

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

RELATED TO AMENUMENT NOS. 183 AND 188 TO FACILITY OPERATING

LICENSE NOS. DPR-44 and DPR-56

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY
DELMARYA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 5, 1993, the Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Unit Nos. 2 and 3, Technical Specifications (TS). The requested changes would revise the Plant Operating Review Committee (PORC) review, the Nuclear Review Board (NRB) review, Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program requirements, position titles, and the organization chart in the Environmental TS contained in Appendix B to be consistent with Appendix A of the TS.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee has proposed the following changes to Appendix B of the TS:

- Delete Table 6.4-1, titled "Environmental Radiation Monitoring Program";
- (2) Replace the position title "Plant Superintendent" with "Plant Manager" in Section 7.1.1 Organization, and require the Plant Manager report to the Vice President of PBAPS;
- (3) Replace the position titles "Superintendent, Nuclear Generation Division" and "Superintendent Nuclear Services" to "Plant Manager" and "Vice President-PBAPS" respectively;
- (4) Delete Section 7.1.2.A Plant Operation Review Committee (PORC);
- (5) Delete Section 7.1.2.B Nuclear Review Board (NRB);
- (6) Delete Section 7.3 Unit Operating Procedures;
- (7) Delete Figure 7.1-1, titled "Management Organization Chart."

All of the proposed changes delineated above are administrative in nature, as discussed below:

- (1) Table 6.4-1 may be deleted because Section 4.8.E of Appendix A of TS contains the requirement for a Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, and Section 6.5 of Appendix A TS covers control of changes to the program.
- (2) Revisions are to modify position titles and to require additional reporting chain from the Plant Manager to the Vice President.
- (3) Revisions are to modify position titles.
- (4) The PORC process is contained in the administrative procedures, Section 6.5, "Review and Audit," of Appendix A TS.
- (5) The NRB process is contained in the administrative procedures, Section 6.5, "Review and Audit," of Appendix A TS.
- (6) Section 7.3 <u>Unit Operating Procedures</u> may be deleted. Section 6.8 of Appendix A TS specifies the Unit Operating Procedures and Check-off lists, and Section 6.5 of Appendix A covers control of changes.
- (7) The removal of the management organization chart is consistent with the changes approved in Amendments 155/157 for Appendix A in response to Generic Letter 88-06, "Removal of Organization Charts from Technical Specification Administrative Control Requirements."

On Page 49 of the Appendix B TS pages, the licensee made two typographical errors. With the licensee's concurrence, the staff corrected the errors by changing "Protective" to "Protection" and "An" to "Any".

The staff concludes that the proposed changes to Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, Environmental TS contained in Appendix B of the TS, revising the PORC review, the NRB review, Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program requirements, position titles, and the organization chart are administrative in nature and consistent with Appendix A of the TS. Therefore, the proposed changes are considered acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Pennsylvania State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR

51.22.(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need to be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: T. Liu

Date: January 26, 1994