

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

The Detroit Edison Company

Docket No. 50-341

As a result of the inspection conducted on May 25-28, June 30, and July 1-2, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, states in part that, "measures shall be established to assure that applicable...design basis...for those structures, systems, and components...are correctly translated into...drawings..."

The Enrico Fermi 2 FSAR, Section A17.1.3 states in part, "Edison has established and implemented procedures which delineated the design process from initiation through final approval and release, and determined that design activities have been and are carried out in a planned and controlled manner, and that plant design adequacy is verified and documented. The established procedures define for participating design groups. The established procedures also delineate specific requirements and methods to assure...that selection and review for suitability of application of materials, parts, equipment, and processes that are essential to the safety-related functions of items are accomplished."

Contrary to the above, the licensee's control over the A-E's suspension system design, including the proper selection of required snubbers, was inadequate in that rigid restraints were installed in close proximity with mechanical snubbers. The snubbers were made inoperable by restricting the minimum snubber travel required to initiate unit lock-up. Restricting the snubber's travel could increase the design loads at the affected rigid restraints.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion VI, states in part that, "Measures shall be established to control the issuance of documents...including the changes thereto, which prescribe all activities affecting quality. These measures shall assure that documents, including changes, are reviewed...and approved...by authorized personnel and are distributed to and used at the location where the prescribed activity is performed."

The Enrico Fermi 2 FSAR, Section A17.1.6 states in part that, "Edison has established and implemented procedures to delineate the responsibilities and methods for receiving, identifying, filing, distributing, maintaining, and reporting the status of project documents to determine that such documents are adequately controlled. The established procedures contain provisions to assure that documentation distribution is made in accordance with distribution lists and controlled so that copies of the latest approved documents are available at the place and time needed. That documents superseded by revised issues and preliminary or other status drawings not approved for construction or fabrication, are controlled to prevent their inadvertent use."

Contrary to the above, the Wismer and Becker control of the Interim Change Procedures (ICPs) was not considered to be adequate. The inspector found that all required ICPs were not inserted into the work procedures at the work locations.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

AUG 10 1982

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

**"Original Signed by C.E. Norelius"**

\_\_\_\_\_  
C. E. Norelius, Director  
Division of Engineering and  
Technical Programs