EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

(41 FR 21311)

EBASCO

Two World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048

DOCKETED USNRC August 12, 1982

'82 AGO 18 A9:19

Secretary of the Commission U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D C 20555

DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Attention:

DOCKETING AND SERVICE BRANCH

Subject:

COMMENTS ON PETITION FOR RULEMAKING

10CFR 50 DOCKET NO. PRM-50-32

Dear Sir:

The subject petition restates an issue that the NRC has previously evaluated and concluded that no action was required (see NUREG 0153 Issue 27). Ebasco endorses this position and suggests that any policy that recognizes nuclear attacks by enemies of the United States could not, in today's current legal environment, be limited to the issues raised by petitioner.

Should the NRC conclude that a rulemaking is necessary, Ebasco would recommend that the following issues be addressed:

- a. Under what policy does the NRC limit the consideration of nuclear attacks to the issue raised by petitioner? If the NRC extends its jurisdiction to this issue, then it is clear that public health and safety must be protected from the effects nuclear attacks have on nuclear plants. In order to support their burden of proof before the ASLB, applicants must rely on a clear statement of policy from the NRC commissioners.
- b. What are the design criteria for EMP hardening? In order to defend a design, the specific characteristicss of the nuclear explosion must be defined. In order to justify the benefit, it must be shown that the plant and its operators will survive the nuclear explosion so that the hardened circuity would be usable.

Should you have any questions on our comments, please contact Mr S G Prussman (212-839-3244).

8208300198 820812 PDR PRM

PDR

J C Saldarini

Manager.

Nuclear Licensing

Very truly yours,