# DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS 

Medical Center
4500 South Lancaster Road
Dallas TX 75216

Mr. L. J. Callan, Director Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regional Administrator, Region IV
611 Ryan Plaza Dr., Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064
REF: License No: 42-00220-08
Docket No. 030-00503

## Gentlemen:

1. In response to your findings on the alleged misadministration identified during the unannounced Radiation Safety Inspection conducted by Mr. G. I. Guerra, on July 14, 1993 and by Ms. I. I. Kasner on July 29-30 and August 2-3, and 6, 1993, we submit the following information:
a. Licensee Name: Dallas VA Medical Center
b. Prescribing Physician's Name: Dr. Minerva GomezBowerman

## 2. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT:

BACKGROUND: A 51 year old white male with a known diagnosis of Epidemic Kaposi's Sarcoma (EKS), since May 1989, was referred to the Dallas VA Medical Center. A biopsy of his left heel revealed EKS. He received a single treatment of 700 edGy to both feet at the CTRC in San Antonio, Texas on May 1, 1989 using the 6 Mev Linac. After his move to Dallas he received a treatment to lesions on his left arm with a good response. On July 31, 1991, both legs were treated with a single fraction of 800 cGy with the 60 CO machine. As the patient was then referred to the Dallas VA Medical Center with incapacitating pain, unable to be controlled with oral pain medications, Dr. Bowerman prescribed a treatment of 2000 cGy in 10 fractions of 200 cGy .
EVENT : FRACTIONS \#1, 2, 3,4 - The treatment was prescribed at 200 cGy AP-PA to Dmax. The treatment was calculated with opposing ports. After the first four treatments were given, the physicist checked the chart. He apparently discovered that no consideration was given to the dose contribution
from the opposing treatment ports in the initial calculation.

FRACTIONS $\# 5,6,7,8$ - It appears that, as a result of a discussion between the physicist and the physician, the treatment times were reduced by one-half to reduce the dosage and to come up with the correct amount at the end.

This is evidenced by an amendment to the prescription dated February 18, 1992.

On fraction \#5, treatment to the lower legs was omitted. The reason for this is unclear.

FRACTIONS \#9,10,11 - The prescription was amended again to give 100 cGy T.D. AP \& PA. The treatment times were changed to give a full treatment to the legs and $1 / 2$ treatment to the feet to make both treatments equal approximately 2100. (Enclosure)
3. THE EFFECT ON THE PATIENT:

Based on calculations at the time of treatment, as well as, current calculations performed by a Dallas VA Medical Center contracted Board Certified Physicist, there was no adverse consequences beyond the intended therapeutic effects for this patient.
4. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS ARE NEEDED TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

No creatment change will be carried out unless there is a written directive by the attending physician.
5. ACTIONS TAKEN TO PREVEVT RECURRENCE:

Prior to the NRC's identification of this case, the Radiation Therapy Section implemented weekly chart check meetings. A second review of calculations and changes to the treatment plan will be made in the written directive.

## 6. WHETHER THE LICENSEE NOTIFIED THE PATIENT OR PATIENTS RELATIVES OR GUARDIAN AND IF NOT, WHY NOT?

As this incident was identified in the 1993 NRC Inspection and due to the fact that the patient expired due to complications of Kaposi's Sarcoma, the family will not be notified.

## 7. NOTIFY REFERRING PHYSICIAN:

Subsequent to the enforcement hearing, we made numerous attempts to contact the referring physician. It appears Dr. Bradfield referred this patient to Dr. Bowerman. We had hoped to find the referring physicians, "attending" physician and still were unable to locate him. We attempted to contact the San Antonio VA Medical Center as they were the original referral sight. However, as there were two physicians involved in the treatment of this patient between the time he arrived in Dallas, the San Antonio VA Medical Center felt that according to CFR Part 35.33(a)(3) they did not need to be notified.

## 8. REFERRING PHYSICIAN PERSONALLY INFORMS THE LICENSEE THAT EITHER HE WILL INFORM PATIENT OR THAT, BASED ON MEDICAL JUDGMENT, TELLING THE PATIENT WOULD BE HARMFUL:

After many attempts to contact the referring physician, and based on medical judgement and the adverse affect this communication would have on the family, it has been determined by the Acting Chief of Staff, that it would not be in the best interest of the family to contact them at this time. The patient expired due to complications of Kaposi's Sarcoma.
9. We await the supplemental report from your external reviewer that will provide final documentation on the alleged misadministration. If you have any questions or need further information on the response of this violation, please contact Ms. Lynn Stockebrand, Quality Management Coordinator, at 700-7497005.
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$2-11-92$
Rx 2000 SSy in 10 AP +PA to Dmax Please see Photographis

Foot fiolds $=22 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$
Leg fielts $=25 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$
Bolus AD . 5 cm all
PA Foot 3 con
PAlog. 5 ca

Provious Tratmaty 700 - by to both feet of CTRC
in San Antunio $T_{x}$ on 5-1-89 with $6 \times$ Linoc
Previous Troatmetp 800 coy to looth legs at Bume in Dalles $T x$ on $7 \rightarrow 7$-9/ with C060

Prosertition note Pt to neceive Tumor Dose dे 2000 cG in 10 Fxn's of 200 CGy .


$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { APFeet } & 208 \times 4 \\
104 \times 4 \\
104 \times 3
\end{array}>\frac{828}{1560} \\
\text { PAFeep } 187 \times 4 & 748 \\
935 \times 4 \\
935 \times 3
\end{array}>\frac{6545}{1402} .
$$

MP Logs

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
208.6 \times 4 & 834.4 \\
104.3 \times 3 & 312.9 \\
208.6 \times 3 & \frac{625.8}{1773.1}
\end{array}
$$

Decay fartor for $F B \quad T=1574$ days $=4.3084$ Deray factor $=56746$ yrs

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { OPF }=173806 \times 56746= & 98.628 \mathrm{CGy} / \mathrm{min} \\
\text { Coll factors } 22 \times 30=\text { Feet }= & 1.0529^{2}=1.066 \\
& =1056 \\
25 \times 20=1055= & 22.05^{2}=1.062 \\
& 1.048
\end{aligned}
$$

Field off PSF Eut dODD Colfat Exit Time $\begin{array}{llllll}98628 & 1.066 \quad 1,00 & 1.052 & .676 \quad 1.88 \mathrm{~min} \\ & & 140.5 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{x}\end{array}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Eet } \sum E_{n}+1+E_{x}+4=208+120.6=328.6 \mathrm{cGy} \text { TD } \\
& \text { E6nt } 4+\text { Exit 1 }=187+1405=327.5 \mathrm{CGy} \text { TD } \\
& \text { zys }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Ent } 2+\text { Exit } 3=208.6+113=3216 \text { C6y TD } \\
\text { Ent } 3+\text { Ex.t } 2=208.6+113=3216 \text { C6y TD }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Given Dose } f_{\text {(nat }} \text { Script }=1500 G D A P+P A \\
& \text { Feet AP GD }=1560=1.04 \times R x \\
& \text { Feet PA GD }=14025=0.935 \times R x \\
& \operatorname{LagsAPGD}=17231=1.182 \times R x \\
& \operatorname{LegsPAGD}=1773.1=1.182 \times R \times 1 \\
& 2200 \rightarrow D \\
& 2250 \\
& 2460 \\
& 2200 \quad 11.8
\end{aligned}
$$

