Docket No. 50-10 Docket No. 50-237 Docket No. 50-249

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed Vice President Post Office Box 767 Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by A. G. Januska, W. B. Grant, N. A. Nicholson, M. J. Smith and J. P. Patterson of this office on June 24, 29, 30, and July 9, 1982, of activities at the Dresden Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2 and 3, authorized by NRC Operating Licenses No. DPR-02, No. DPR-19 and No. DPR-25 and to the discussion of our findings with D. Scott and others members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

During the prompt public notification test of this inspection, deficiencies were identified which are discussed in the enclosed Appendix. 10 CFR 50, Appendix E, Section IV.D.3. states, in part, that the four-month period of 10 CFR 50.54(s)(2) will apply to correction of deficiencies identified during the initial installation and testing of the prompt public notification systems as well as those deficiencies discovered thereafter. Accordingly, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(s)(2)(ii), if the deficiencies identified in the enclosed Appendix are not corrected within 120 days appropriate enforcement actions will be taken. You are requested to submit a written statement within thirty days of the date of this letter, describing your planned actions for improving each of the items identified in the Appendix. This description is to include: (1) steps which have been taken; (2) steps which will be taken; and (3) a schedule for completion of actions for each item.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractors) believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within ten (10) days from the date of this letter of your intention to file a request

for withholding; and (b) submit within twenty-five (25) days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven (7) days are available for your review, please notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons which are the bases for the claim that the information should be withheld from public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter (and the Appendix) are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Sincerely,

. A. Hind, Director

Division of Emergency Preparedness and Operational Support

Enclosures:

 Appendix, Significant Test Deficiency

2. Inspection Reports

No. 50-10/82-11(DEPOS),

No. 50-237/82-15(DEPOS) and

No. 50-249/82-16(DEPOS)

cc w/encls:

Louis O. DelGeorge, Director of Nuclear Licensing

D. J. Scott, Station

Superintendent

DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)

Resident Inspector, RIII

Karen Borgstadt, Office of

Assistant Attorney General

Ed Hakala, FEMA Region V

Januska/jp 7/20/82 InPatterson

Axel son

Paperiello

Wind 8/1/82

APPENDIX

SIGNIFICANT TEST DEFICIENCY

The following is a Significant Deficiency noted during a full alarm test of the Dresden Station EPZ sirens. This deficiency must be resolved in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(s)(2) to provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency.

10 CFR 50, Appendix E - Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Production and Utilization Facilities

10 CFR 50.54(s)(2) and 10 CFR 50, Appendix E, Section IV.D.3 state that the four-month period of 10 CFR 50.54(s)(2) will apply to correction of deficiencies discovered after the initial installation and testing of the prompt public notification systems.

Deficiency

The following deficiency was noted:

Seven of the sirens located in Grundy, Will and Kendall Counties did not fully activate during a full alarm test conducted on June 24, 1982. Of the seven, three of four sirens in Kendall County did not activate.

(Paragraph 6)(10/82-11-01; 237/82-15-01; 249/82-16-01)