PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, issued to the Philadelphia Electric Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

# SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment would consist of changes to the Peach Bottom

Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, operating licenses DPR-44 and DPR-56,
respectively, to extend the expiration dates of the operating licenses from

January 31, 2008 to August 8, 2013 and July 2, 2014, respectively. The

amendments are in response to the licensee's application dated May 21, 1992.

The revised dates provide for a licensed operating period of 40 years from
issuance of the respective unit operating license. The Commission's staff has
prepared an environmental assessment of the proposed action, "Environmental
Assessment by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to the Change

in the Expiration Date of Facility Operating Licenses DPR-44 and DPR-56,
Philadelphia Electric Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company,
Delmarva Power and Light Company, Atlantic City Electric Company, Peach Bottom
Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3," dated January 24, 1994.

The NRC staff has reviewed the potential environmental impact of the proposed change in the expiration dates of the operating licenses for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3 (Peach Bottom, Units 2 and 3). The staff reviewed the "Final Environmental Statement Related to Operation of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3," dated April 1973 (FES) and the information provided in the licensee's May 21, 1992 license amendment application to determine if any significant environmental impact, other than those previously considered, would be associated with the proper differse extension.

## Radiological Impacts:

The NRC staff concludes that, although the population in the vicinity of Peach Bot:om Atomic Power Station has increased, the population growth was less than projections provided in the FES. Based on updated census information and updated population growth estimates, the existing FES is expected to remain bounding with respect to population projections.

Station radiological effluents to unrestricted areas during normal operation have been well within Commission regulations regarding "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) limits and are expected to remain within ALARA limits. Based on the continued operation of existing liquid and gaseous radwaste treatment systems coupled with the current radiological monitoring

program, the NRC staff anticipates liquid and gaseous effluent doses during the period covered by the requested amendment will remain a fraction of the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I, limits and will not adversely impact the environment.

With regard to normal plant operation, occupational radiation exposures to personnel have decreased as a result of recent plant improvements. Further reductions in radiation dose rates are expected as a result of the ALARA program.

Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that, as a result of the license extension, the radiological impact on the general public would not increase over that practionally evaluated in the FES and the occupational exposure will be at least consistent with the industry average and in accordance with 10 CFR Part 20.

The NRC staff has in the past concluded that the environmental impacts associated with the uranium fuel cycle are small when compared with the dose commitments resulting from natural background sources.

The environmental impacts attributable to transportation of fuel and waste to and from Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, with respect to normal conditions of transport and possible accidents in transport, would be bounded as set forth in Summary Table S-4 of 10 CFR 51.52. The values in Table S-4 would continue to represent the contribution of transportation to the environmental costs associated with reactor operation.

# Nonradiological Impacts:

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed extension would not cause a significant increase in the nonradiological impact to the environment and would not change any conclusions previously reached by the NRC staff.

#### Alternate Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in the FES.

#### Agencies and Persons Contacted:

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and contacted the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Bureau of Radiation Protection, which had no objection to the proposed license extension.

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT:

The NRC staff has reviewed the proposed change to the expiration dates of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3 operating licenses relative to the requirements set forth in 10 CFR Part 51. Based on the environmental assessment, the Commission concluded that there are no significant radiological or nonradiological impacts associated with the proposed action and that the proposed license amendment will not have a significant effect on a quality of the human environment. Therefore, the Commission has determined, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to th's action, see (1) the application for amendment dated May 21, 1992; (2) the "Final Environmental Statement Related to Operation of Peach Bot.om Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3," issued April 1973; and (3) the Environmental Assessment dated January 24, 1994.

 of Pennsylvania, (REGIONAL DEPOSITORY) Government Publications Section, Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17105.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1994.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Charles L. Miller, Director Project Directorate 1-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation