

ast Nuclear Energy Company

DONALD B. MILLER, Jr. SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - MILLSTONE

General Offices Selden Street, Berlin Connecticut

PO BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203)665-5000 February 1, 1994 MP-94-85

Re: 10CFR50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference:

Facility Operating License No. DPR-21 Docket No. 50-245

Licensee Event Report 93-005-01

Gentlemen:

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report 93-005-01 required to be submitted within thirty (30) days pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

Donald B. Miffer, Jr.

Senior Vice President - Millstone Station

DBM/TD:bjo

Attachment: LER 93-005-01

cc: T. T. Martin, Region I Administrator

P. D. Swetland, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3

J. W. Andersen, NRC Acting Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 1

090028

NRC Form 366 (5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 **EXPIRES: 5/31/95**

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION ESTIMATED BURDEN PER HESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555—0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150–3104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| | (6 | ee revers | e for requi | red number of digita/ch | aracters | for ea | ch block) | | | W | ASHINGTON, | DC 20503. | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| FACILITY NAME (1) Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 | | | | | | | | | | DOCKET NUMB 0500 | | | | DER (2) 10245 | | 03 | | | | |
| TITLE (4) | Hy | /draul | ic Snu | bber HSS-03 | 39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPO | | | | | | | | EPORT DATE (7) | | | | | THER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) | | | | | | | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVIS NUME | ION BER | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | FACILI | TY NAME | | | | DOCKET NUMBER 05000 | | | | | |
| 04 | 29 | 93 | 93 | - 005 - | 01 | | 02 | 01 | 94 | FACILITY NAME | | | | DOCK | DOCKET NUMBER 05000 | | | | | |
| OPERATIO | NG | | THIS | REPORT IS BEI | NG SU | BM | TTED PI | URSUA | NT TO | THE R | EQUIREM | ENTS OF | 10 0 | FR §: (Check | one or | more) | (11) | | | |
| MODE (9) | | | 20.402(b) | | | | 20.406(c) | | | | 50.73(a) | 2)(iv) | | 73.71(b) | | | | | | |
| POWER | | 100 | 50 | 20.405(a)(1)(i) | | | 50.36(c)(1) 50 | | | 50.73(e) | (2)(v) | | 7 | 73.71(c) | | | | | | |
| LEVEL (1 | 10) | | 20.405(a)(1)(ii) | | | | 50.36(c)(2) | | | 50.73(a) | (2) (vii) | | OTHER | | | | | | | |
| | | | 50 | 405(a)(1)(iii) X | | | 50.73(8)(2)(i) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) | | | | (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC | | | | | |
| | | | 50 | 20.405(a)(1)(iv) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(ii) | | | | 50.73(e)(2)(viii)(B) | | | | Form 366A) | | | | | |
| | | | 20.405(a)(1)(v) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | 50.73(a) | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | - | LIC | CENSE | EC | ONTACT | FOR T | HIS LE | R (12) | | | | | | annual Statement of | | | | |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | TELEPHONE NU | IMBER (Inc | ude Area I | Code) | | | |
| Drexel N. Harris, Site Licensing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (203) 437-5903 | | | | | |
| | | CO | MPLET | E ONE LINE FOR | REACH | 1 CC | MPONE | NT FA | ILURE | DESCF | RIBED IN T | HIS REPO | TRC | (13) | | | | | | |
| CAUSE | CAUSE SYSTEM CO | | MPONENT MANUFACTURER | | | REPORTAL TO NPRO | | | | CAUSE | SYSTEM | COMPONE | ENT | MANUFAC | TURER | | PROS | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Marie 1 | | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) | | | | | | | | | | | EX | PECTED | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | | | | | |
| X YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) | | | | | | NO | | | | | SUBMISSION DATE (15) | | 06 | 30 | 94 | | | | | |

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On April 29, 1993, with the plant in Cold Shutdown, Hydraulic snubber HSS-039 was identified with an unacceptable end attachment to the "A" recirculation pump motor. The snubber is attached to the motor with a clevis and load pin. One of the two (2) cotter pins which holds the load pin in the clevis attachment was missing which allowed the load pin to shift and come out of one of the ears of the clevis. This condition was conservatively determined to make the snubber unavailable to perform its intended function, thus inoperable.

In addition to the above condition, there was evidence of fluid leakage and an unacceptable fluid level. This snubber was removed for functional testing and replaced with a spare snubber.

All other snubbers were inspected for the above conditions and corrected (if required) prior to startup.

The maintenance procedure utilized for the removal and installation of snubbers has been revised to ensure that there will not be a recurrence of the condition found with respect to cotter pins.

This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

NRC Form 366A (5-92) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | |
|---|-------------------|------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | |
| Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 | 05000245 | 93 | - 005 - | 01 | 02 OF 03 | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Description of Event

On April 29, 1993, with the plant in Cold Shutdown, Hydraulic snubber HSS – 039 was identified with an unacceptable attachment to the "A" recirculation pump motor. The snubber is attached to the motor with a clevis and load pin. One of the two (2) cotter pins which holds the load pin in the clevis attachment was missing which allowed the load pin to shift and come out of one of the ears of the clevis. This condition was conservatively determined to make the snubber unavailable to perform its intended function, thus inoperable.

II. Cause of Event

During an unrelated drywell inspection, this snubber was discovered to have a degraded end attachment on the motor of the "A" recirculation pump. This condition was the result of a missing cotter pin. Cotter pins are required to be bent or spread sufficiently to ensure the cotter pins do not fall out. The loss of a cotter pin allows the load pin to be free to move or work its way out of the clevis or end attachment. The load pin was found to have worked its way out of one ear of the clevis. HSS-039, with the load pin still through the snubber paddle and one ear of the clevis could not be determined operable in the as-found condition by functional testing.

The root cause of this event was the improper installation of the cotter pin.

III. Analysis of Event

This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). The condition of HSS-039 has been reviewed and found not to have degraded the integrity of the recirculation system. If subjected to cyclical seismic loads the reversing loadings could have caused the load pin to work further out of the clevis and could have resulted in the snubber being inoperable. No safety consequences resulted from this event since the snubber is installed to restrain against seismic events while allowing for freedom of movement thermally. Given the absence of seismic loads however, the recirculation system was not challenged by this condition.

This snubber was identified during the 1991 Refuel outage as having a problem with the motor end attachment paddle and cotter pin installation. Corrective maintenance was performed and a re-inspection was performed to verify the conditions noted above were corrected. This re-inspection did not identify any problems with the court pin at this time. During an extended cold shutdown outage in 1992, a Technical Specification Surveillance was performed on all hydraulic and mechanical snubbers. This inspection, also did not indicate there was any problem associated with the hydraulic snubber HSS-039 motor end attachment. Thus, the snubber was considered operable at that time.

In addition to the above condition, there was evidence of fluid leakage and an unacceptable fluid level. This snubber was removed for functional testing and replaced with a spare snubber. The snubber passed the functional test.

As a result of the conditions found, a visual examination was performed on all hydraulic snubbers for cotter pin engagement and fluid levels. The inspection did not identify any leakage and confirmed no significant change (i.e., ≤ approx. 1/8") in fluid level reserves recorded during the January 1992 Technical Specification surveillance.

NRC Form 366A (5-92) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | | R NUMBE | PAGE (3) | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|---------|--------------------|---|----------|----|----|----|
| | | YEAR | | EQUENTIA NUMBER | 1 | REVISION | | | |
| Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 | 05000245 | 93 | - | 005 | _ | 01 | 03 | OF | 03 |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

The original investigation for the fluid leakage identified loose gland bolting as the probable cause for seal failure. Further investigation found the upper head packing to be degraded. Phone conversation with the manufacturer indicated the gland screws would not have contributed to this seal failure. This seal and (2) others with similar defects were sent to the manufacturer for further analysis. One seal was from a new unused rebuild kit of the same batch number and the other was out of an older spare snubber. The results of this analysis was received on November 9, 1993. The manufacturer, while not conclusive, determined the failure of the seal was a result of the manufacturing process.

The letter from the manufacturer received on November 9, 1993, addressed a potential manufacturing process defect associated with the software (seal) kits for Bergen Patterson, size 30 kips (HSSA – 30) hydraulic snubbers. The processing defect could allow the snubber to leak under normal operating pressures. However, the letter identified that the defect would not affect accident conditions since it would seal itself under snubber activation or design loads. Thus, the concern is for a gradual decrease in fluid where there would be an insufficient amount to maintain functionality. The affected batch of software kits was purchased in 1988. This potential manufacturing process defect could affect the operability of 15 out of 16 size 30 Kip snubbers currently inservice. The 15 snubbers inservice have a good probability of being rebuilt with one of these seal kits since all 15 snubbers have been rebuilt at least once since 1989.

All snubbers are visually inspected each refuel outage for visible evidence of fluid leakage and fluid levels. Since 1989, when these kits may have been installed, any snubbers with evidence of fluid leakage or an unacceptable fluid level have been removed and functionally tested to determine operability. Four snubbers of this size have been identified with fluid leakage since 1989 and all passed the functional test.

A review of the history for the potentially affected snubbers indicates that most had several visual inspections with no leaking fluid noted. Also as noted above, a complete inspection of fluid levels was performed in April 1993 with no significant change in fluid levels noted.

An operability determination was promptly performed and concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the 30 kip Bergen Patterson snubbers are operable.

IV. Corrective Action

This snubber was replaced with a rebuilt spare and the load pin installed correctly.

A visual examination was performed of all other supports attached to "A" Recirculation pump. There is no evidence of damage or physical discrepancies.

Maintenance procedures have been revised to incorporate clarification on the installation of cotter pins and installation inspection criteria.

V. Additional Information

All 30 kip (16) Bergen Patterson snubbers are scheduled for rebuilding during the 1994 Refuel Outage. An analysis of the seals removed will be performed and the results forwarded to the manufacturer to determine if there are generic implications for seals in the same batch number.

All snubbers which were generically susceptible were examined and any deficiencies found were corrected. Technical Specification Section 4.6.1.1 states "When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable." This failure need not be counted for determining the next inspection interval. Therefore the inspection interval remains at 18 months.

A supplement to this LER will be submitted to document our actions on this issue and provide the results of the analysis performed during the refuel outage.