## APPENDIX

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Mercury-Wireline, Inc. Hays, Kansas 67601

Docket: 150-00015 License: 10 CFR 150.20

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 6, 1994, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 150.20 provides, that persons, who hold a specific license from an Agreement State are granted a NRC general license to conduct the same activity in a non-Agreement State, provided the general licensee does not possess or use radioactive materials for more than 180 days in any calendar year in a non-Agreement State.

Contrary to the above, the licensee possessed radioactive material in the form of iodine-131 in the state of Oklahoma, a non-Agreement State, from March 5 to December 31, 1993, a period in excess of 180 days.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Mercury Wireline, Inc., is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas this 31stday of January 1994