

A F F I R M A T I O N

R E S P O N S E S H E E T

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM: COMMISSIONER AHEARNE

SUBJECT: SECY-82-214 PARTIAL VACATING OF COMMISSION ORDER OF MAY 22, 1979,  
ON PRESERVATION OF RECORDS OF TMI-2 ACCIDENT

APPROVED \_\_\_\_\_ DISAPPROVED   X   ABSTAIN \_\_\_\_\_

NOT PARTICIPATING \_\_\_\_\_ REQUEST DISCUSSION \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

8206200024

J. J. Ahearne  
SIGNATURE  
6/18/82  
DATE

SECRETARIAT NOTE: PLEASE ALSO RESPOND TO AND/OR COMMENT ON OGC/OPE MEMORANDUM IF ONE HAS BEEN ISSUED ON THIS PAPER.

Mr. Ahearne's comments on Secy-82-214:

I am unwilling to approve this paper until I get more information. The scope of the Commission's order as implemented is not clear to me, e.g., I was surprised to find GPU believes the "order has required the licensee and its contractors to maintain actual physical samples" (enclosure B). Denying GPU's request for relief apparently will require handling and storing large quantities of material. Therefore, I would like to be sure someone is really interested in the records in question. I also would like to be sure I understand what records we are either keeping or disposing of and that other interested agencies (e.g., DOJ and DOE) know we are allowing the disposal.

Consequently, I would like a chart with the following information on all "records" covered by the Commission's order:

- Type of record (e.g., hard copy record of X maintained by GPU's contractor Y).
- Any independent reason why it would be saved (e.g., Tech Specs require saving for X years or Udall has requested).
- Who still wants and for what purpose (in particular, check with OIA, NMSS, Research, and DOE).
- Whether still being generated (i.e., whether the order covers records which are still being generated; if so, whether someone wants current and future records).