in tanks
Decontamination Tests	Chipping causing airborne dust;
Sampling of confined spaces (sewers/manholes/tanks)	Oxygen deficiency; lower explosive limits; hydrogen sulfide; carbon monoxide; residual chemicals

5.0 PERSONNEL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

Minimum personal protective equipment (PPE) for work activities in the Mallinckrodt Plant area include a hard hat, safety glasses, and safety shoes/boots. PPE for site activities will include, but not be limited to, Tyvek coveralls, safety work shoes/boots, hoods, rubber over-the-shoe boots, gloves, full-face respirators, safety glasses and hard hats. Personnel protective items will be required based upon each specific task performed. A hazardous work permit (HWP) will be initiated by TMA/Eberline and will describe the PPE requirements for the task. See Figure 1 for a Hazardous Work Permit.

Chemical sampling for organic determination often requires addition of acids for preservation of samples shipped to the laboratory for analysis. A portable eyewash shall be present when acids are being added to samples.

Confined space entries may be required to perform sampling in tanks and man-holes. Level B protection consisting of Saranex® coated tyvak (with hoods and booties attached), air-line respirators or equivalent, harnesses, gloves, and other appropriate PPE will be required.

Figure 1. Hazardous Work Permit

HAZARDOUS WORK PERMIT (HWP)

No.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

WBS Number HWP Number

Work Description			Work Location				
			Est. Start Date/Time				
			Requested By				
			Request Date				
Hazardous Conditions			Site Surveys				
Chemicals/Substances Present	Radiation Levels	Other (Safety, etc.)	Type	Number	Date	By	
	Surface Alpha:						
	Surface Beta-Gamma:						
	% DAC:						
Required Personnel Protective Clothing And Equipment							
Head/Eyes		Feet/Legs		Body			
Hard Hat	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sturdy Work Shoes	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cotton Coveralls	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Safety Glasses	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposable Shoe Covers	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tyvek Coveralls (Regular)	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Monogoggles	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tyvek Coveralls (Coated)	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Face Shield	<input type="checkbox"/>			Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Respiratory		Hands		Miscellaneous			
Full-face (Negative Pressure)*	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cotton Gloves	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tape Gloves & Boots To Coveralls	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Powered Air Purifying*	<input type="checkbox"/>	Latex Gloves	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Belt With Lanyard	<input type="checkbox"/>		
* Specify Cartridge Or Canister Type Below		Rubber Gloves	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hearing Protection	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Special Instructions And Requirements							
<input type="checkbox"/> Firewatch <input type="checkbox"/> Portable Fire Extinguisher <input type="checkbox"/> Tagging and Lockout <input type="checkbox"/> Confined Space Entry Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Entry Monitoring <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency Response Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Hand-Held Radio Communication <input type="checkbox"/> Portable Eyewash Station <input type="checkbox"/> "Buddy System" in Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Job Coverage by Safety and Health Personnel <input type="checkbox"/> Special Training or Pre-job Briefing Required <input type="checkbox"/> Excavation Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Fire Retardant Clothing <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify)				Dosimetry		Indiv.	Group
				TLD Badge			
				Extremity TLD			
				Other (Specify):			
				IH Monitoring		Indiv.	Group
Lapel Sampler							
Other (Specify):							
				Expiration Date/Time			
Approvals			Termination				
Site RSS Supervisor	Date		Site RSS Supv. or SSHO		Date		
Site S&H Officer			Reason:				
Site Superintendent							

6.0 PERSONNEL MONITORING

6.1 Radiation Monitoring

Personnel shall be monitored for radioactive contamination on skin and clothing prior to exiting any controlled area established in the C-T plant. The internal portions and roofs of all C-T plant buildings shall be considered controlled areas until such time as sufficient data may be collected to provide information to the contrary. A CONTROLLED AREA ACCESS REGISTER shall be maintained for each controlled area with the date, time, name of personnel entering and exiting, and the results of exit radiation monitoring of the personnel. All personnel exiting a controlled area shall exhibit removable contamination levels not greater than 100 dpm/100 cm². See Attachment A for personnel radiological monitoring procedures. See Attachment B for decontamination procedures for personnel. PPE that is contaminated shall be stored on site in marked drums for future disposal at a licensed disposal facility.

A baseline, and an exit, urine bioassay shall be submitted and analyzed for total uranium and Th-232 content to evaluate any internal uptake and exposure to radioactive materials. Each employee shall be issued a TLD radiation monitoring badge which must be worn at all times in the C-T plant. This badge will be the legal estimate of total body exposure from external radiation sources.

Breathing zone and general area air sampling will be done while activities are in progress that may generate airborne radioactive particles/dust in the buildings. The results of these samples will be compared to the standard for Th-232 criteria for Derived Air Concentrations (DAC) of 1.0E-12 microcuries per ml. Air concentrations that exceed 10% of the criteria will require the use of respiratory protection and the calculation of the DAC exposure for the individual(s) involved. Personnel will be required to wear full-face air purifying respirators when air concentrations approach, or are anticipated that they could approach, 10% of the DAC.

6.2 Heat/Cold Stress Monitoring

Monitoring for heat stress will be performed in accordance of TMA/Eberline's Industrial Hygiene procedure (Attachment C).

6.3 Chemical Monitoring

Chemical exposures will be performed concurrently with radiological exposure rates under direction of the industrial hygienist. It is anticipated to monitor, using direct reading instruments for: Organics using a Microtip® for drilling operations and other in areas potentially contaminated; Hydrogen fluoride using Drager Tubes will be performed for work activities around system piping and process tanks; oxygen, lower explosive limits, carbon monoxide and/or hydrogen sulfide may be monitored during drilling activities or confined space entries. In addition, airborne sampling using sampling pumps and appropriate sampling media may be performed at the discretion of the TMA/Eberline industrial hygienist or site safety officer.

7.0 MEDICAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

All personnel shall have a current (within 12 months) baseline, or annual, physical examination. A copy of the physician's fit-for-duty statement, with any limitations, shall be provided by the employee or the TMA/Eberline Industrial Hygienist before being allowed to work at the site. A copy of each fitness-for-duty statement shall be maintained on site.

Follow-up medical attention and physical examination will be provided in the event of an accident or possible overexposure, in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.120 (f)(2)(iv). The extent of the examination will be determined by the attending physician and will be given full disclosure of the incident to allow proper treatment.

Upon termination or reassignment to non-hazardous activities, employees will be given an exit physical unless the employee has had a physical examination in the last 6 months.

8.0 SITE CONTROL

Overall security is provided by the Mallinckrodt security force. A 24-h a day patrol and fences and posted signs to inform and discourage intrusion into the area are provided.

As needed, exclusion and decontamination zones will be established to protect outside workers from potential contamination. At no time will any person enter the exclusion or decontamination zones unless one other person is present for observation and emergency rescue.

9.0 EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

The Mallinckrodt plant handles and processes numerous chemicals in its day-to-day operation of the downtown facility. As part of the plant health and safety program, a SAFETY GUIDELINES document has been prepared by Mallinckrodt for implementation by outside contractors. This shall become a part of the Health and Safety Plan and be posted at the TMA/Eberline offices. Also posted shall be a list of emergency phone numbers, and notification procedures (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Site Emergency Numbers

SITE EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

CALL SECURITY OFFICE - 5555

- 1) IDENTIFY YOURSELF
- 2) STATE THE PROBLEM
- 3) IDENTIFY LOCATION OF PROBLEM

THE SECURITY OFFICE WILL NOTIFY THE PLANT MEDICAL TEAM AND NURSES, AND CALL AN AMBULANCE IF NEEDED. THE MEDICAL TEAM WILL RESPOND.

ON SHIFTS OTHER THAN 7:30AM TO 4:00PM A PLANT FIRST RESPONDER WILL BE AVAILABLE.

IF A NEED FOR AN AMBULANCE IS KNOWN ADVISE THE SECURITY OFFICE AND THEY WILL CALL ONE.

TRANSPORT WILL BE BY ABBOTT AMBULANCE TO BARNES HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOM.

10.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

TMA/Eberline and its contractors will comply with applicable Mallinckrodt Safety and health procedures while performing work on-site. Table 2 lists specific TMA/Eberline procedures to be followed by TMA/Eberline.

Table 2. TMA/Eberline Procedures

Number	Procedure Title
QA-06	Safety Manual, Field Operations
QA-04	Respiratory Protection Program
QA-03	Hazard Communications Program
	Radiation Protection Program
IH-3.01	Heat Stress Monitoring and Prevention of Heat Illness
IH-3.03	Confined Space Work
IH-3.05	Personnel Dress Down Procedures
IH-3.08	Lockout/Tagout of Energy Sources
IH4.02	Shipping Hazardous Materials

Table 3 lists Mallinckrodt Safety guidelines to be followed by TMA/Eberline.

Table 3. MSCC Safety Guidelines

Number	Date	Guideline
1001	3/86	Safety Requirements for Outside construction contractors
102	1/83	Entering Tanks and other Enclosed Spaces
103		Hot Work Clearance
105	12/82	Lockout/Tagout of Power Equipment
801	1/83	Breaking Pipelines

11.0 CONFINED SPACE ENTRY

A confined space is defined by OSHA as a tank, vessel, silo, vault, pit, open-topped space more than 4 feet deep or any enclosed space not designed for human occupancy, and which has one or more of the following characteristics:

- contains an actual or potential hazardous atmosphere or other safety or health hazard,
- makes ready escape difficult, or
- restricts entry for rescue purposes.

During work at Mallinckrodt, TMA/Eberline may be required to make confined space entries during sewer characterization.

11.1 CONFINED SPACE ENTRY PERMITS

Prior to entries into sewer man-holes or other confined spaces, a confined space work permit must be obtained. TMA/Eberline's Industrial Hygiene Procedure IH3.0 (Attachment D) as well as any Mallinckrodt procedures will be followed. A confined space entry permit is included in Procedure IH3.06. As a minimum, the work permit must include a description of the work to be done, evaluation of possible hazards, and atmospheric monitoring for oxygen deficiency, toxics, and flammable atmospheres. If work ceases for greater than 30 minutes, the space must be rechecked prior to entry.

Use of the buddy system is particularly important in confined space entry, since rapid rescue is essential. For this reason, lifelines and communication devices must be used to facilitate quick hazard recognition and response.

11.2 CONFINED SPACE LOCKOUT

All piping in confined spaces must be "double blocked and bled," isolating the confined space by locking out two inline valves or plugs on either side of the confined space, and bleeding the section of pipe in the confined space.

12.0 REFERENCES

ACGIH, Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, Current Edition

Energy, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 20, 1993.

Mallinckrodt, *Safety Guidelines*, Revised March, 1986.

NIOSH/OSHA/USCG/EPA, Occupational Health and Safety Guidance Manual For Hazardous Waste Site Activities, 1985.

OSHA, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29, Part 1910.

OSHA, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29, Part 1926.

ATTACHMENT A

TMA/Eberline Procedure 2B.2, Personnel Monitoring

TMA/Eberline
Mallinckrodt Columbiuim-Tantalum Plant Project
Health Physics Procedure

Title: Personnel Monitoring
Procedure Number: 2B.2
Revision Number: 0
Date: January 7, 1993

Reginald B. Smith
Reviewed:

Jan 7, 1994
Date

J. A. Brown
Approved:

1/7/94
Date

2B.2.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to describe the methods to be used to monitor personnel for radioactive contamination on their skin and clothing.

2B.2.2 SCOPE

All personnel exiting a restricted area shall be monitored for radioactive contamination on their skin and clothing. This procedure describes the use of portable radioactive detection equipment to conduct the contamination surveys, and lists the documentation to be completed if such surveys indicate contamination above the applicable release limits.

2B.2.3 REFERENCES

- A. 10 CFR 20 "Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Final Rule", Published, May 21, 1991.
- B. TMA/Eberline Health Physics Operational Procedures Manual, Section 5.0, Instrument Operation Procedures.
- C. TMA/Eberline Health Physics Operational Procedures Manual, Procedure 2B.3, Decontamination of Personnel.

2B.2.4 EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL

The radiation detection instrumentation required to perform the personnel monitoring will vary from site to site depending upon the type of potential radioactive contaminant to be monitored. In all cases, the equipment utilized shall be appropriate for detecting the potential radioactive contaminant at detection limits that are less than the release limits.

- A. Portable Count Rate Meter/Scaler (Eberline PRS-1, ESP-1, or equivalent).
- B. Pancake Geometry Geiger Detector (Eberline HP-210, HP-260, or equivalent).
- C. Alpha Scintillation Detector (Eberline AC-3, or equivalent).
- D. Contamination/Exposure Report Form, Form 2B.2, Attachment 1.

2B.2.5 PERSONNEL MONITORING INSTRUCTIONS

A. General Considerations

1. Select the appropriate radiation detection instruments based upon the type of radioactive contaminant suspected. Verify that the instruments are calibrated and are operating properly (daily source response and background check; see the

appropriate procedure in Section 5.0 of the TMA/Eberline Health Physics Operational Procedures Manual).

2. The access control point technician or other qualified personnel shall perform the monitoring.
3. To the extent possible, all visible soil/mud or other material shall be removed from a person's clothing before they leave the contaminated area. Every effort shall be made to preclude contact of clothing with dirt/mud or potentially contaminated material by using the correct personal protective equipment (PPE). Decontamination techniques include washing, wiping, and brushing such areas as required. Clothing which cannot be decontaminated shall be confiscated and remain at the site.
4. Personnel monitoring is intended to be used in conjunction with good personal hygiene practices (i.e. washing hands before eating, drinking or smoking).

B. Beta-Gamma Survey

1. Using the pancake geometry Geiger-Muller or G-M detector, exposed skin and clothing should be scanned at a rate of approximately one inch per second (2.5 cm/sec). Maintain a distance of approximately 0.5 inches (1.5 cm) from the scanning area of the detector to the surface being monitored.
2. Note any areas where the count rate exceeds the background count rate. At a minimum, the following skin surfaces and clothing should be surveyed for potential contamination: hands, face, shoes. If there is any reason to suspect the loss of integrity of PPE, then those areas of the body or clothes that were affected should be scanned.
3. For PPE that has been surveyed and found to be below site criteria limits, dispose of as clean trash.

C. Alpha Survey

1. Using the alpha scintillation detector, exposed skin and clothing should be scanned at a rate of less than 0.5 inch per second (1 cm/sec). The detector should be held as close to the surface to be scanned as possible without actually touching the surface.
2. Due to the stringent release criteria for alpha emitters and the limitations of alpha detectors, hands and shoes should be surveyed for a minimum of 15 seconds.
3. Through visual or audio means, note any areas where the count rate exceeds the background count rate. At a minimum, the following skin surfaces and clothing should be surveyed for potential contamination; hands, face, shoes. If there is any reason to suspect the loss of integrity of personal protective equipment, then those areas of the body or clothes that were affected should be scanned.

4. For PPE that has been surveyed and found to be below site criteria limits, dispose of as clean trash.

D. Contamination Report

If radioactive contamination is detected on any portion of the skin and/or clothing, the technician shall notify the TMA/Eberline Site Manager and then the individual and/or his clothing shall be decontaminated before being allowed to leave the restricted area (see Procedure 2B.3 or 2C.2). In instances where personnel become contaminated to levels in excess of 100 dpm/dm² (alpha) or 2000 dpm/dm² (beta), a Contamination/Exposure Report, Form 2B.2 (Attachment 1), shall be completed documenting the incident and submitted to the TMA/Eberline Site Manager for review and investigation.

1. If contamination levels cannot be reduced to undetectable levels, the TMA/Eberline Site Manager or his designee shall be contacted. The TMA/Eberline Site Manager will confer with a TMA/Eberline Health Physicist, if necessary, to determine the appropriate action. Contaminated individuals shall not depart from a site until released by the TMA/Eberline Site Manager except in emergency situations (i.e., threatening dangers, serious injury, etc.).
2. Personnel contamination incidents shall be documented by a Contamination/Exposure Report (see Attachment 1) and reviewed by the TMA/Eberline Site Manager. A copy of this report will be retained in the personnel safety and health files.
3. If radiological contamination is not detected, the technician shall record the alpha/beta-gamma readings on the Controlled Access Register (Form 2A.2) in the appropriate columns.

Attachments

1. Contamination/Exposure Report, Form 2B.2

CONTAMINATION/EXPOSURE REPORT

Form 2B.2

_____ Loss of Personal Dosimetry _____ Personnel Contamination

Name _____ Company _____

SS No. _____ Date _____ Time _____

Address _____

A. Loss of Personal Dosimetry

Section 1 - TLD Badge

1. Date Issued _____ Date Lost _____
2. Dosimeter reading covering last TLD Badge period _____ mrem.
3. Reading entered on Individual's Exposure Record: Yes _____ No _____
4. Individual restricted from controlled area: Yes _____ No _____

Section 2 - Self-Reading Dosimeter

1. Dosimeter Lost _____ Dosimeter Off Scale _____ Date _____
2. TLD Badge Evaluated _____
3. TLD Badge Reissued Yes _____ No _____
4. Individual restricted from controlled areas Yes _____ No _____

B. Personnel Contamination

Instrument used: Model No. _____ Serial No. _____

1. _____
Contaminated Body Areas _____ Survey Results Highest DPM _____

Contamination/Exposure Report

2. Sample for Urine Bio Collected Yes _____ No _____

3. Individual sent to Whole Body Count Yes _____ No _____

C. Investigation Report (Include Hazard Work Permit No.)

Form Completed by:

D. Results of Investigation (Completed by Health Physics Supervisor)

Approved by Health Physics Supervisor

Original: Individual's Exposure History File

ATTACHMENT B

TMA/Eberline IH Procedure 3.06, Decontamination of Personnel

IH 3.06

TMA/EBERLINE
INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE PROCEDURES MANUAL

DECONTAMINATION OF PERSONNEL

Approved By:

J. G. Brown
Vice President, Health Physics Services

2/1/93
Date

Katherine Orendt
Environmental Compliance/Safety Manager

2/1/93
Date

IH 3.06
Rev: 1
Date: 1 February 93

IH 3.06-1

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to establish methods for decontamination of personnel.

2.0 SCOPE

The Site Health and Safety Officer is responsible for decontamination of personnel.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 TMA/Eberline Safety Manual, Volume 2, Field Operations 3.2
TMA/Eberline Industrial Hygiene Procedure IH3.05, Personnel Dress Down Procedures
- 3.3 TMA/Eberline Industrial Hygiene Procedure IH 3.07,
Decontamination of Equipment, Vehicles, and Materials

4.0 EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS

- 4.1 Materials for Personnel Decontamination: Soap, Soft-Bristled Brush, Hand Cream

5.0 PERSONNEL DECONTAMINATION

- 5.1 Prompt decontamination of personnel is essential to reduce continuing external and possible internal exposure to chemicals and toxic substances. All contaminated clothing should be removed from the person and placed in the appropriate containers provided. A thorough washing with soap and warm water is generally the best method for decontaminating the face, hands, hair, and body. A soft-bristled brush is an effective aid for removal of contamination from the hands and fingernails. Care should be exercised, however, not to irritate or abrade the skin, and not to allow decontamination solutions to enter body openings. Several washings and rinsings may be necessary to achieve an acceptable decontamination level. After decontamination lanolin or hand cream may be applied to an area which has been washed or scrubbed several times to prevent chapping of the skin.

- 5.2 The Site Safety Plan will specify decontaminating agents that can be used to remove difficult chemicals such as phenols from the skin where appropriate.
- 5.3 If the contamination is not removed by the simple washing technique mentioned above the worker may be referred to an occupational physician.
- 5.4 When gross contamination of a person is detected, or when the contaminant is known to be absorbed through the skin, the worker will be sent to an occupational physician for bioassay testing and evaluation.
- 5.5 When skin personal clothing, shoes, or other personal material is found to be contaminated, a Chemical Investigation Report is to be completed. (See TMA/Eberline Industrial Hygiene Procedure IH 3.05.)
- 5.6 Guidance on when decontamination solutions must be retained for analysis is provided in Reference 3.3.


ATTACHMENT C

TMA/Eberline IH Procedure 3.01
Heat Stress Monitoring and Prevention of Heat Illness

IH 3.01
TMA/EBERLINE
INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE PROCEDURES MANUAL


HEAT STRESS MONITORING AND
PREVENTION OF HEAT ILLNESS

Approved By:



Vice President, Health Physics Services

10/5/93
Date



Environmental Compliance/Safety Manager

10/5/93
Date

SIH 3.01
REV: 2
DATE: 30 SEPTEMBER 93

SIH3.01-1

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to prevent heat illnesses by establishing a method of physiological monitoring for heat stress and describing methods to minimize heat stress.

2.0 SCOPE

Elements of the NIOSH heat stress monitoring protocol are described and a step by step procedure is provided. Symptoms of serious heat illness conditions and first aid treatment are covered. Suggestions to minimize heat stress are included.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 American Red Cross Standard First Aid Handbook, 1988.
- 3.2 Occupational Safety and Health Guidance Manual for Hazardous Waste Site Workers, NIOSH/OSHA/USCG/EPA, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1985.
- 3.3 Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Third Edition, Volume 1, Chapter 20, Heat Stress: Its Effects, Measurement and Control', Edited by G. D. Clayton and F. E. Clayton, 1978.
- 3.4 Occupational Exposure to Hot Environments, Criteria for a Recommended Standard, NIOSH, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1986.

4.0 EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS

- 4.1 Air Temperature Thermometer
- 4.2 Oral Fever Temperature Thermometer
- 4.3 Timer or Watch
- 4.4 One Watch with a Second Hand
- 4.5 Supply of Potable Water
- 4.6 Scissors
- 4.7 Sponges
- 4.8 Cool Drinking Water

4.9 Disposable Paper Cups

5.0 INSTRUCTIONS

Before work begins, confer with administrators of nearby hospitals and ambulance services. Find out if they will transport/treat contaminated personnel. Develop a site specific emergency decontamination plan that will satisfy their requirements.

5.1 Heat Illness: Symptoms and First Aid

5.1.1 Heat Exhaustion

a. Symptoms

Heat exhaustion is a response to heat characterized by fatigue, weakness or collapse due to dehydration. Dehydration can result from heavy sweating along with inadequate intake of salt and/or water. Typical victims of heat exhaustion have approximately normal body temperatures, a rapid but weak pulse and low blood pressure. They will be sweating profusely, and have moist clammy skin. Muscle cramps, nausea, confusion, clumsiness or severe headache are also common symptoms of heat exhaustion. The victim may faint but usually regains consciousness as his head is lowered.

b. First Aid

Move the victim into a cool shaded area where the atmosphere is not immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH).

Have the victim sit or lie down.

Remove the victim's respirator and any impermeable clothing, using scissors if necessary, then loosen their trousers, coveralls, etc. Cool the victim by spraying or by sponging them with water.

If the victim is conscious, allow him/her to drink potable water (one glass every 15 minutes for an hour).

If the victim more or less collapsed from heat exhaustion, or if the victim is unable to keep water down, they must be examined by a physician before resuming work.

5.1.2 Heat Stroke: Symptoms and First Aid

- a. Heat stroke is a response to heat characterized by an extremely high body temperature, 104°F or higher. The typical victim's ability to sweat has been lost or impaired. He will have hot dry skin and a

strong rapid pulse. The victim may be unconscious. Heat stroke is an immediate life threatening emergency.

b. First Aid for Heat Stroke

Move the victim into the shade in a cool area where the atmosphere is not IDLH.

Remove the victim's respirator, and any impermeable clothing using scissors if necessary. Loosen and remove their trousers, coveralls, etc. Call for ambulance service.

Cool the victim as rapidly as possible (and perform emergency decontamination) by: spraying, immersing, or sponging them with cool water.

Monitor body temperature and take steps as necessary to keep the victim's body temperature below 104°F.

Do not over chill the victim.

5.2 Practices That Help Minimize Heat Stress

5.2.1 Adjust work/rest schedules.

5.2.2 Rotate personnel through hot jobs.

5.2.3 Provide shaded, cool break areas.

5.2.4 Maintain body fluids at normal levels. Daily fluid intake must approximately equal the amount of water lost in sweat. The normal thirst mechanism is not sensitive enough to ensure that enough water will be drunk to replace lost sweat. When heavy sweating occurs, encourage the worker to drink more.

5.2.5 Encourage workers to be physically fit.

5.2.6 Provide cooling devices during severe heat exposure. Cooling devices include hose down areas and cooling jackets.

5.2.7 Avoid diuretics in excess. This includes alcohol, coffee, and other stimulants.

5.2.8 Eat a healthy well balanced diet.

5.2.9 Identify heat sensitive individuals and adjust their work load if possible.

5.2.10 Advise workers that observing good personal hygiene practices will help prevent complications from heat rash. Dry clothing and mild drying lotions or powders will alleviate symptoms.

5.2.11 Allow unacclimatized workers about 10 days to become acclimatized before they do full hot work.

5.2.12 Unacclimatized workers lose more salt by sweating than acclimatized workers do. Water and electrolyte drinks such as Gatorade can be supplied to unacclimatized workers during the first few days of hot work.

5.2.13 Perform physiological heat stress monitoring when necessary.

5.3 Heat Stress Monitoring Based on Pulse Rates

The following heat stress monitoring procedure is the most suitable monitoring method for workers in semipermeable or impermeable clothing. It is very useful for controlling heat stress at hazardous waste sites. To monitor the worker, measure the heart rate periodically. The radial pulse rate is counted for 30 seconds and the result is multiplied by 2 to provide the rate in beats per minute (BPM). Measure and record the following on the Heat Stress Monitoring Data Sheet (Form 3.1).

5.3.1 Each worker's baseline pulse rate before hot work begins.

5.3.2 Each worker's pulse rate at the beginning of each break.

5.3.3 Weather conditions. Observe and record the following:

- a. Ambient air temperature measured in the shade.
- b. Percent of the time during a given work period that workers are exposed to sunlight that is bright enough to produce a shadow. Record to the nearest 25% (i.e. 0%, 25%, 50%, 75% or 100% sunshine).

- 5.3.4 Adjust the temperature by adding the appropriate radiant heat correction to the ambient air temperature, as follows:

Percent Sunshine	Sunshine Radiant Heat Correction
0%	+0°F
25%	+3°F
50%	+6°F
75%	+10°F
100%	+13°F

- 5.3.5 Classify and record the workers attire as permeable or semipermeable using the following criteria:

Permeable	Semipermeable
Woven cotton or synthetic textiles	Tyvek coveralls
Materials through which your breath can easily pass when you exhale while holding the material over your mouth.	Saranex or PE coated coveralls
	Acid Suits
	Level A Suits
	Rain Slickers

- 5.3.6 Determine the appropriate initial frequency of physiological monitoring and breaks from Table 3.1. Upon monitoring,

- If the heart rate exceeds 110 beats per minute at the beginning of the rest period, shorten the next work cycle by one-third.
- If the heart rate still exceeds 110 beats per minute at the next rest period, shorten the following work cycle by one-third.
- The break period should be long enough to allow the worker's pulse rates to drop substantially toward their baseline pulse rates.
- Employees will not be allowed to work if their pulse rate exceeds 120 beats per minute.
- The oral temperature should be recorded. If the oral temperature exceeds 99.6°F, the work schedule should be reduced by one third. Employees should not be allowed to work if their oral temperature exceeds 100.6°F.

5.3.7 If workers are fit, well acclimatized and have pulse rates well below 110 BPM at the beginning of each break, the Site Health and Safety Officer may increase gradually and with caution the length of the work period.

Table 3.1 Suggested Frequency of Physiological Monitoring for Fit and Acclimatized Workers^a

ADJUSTED TEMPERATURE ^b	NORMAL WORK ENSEMBLE ^c	IMPERMEABLE ENSEMBLE
90°F (32.2°C) or above	After each 45 minutes of work	After each 15 minutes of work
87.5° - 90°F (30.8° - 32.2°C)	After each 60 minutes of work	After each 30 minutes of work
82.5° - 87.5°F (28.1° - 30.8°C)	After each 90 minutes of work	After each 60 minutes of work
77.5° - 82.5°F (25.3° - 28.1°C)	After each 120 minutes of work	After each 90 minutes of work
72.5° - 77.5°F (22.5° - 25.3°C)	After each 150 minutes of work	After each 120 minutes of work

Source: Reference [3.2] of this procedure

^aFor work levels of 250 kilocalories/hour. For example: walking 1 mile in 25 minutes, light agricultural work, hoeing weeds.

^bCalculate the adjusted air temperature according to Instruction 5.3.4 provided in this procedure.

^cA normal work ensemble consists of cotton coveralls or other cotton clothing with long sleeves and pants.

ATTACHMENT D

TMA/Eberline Procedure IH3.03, Confined Space Work

TMA/EBERLINE
INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE
PROCEDURES MANUAL

CONFINED SPACE WORK

APPROVED:

J. A. Brown
Vice President, Health Physics Services

10/5/93
Date

Scott DeWitt
Environmental Compliance and Safety Manager

10/5/93
Date

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to provide guidance on confined space work and to establish a confined space entry permit program.

2.0 SCOPE

This confined space procedure provides instructions on proper isolation methods, evaluation of physical hazards, and evaluation of chemical hazards. This procedure establishes two special classes of confined spaces and provides entry requirements for all confined spaces. Instructions for completion of Confined Space Permits are provided.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 TMA/Eberline Safety Manual, Volume 2: Field Operations, QA-06.
- 3.2 TMA/Eberline Respiratory Protection Program, QA-04.
- 3.3 A Guide to Safety in Confined Spaces. NIOSH Publication Number 87-113. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
- 3.4 OSHA, Code of Federal Regulations, 29 CFR part 146, Permit-required confined spaces, current edition.
- 3.5 Federal Register, Permit-required confined spaces for general industry; Final Rule, pages 4462-4563, January 14, 1993.

4.0 DEFINITIONS

4.1 Confined Space - A space which has the following characteristics:

- limited openings for entry and exit.
- Has adequate size and configuration for employee entry.
- not designed for continuous work occupancy.

These spaces may include underground vaults, tanks, storage bins, pits and diked area, vessels and silos.

- 4.2 Acceptable entry conditions - the conditions that must exist in a permit space to allow entry and to assure that employees involved with a permit-required confined space entry can safely enter into and work within the space.
- 4.3 Attendant - an individual stationed outside one or more permit spaces who monitors the authorized entrants and who performs all attendant duties assigned in the employer's permit space program.
- 4.4 Authorized entrant - an employee who is authorized by the employer to enter a permit space.
- 4.5 Blanking or blinding - the absolute closure of a pipe, line, or duct by the fastening of a solid plate that completely covers the bore and that is capable of withstanding the maximum pressure of the pipe, line, or duct with no leakage, beyond the plate.
- 4.6 Emergency - any occurrence or event internal or external to the permit space that could endanger entrants. This includes any failure of hazard control or monitoring equipment.

- 4.7 Engulfment - the surrounding and effective capture of a person by a liquid or finely divided solid substance that can be aspirated to cause death by filling or plugging the respiratory system of that can exert enough force on the body to cause death by strangulation constriction or crushing.
- 4.8 Entry - the action by which a person passes through an opening into a permit-required confined space. Entry includes ensuing work activities in that space and is considered to have occurred as soon as any part of the entrant's body breaks the plane of an opening into the space.
- 4.9 Entry Permit- the written document that is provided by the employer to allow and control entry into a permit space and that contains the information
- 4.10 Entry Supervisor - the person responsible for determining if acceptable entry conditions are present at a permit space where entry is planned, for authorizing entry and overseeing entry operations, and for terminating entry.
- 4.11 Hazardous atmosphere - an atmosphere that may expose employees to the risk of death, incapacitation, impairment of ability to self-rescue, injury or acute illness from one or more of the following causes: 1) Flammable gas, vapor or mist in excess of 10% of its lower explosive limit (LEL); 2) Airborne combustible dust at a concentration that meets or exceeds its LEL; 3) Atmospheric Oxygen concentration below 19.5% or above 23.5%; 4) atmospheric concentration of any substance from which a dose, TLV or PEL could be exceeded; 5) any other condition that is immediately dangerous to life or health.
- 4.12 Inerting - The displacement of the atmosphere in a permit space by a noncombustible gas to such an extent that the resulting atmosphere is noncombustible.
- 4.13 Isolation - the process by which a permit space is removed from service and completely protected against the release of energy and material into the space by such means as lockout/tagout, blanking/blinding, or blocking or disconnection of mechanical linkages.
- 4.14 Non-permit confined space - a confined space that does not contain or have the potential to contain any hazard capable of causing death or serious physical harm.
- 4.15 Oxygen deficient - an atmosphere containing less than 19.5% oxygen.
- 4.16 Oxygen enriched - an atmosphere containing more than 23.5% oxygen.
- 4.17 Permit-required confined space - a confined space that has one or more of the following characteristics: 1) contains or has a potential to contain a hazardous atmosphere; 2) contains a material that has the potential for engulfing an entrant; 3) has an internal configuration such that an entrant could be trapped or asphyxiated by inwardly converging walls or by a floor which slopes downward and tapers to a smaller cross-section.
- 4.18 Retrieval System - The equipment (including a retrieval line, chest or full body harness, wristlets and a lifting device) used for non-entry rescue of persons from permit spaces.
- 4.19 Testing - the process by which the hazards that may confront

entrants of a permit space are identified and evaluated. Testing includes specifying the tests that are to be performed in the permit space.

5.0 PROCEDURE

5.1 Testing the Atmosphere

5.1.1 The atmosphere of a confined space is considered tested once the following measurements have been performed:

- a. If the entry is to be made in Level A or Level B personnel protective equipment (PPE), the following must be checked in the following sequence:
 - (1) % oxygen
 - (2) % LEL
 - (3) concentration of other vapors and gases as appropriate for the facility.
- b. If the entry is being considered in Level C or Level D PPE, the following must be checked in the following sequence:
 - (1) % oxygen
 - (2) % CO₂ (if oxygen is less than 20 %)
 - (3) % LEL
 - (4) ppm carbon monoxide
 - (5) ppm hydrogen sulfide
 - (6) concentrations of other gases as appropriate for the facility.

Based on readings, the confined space will be classified as either a permit- or non-permit confined space. If the confined space requires a permit, the rest of this procedure shall be followed.

5.2 Testing Frequency

Concentrations will be measured at least once per day. More frequent air sampling or continuous air sampling will be specified in a Confined Space when appropriate.

5.3 Continuous forced air ventilation shall be used as follows:

- 5.3.1 An employee may not enter the space until the forced air ventilation has eliminated any hazardous atmosphere.
- 5.3.2 The forced air shall be so directed as to ventilate the immediate areas where an employee is present within the space and shall continue until all employees have left the space.
- 5.3.3 The air supply for the forced air ventilation shall be from a clean source and may not increase the hazards in the space.

5.4 Pedestrian, vehicle or other barriers shall be provided as necessary

to protect entrants from external hazards.

- 5.2 Isolation - Isolate the confined space prior to entry. The confined space is considered isolated once the following has been performed.
 - 5.2.1 Lockout and tagout electrical circuits supplying the confined space.
 - 5.2.2 Blank and bleed or lockout and tag pneumatic lines, hydraulic lines and pipelines supplying the confined space.
 - 5.2.3 Block, chock, latch, chain, or otherwise secure mechanical moving parts within the confined space.
 - 5.2.4 Disconnect belt and chain drives, and mechanical linkages on shaft driven equipment where possible.
 - 5.5 Personnel Protective Equipment (PPE)
 - 5.5.1 The respiratory protection for confined space work will comply with the requirements given in the TMA/Eberline Respiratory Protection Program, Reference 3.2. The respiratory protection will be specified on the Confined Space Permit.
 - 5.5.2 The selection of personnel protective equipment will comply with the general guidelines given in the TMA/Eberline Safety Manual, Volume 2: Field Operations (Reference 3.1). PPE requirements for a given job shall be specified on the Confined Space Permit.
 - 5.5.3 Attendants shall be dressed in PPE that is sufficient for a safe entry into the confined space. Attendants will be provided with a pressure demand (PD) self contained breathing apparatus (SCBA).
 - 5.5.4 Communications equipment shall be provided as necessary to complete the work.
 - 5.6 Lighting equipment shall be provided to enable employees to see well enough to work safely and to exit the space quickly in an emergency.
 - 5.7 Rescue and emergency equipment (retrieval system) for safe entry into and rescue from permit spaces shall be provided.
 - 5.8 There will be one attendant outside the permit space into which entry is authorized for the duration of the entry operations.
 - 5.9 If multiple spaces are to be monitored by a single attendant, include in the permit program the means and procedures to enable the attendant to respond to an emergency affecting one or more of the permit spaces being monitored without distraction from the attendants responsibilities.
 - 5.10 The following individuals shall be designated and their roles defined: authorized entrants, attendants, entry supervisors, and safety technicians. These individuals shall receive training prior to work such that they understand and have the proper skills of the duties assigned.
- 5.6 Rescue and Emergency Planning
 - 5.6.1 The Site Manager, Site Health and Safety Officer, or standby person should have current training in first aid and CPR.

- 5.6.2 In an emergency, the attendant should not enter the confined space unless other standby personnel are at the scene, and then only as a last resort.
- 5.6.3 The local fire department authorities should be advised and briefed on any confined space work.
- 5.6.4 Where possible, rescues should be completed without entry into the confined space. The lifeline system should be used to retrieve the victim where possible.

5.7 Physical hazards

- 5.7.1 Structures that a judicious person would suspect are unsound or unstable should not be entered or walked upon. The stability of questionable structures should be evaluated before the confined space work begins.
- 5.7.2 Do not enter a confined space that a judicious person would suspect that an engulfment hazard exists. Bins or hoppers filled with grain or pulverized coal are examples of confined spaces that present a severe engulfment hazard.
- 5.7.3 Monitor for heat stress if necessary according to the instructions provided in TMA/Eberline Industrial Hygiene Procedure IH 3.01.
- 5.7.4 If transient excursions above 25% oxygen are possible, tools used for confined space work shall be made of a non-sparking material. Fire retardant clothing shall be worn.
- 5.7.5 Where transient excursions above 10% of the LEL are possible, instruments and equipment used for confined space work shall be approved for Class I, Division I Hazardous Locations. Tools shall be made of a non-sparking material, and fire retardant clothing shall be worn.

6.0 ENTRY PERMITS

A Confined Space Permit is required for confined space work.

- 6.1 A confined Space pre-entry check list should be filled out prior to starting the work. This is a good aid to make sure that all equipment is in place.
- 6.2 Each Confined Space Permit will be reviewed and approved by the Site Health and Safety Officer.
- 6.3 The Confined Space Permit will identify:
 - The space to be entered.
 - Purpose of entry.
 - Date and the authorized duration of the entry
 - Authorized entrants by name, attendants by name, entry supervisor by name.
 - Hazards of the space to be entered.
 - Measures used to isolate the space or eliminate hazards
 - Acceptable entry conditions
 - Results of initial and periodic checks.
 - Rescue and emergency services that can be summoned and the means for summoning those services.
 - Communication procedures used but authorized entrants and attendants to maintain contact during the entry.
 - Any other pertinent information related to the health and

safety of entrants concerning the confined space.
Other permits such as hot permits issued.

- 6.3 A copy of the Confined Space Permit will be posted at the confined space location.
- 6.4 Workers will be briefed on the requirement given in the Confined Space Permit.
- 6.5 One copy of the Confined Space Permit will be retained in the site safety files. The original will be forwarded to the TMA/Eberline Safety Manager.
- 6.6 Figure 1 provides a good decision flow chart for confined space entries.

Confined Space Pre-Entry Check List

A confined space either is entered through an opening other than a door (such as manhole or side port) or requires the use of a ladder or rungs to reach the working level and test results are satisfactory. This check list must be filled out whenever the job site meets this criteria.

- | | Yes | No |
|--|-----|-----|
| 1. Did your survey of the surrounding area show it to be free of hazards such as drifting vapors from tanks, piping or sewers? | () | () |
| 2. Does your knowledge of industrial or other discharges indicate this area is likely to remain free of dangerous air contaminants while occupied? | () | () |
| 3. Are you certified in operation of the gas monitor to be used? | () | () |
| 4. Has a gas monitor functional test (Bump Test) been performed this shift on the gas monitor to be used? | () | () |
| 5. Did you test the atmosphere of the confined space prior to entry? | () | () |
| 6. Did the atmosphere check as acceptable (no alarms given)? | () | () |
| 7. Will the atmosphere be continuously monitored while the space is occupied? | () | () |

Contact County Centrex for personnel rescue by local fire department in the event of an emergency.

Notice: If any of the above questions are answered "no" do not enter. Contact your immediate supervisor.

Job Location _____
LEAD MAN _____
signature _____ Date _____

Date and Time Issued: _____
Job site: _____
Equipment to be worked on: _____

Pre-Entry (See Safety Procedure)

1. Atmospheric Checks: Time _____
 Oxygen _____ %
 Explosive _____ % L.F.L.
 Toxic _____ PPM
2. Source isolation (No Entry): N/A Yes No
 Pumps or lines blinded, disconnected, or blocked () () ()
3. Ventilation Modification: N/A Yes No
 Mechanical () () ()
 Natural Ventilation only () () ()
4. Atmospheric check after isolation and Ventilation:
 Oxygen _____ % > 19.5 %
 Explosive _____ % L.F.L. < 10 %
 Toxic _____ PPM < 10 PPM H₂S
 Time _____

If conditions are in compliance with the above requirements and there is no reason to believe conditions may change adversely, then proceed to the Permit Space Pre-Entry Check List. Complete and post with this permit. If conditions are not in compliance with the above requirements or there is reason to believe that conditions may change adversely, proceed to the Entry Check-List portion of this permit.

Date and Time Expires: _____

Job Supervisor _____

Work to be performed: _____

Entry (See Safety Procedure)

1. Entry, standby, and back up persons: Yes No
 Successfully completed required training? () ()
 Is it current? () ()+
2. Equipment: N/A Yes No
 Direct reading gas monitor - tested () () ()
 Safety harnesses and lifelines for entry and standby persons () () ()
2. Equipment (cont.): N/A Yes No
 Hoisting equipment () () ()
 Powered communications () () ()
 SCBA's for entry and standby persons () () ()
 Protective Clothing () () ()
 All electric equipment listed

ENTRY PERMIT

_____ CONFINED SPACE _____ HAZARDOUS AREA
 PERMIT VALID FOR 8 HOURS ONLY. ALL COPIES OF PERMIT WILL REMAIN AT JOB
 SITE UNTIL JOB IS COMPLETED
 SITE LOCATION and DESCRIPTION _____
 PURPOSE OF ENTRY _____
 SUPERVISOR(S) in charge of crews Type of Crew Phone # _____

* BOLD DENOTES MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS TO BE COMPLETED AND REVIEWED PRIOR TO ENTRY*

REQUIREMENTS COMPLETED	DATE	TIME
Lock Out/De-energize/Try-out	_____	_____
Line(s) Broken-Capped-Blanked	_____	_____
Purge-Flush and Vent	_____	_____
Ventilation	_____	_____
Secure Area (Post and Flag)	_____	_____
Breathing Apparatus	_____	_____
Resuscitator - Inhalator	_____	_____
Standby Safety Personnel	_____	_____
Full Body Harness w/"D" ring	_____	_____
Emergency Escape Retrieval Equipment	_____	_____
Lifelines	_____	_____
Fire Extinguishers	_____	_____
Lighting (Explosive Proof)	_____	_____
Protective Clothing	_____	_____
Respirator(s) (Air Purifying)	_____	_____
Burning and Welding Permit	_____	_____

Note: Items that do not apply enter N/A in the blank.

**RECORD CONTINUOUS MONITORING RESULTS EVERY 2 HOURS

CONTINUOUS MONITORING** Permissible

TEST(S) TO BE TAKEN		Entry Level					
PERCENT OF OXYGEN	19.5% to 23.5%	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
LOWER FLAMMABLE LIMIT	Under 10%	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
CARBON MONOXIDE	+35 PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Aromatic Hydrocarbon	+ 1 PPM * 5PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Hydrogen Cyanide	(Skin) * 4PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Hydrogen Sulfide	+10 PPM *15PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Sulfur Dioxide	+ 2 PPM * 5PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Ammonia	*35PPM	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

* Short-term exposure limit:Employee can work in the area up to 15 minutes.
 + 8 hr. Time Weighted Avg.:Employee can work in area 8 hrs (longer with appropriate respiratory protection).

REMARKS: _____

GAS TESTER NAME & CHECK #	INSTRUMENT(S) USED	MODEL &/OR TYPE
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

SERIAL NUMBER _____

SAFETY STANDBY PERSON IS REQUIRED FOR ALL CONFINED SPACE WORK

SAFETY STANDBY PERSON(S) CHECK # NAME OF SAFETY STANDBY PERSON(S) CHECK #

SUPERVISOR AUTHORIZING ENTRY _____ AMBULANCE _____ FIRE _____


ALL ABOVE CONDITIONS SATISFIED _____

DEPARTMENT _____ Phone _____

Original to Site Files

Copy to Safety Manager

Permit-Required Confined Space Decision Flow Chart



¹Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations 1910.146, Appendix A.

²Spaces may have to be evacuated and re-evaluated if hazards arise during entry.

Figure 1.

C-T SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLAN

APPENDIX D

RADIATION SAFETY OFFICER
EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

Training/Resume

Thomas J. Byrd

Mr. Byrd is a thirty-one year employee of Mallinckrodt. He is a Certified Hazardous Materials Manager at the master level. His education includes a B.S. in Chemistry from the University of Missouri at St. Louis (UMSL) along with numerous other courses in the Chemical, Environmental, and Radiation fields. Mr. Byrd is the RSO for C-T license STB-401 and has been RSO for 13 years. He developed the radiation safety program for C-T and has managed it ever since. Mr. Byrd was a supervisor in the C-T process area and has much knowledge of the process. He has managed the hazardous waste program and is currently managing all remedial work at the site. His title is Principal Environmental Engineer in the Health, Safety and Environment Department at the Mallinckrodt Specialty Chemicals Company - St. Louis Plant.