The information on this page is considered to be appropriate for public disclosure purusant to 10 CFR 2.790.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

Region V

Report No.	70-25/81-02/IE-V-433		
Docket No.	70-25	License No. SNM-21	Safeguards Group 1
Licensee:	Energy Systems Group		
	Rockwell International 2900 De Soto Avenue Canoga Park, California		
Facility N	ame :		
Inspection	at: Canoga Park, Cali	fornia	
Inspection	Conducted: March 16-2	0, 1981	
Date of La	st Material Control and	Accounting Inspection Visit	: December 8-10, 1980
Type of In		, Material Control and Acco	unting
Inspectors	B. J. Brock Chemis B. B. Brock Chemis G. B. Nelson, Chemi		Date Signed Date Signed
Approved by	1: A. R. Morderhaug,	Certification of the Franch	4/2/8/ Date Signed

Inspection Summary:

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced safeguards inspection of facility organization, facility operations, measurements and statistical controls, shipping and receiving, ID and LEID, and management review. The inspection involved 65 inspector-hours onsite time by 2 inspectors.

Results: No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified in the 6 areas inspected.

かか



DETAILS

ER. 81. 56

THIS DOOM

Copy _5_ of 6 sucles

IS NOT 10 KF

1. Key Persons Contacted:

*R. G. Jones, Vice President and Controller

*M. E. Remley, Director, Health, Safety and Radiation Services

*V. Schaubert, Manager Nuclear Materials Management

C. Nealy, Manager, Analytical Chemistry

R. Jaseph, Staff Engineer, QA Audits and Controls

The inspectors also interviewed a number of other licensee employees, including members of the Nuclear Materials Management and Production Division units.

*Denotes those present at the exit interview.

2. Licensee Action on Previous Inspection Findings.

There were no open items of noncompliance.

3. Exit Interview

The inspection scope and findings were discussed with licensee management (identified in Paragraph 1) at the conclusion of the inspection on March 20, 1981. Licensee personnel were advised that no items of noncompliance were identified during the inspection. In addition, inspectors provided the following comments with respect to their inspection activities and observations:

- a. The Analytical Laboratory completed the measurement of the uranium content of suspended solids in sample solutions prepared for uranium analysis. The results confirmed that the uranium content of the solids is not significant. (80-06-03, Closed).
- b. The licensee reviewed the control of the accumulation of SNM in exposed pipe threads in processing glove boxes. He succeeded in covering some exposed threads and for others elected to brush material from the threads for inventory because the glove box vibrations resulting from grinding and sieving operations precluded the use of slip fit plastic caps. (80-13-01, Closed).

4. Unresolved Items

No unresolved items remain outstanding for this facility.

5. Independent Inspection Effort

The licensee had reviewed the closed threaded glove box penetrations identified in the previous inspection (80-13-01). Those warrenting priority attention were plugged except for two 2 inch diameter penetrations which were scheduled for routine cleanout before inventory because vibrations from grinding and sieving operations precluded the use of slip fit plastic caps. The use of plugs was only partially successful because different threads became available for holdup though cleanup of these threads was somewhat easier. The planned cleanup of these areas will adequately minimize the contribution of these areas to inventory differences. This item (80-13-01) is therefore closed.

6. MC 585202 Facility Organization

No items of noncompliance were identified.

The licensee's organizational structure for nuclear material control and accounting was reviewed for compliance with NRC requirements. Organizational charts of the FMC plan were examined and found adequate. Copies of charts reflecting changes (that did not reduce the effectiveness of the Material Control and Accounting system) were obtained during the inspection. The changes do not affect the NMM organization.

It was noted that the next management review will be the responsibility of a different reviewer currently working with the present auditor to assure program continuity.

7. MC 85204B - Facility Operations

No items of noncompliance were identified.

The licensee's operations were unchanged from the prior inspection. It was observed that reconfiguration of the compact press had been undertaken in MBA-40 (compact line) and an additional 24 UA1 alloy vacuum storage stations had been provided in MBA-1 (Storage Vault).

The Low Enrichment Uranium (LEU) line is held in standby. No additional effort is currently being made to bring it closer to operation as an LEU line. This largely completed system is thus available for increased capacity as an HEU line (after appropriate testing) if it should be needed. Startup of this line would affect the LEID for the plant. It is uncertain at this point whether the new line will be used for replacement capacity for the existing line or additional capacity for other work. If it is used as replacement capacity then the plant throughput would remain largely unchanged and processing personnel would vary normally. If, however, the new line is used as additional capacity then the throughput would increase significantly in the near term and the processing personnel picture would necessarily change (more personnel would be needed). The training program, currently being reviewed for possible refinement, would play a significant roll



in assuring the continued control on the processing of special nuclear material though new personnel would be involved. Use of the new line and new personnel may be reflected as a change in the variation of material control indicators (currently I.D. and LEID). These changes would be reflected routinely in the licensee SNM Inventory Reports to the Commission and would be reviewed by inspectors from the regional office.

The production operations observed during this inspection included arc melting, grinding, sieving, pressing compacts, deburring compacts fluorescopy of rolled plates, ultrasonic examination of trimmed plates, and element assembly. No discrepancies between procedures and practices were identified.

8. MC 85206B Measurements and Statistical Controls

No items of noncompliance were identified.

CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

- a. The licensee had previously determined from a small sample of solids separated from a sample being analyzed that the uranium content of the solids was not significant. To provide a more reliable result, the licensee separated the suspended solids (possibly Al₂O₃) from 89 samples representing 23 UAI alloy batches, dissolved the solids (salt fusion followed by acid dissolution) and measured the uranium content. The results indicated that the uranium content (0.0068%) was still not significant and the previous value (0.0047%) was a good indication of the magnitude of the correction to chemical analysis based on the uranium content of the suspended solids. This correction is not consequential (it represents revising the nominal uranium analysis from 71% to 71.0068%) and therefore no further effort is contemplated. This item (80-06-03) is closed.
- b. Eleven balances in the ATR plant and analytical chemistry laboratory were identified and inspected. The location, type, model, control limits, application and calibration tag data were tabulated.
- c. The licensee's analyst qualification records were current and the laboratory continues its participation in both the SALE (Safeguards Analytical Laboratory Evaluation) program and the GAE (General Analytical Evaluation) program. Current results reflect no bias between the licensee and the reference values for samples analyzed. Additionally, inventory verification sample results from the analysis of licensee feed and inprocess materials submitted for independent analysis at the New Brunswick Laboratory also reflect the absence of a bias. Whether sampling or storage conditions contribute to the recently observed nonrandom distribution of UAI alloy analytical results (still unbiased) has not been determined. No addition effort beyond the required control of all measurement systems is being directed towards evaluation of the nonrandomness. Sampling practices were not observed during this inspection.

With regard to the nonrandom difference noted between the licensee and NBL in the analysis of U metal, it appears that the sampling technique or the sample preparation may contribute to the difference in the analytical results. Though unbiased, U metal analysis reflected an average difference of -0.023 + 0.138 percent for 3 U metal samples reported in November 1979 and -0.093 + 0.179 percent for 4 U metal samples reported in January 1981. It may be significant that the 1979 samples were taken by cutting a solid piece from the U metal for cleaning and subsequent analysis versus drilling U metal and submitting the turnings for cleaning and subsequent analysis. The licensee and NBL both analyzed samples in the form of cut pieces for the first group of U metal samples. For the second shipment tested, the licensee and NBL both analyzed U metal turnings, however, the licensee revised his sample preparation to include the use of an ultrasonic bath during pretreatment and rinsing to assure adequate removal of the oxide coating and acid, water and alcohol rinses. The use of this bath has facilitated the licensees use of the simpler drilling approach to sampling rather than cutting (using a cut-off saw). The distribution of analytical results will be reviewed again when more similar samples are taken.

9. MC 85208B Shipping and Receiving

No items of noncompliance were identified.

A random selection of Form NRC-741 for the period June 1980 to February 1981 were reviewed. There were no shipper-receiver differences of SNM that were both statistically significant and greater than 50 grams of U-235. Timeliness of dispatch of 741's for shipments and acknowledgement of 741's for receipts was verified.

10. MC 85214B ID and LEID

No items of noncompliance were identified.

The limit of error on the inventory difference (LEID) was within regulatory limits for the one material balance period reported since the last inspection. The report for the second material balance period since the last inspection was not due at the time of this inspection.

It was determined that an ID adjustment attributed to prior periods in the January 1981 report was made on a reasonable basis and did not change ID's for the affected periods to a level that would have required corrective action.

11. MC 85218B Management of Material Control System

No items of noncompliance were identified.

Annual reviews have been conducted at appropriate intervals, documented, and reported to management as required. No licensee identified items of noncompliance were noted. The next management review will be done by a different quality control engineer who is currently phasing into the audit responsibility. The licensee plans to review the statistical calculations in greater depth in the next review.

REFERENCE

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT - STATISTICAL DATA PORM NRC 756 PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR FOR FEBRUARY 1978 INSPECTOR(S)_Z FACILITY NAME COLLEGE STEEL STEEL STEEL REVIEWER DATES INQ/INVEST/INSP REGION LICENSEE/VENDOR ACTIVITY 11 0 (84 (E) TYPE 8.4 5 102 TO ICHECK OR LICENSE NO IBY PRODUCT 3 0 Chalele 346 0 12 minns 2 D RESIDENT INSPECTOR INSPECTION PERFORMED BY 1 X REGIONAL OFFICE STAFF 3 CI PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL TEAM OTHER TYPE OF ACTIVITY CONDUCTED (CHECK ONE BOX ONLY) INSPECTION 14 D INQUIRY 13 D IMPORT 15 DINVESTIGATION 09 MATL ACCT 05 D MANAGEMENT AUDIT I IT INVEST. ALSO CHECK 10 D PLANT SEC DE O MANAGEMENT VISIT 02 C SAFETY 03 C INCIDENT 04 D ENFORCEMENT 12 D SHIPMENT/EXPORT SPECIAL OB T VENDOR 2 DE UNANNOUNCED 1 D ANNOUNCED INSPECTION OR INVESTIGATION WARNING 3 D WEEKEND/HOLIDAY 2 DOFF SHIFT 34. 1 DAY SHIFT INSPECTION INVESTIGATION NOTIFICATION (CHECK ONE BOX ONLY) A THEGION LETTER & HOS FOR ACTION 1 0 591 2 D REGIONAL OFFICE LETTER 3 D REFERRED INSPECTION/INVESTIGATION FINDINGS (CHECK ONE BOX ONLY) 3D REFERRED TO HOS FOR ACTION 4 C) NONCOMPLIANCE & DEVIATION 3 D DEVIATION 2 TI NONCOMPLIANCE ENFORCEMENT CONFERENCE HELD: 1 D 39 NOTE: CHANGE MUST BE SUBMITTED ON 766 WHENEVER PREVIOUSLY CITED ITEM OF NONCOMPLIANCE IS OFFICIALLY DELETED FROM NUMBER OF NONCOMPLIANCE ITEMS IN LETTER TO LICENSEE NUMBER OF DEVIATION ITEMS IN LETTER TO LICENSEE 45 NUMBER OF LICENSSE EVENTS 4 D ROUTINE (Fee Reduced) 3 O ROUTINE (Fee) 2 D ROUTINE (No Fee) 46 INSPECTION FEE 1 D NON-ROUTINE/VENDOR (No Fee) p X'S' YES 47 CONTENTS 2,7900 INFORMATION REGIONAL OFFICE LETTER OR REPORT TRANSMITTAL DATE FOR INSPECTION OR INVESTIGATION 0 IMMEDIATE ACTION LETTER REPORT SENT TO HOS FOR ACTION DATE 501 OR LETTER ISSUED TO LICENSEE Ħ 84 84 0381 SUBJECT OF INVESTIGATION ICHECK ONE BOX ONLY) 86-67 210 EQUIP. FAILURE 10 CFR 20 405 TYPE B 15 D CRITICALITY 22 ALLEGATION 10 CFR 20 403 11 DINT OVEREXPOSURE TYPE A INCLOSS/THEFT COMPLAINT OI D INTERNAL OVEREXPOSURE 06 🗇 12 CLEXT OVEREXPOSURE 23L) PUBLIC INTEREST 17 [] MUF OF CHEXTERNAL OVEREXPOSURE 13CI EXCESS RAD LEVELS HELL THANSPORTATION 24() SABOTAGE 14 LITXCESS CONC. LEVELS 031 TRELEASE TO UNREST. AREA DR L 19 LI CONTAMA EAKING 251.1 ARNOHMAT (RECER DATILOSS OF FACILITY D'S DEROPI RTY DAMAGE 20111 NVIHONMI NI AL 26 D OTHER EVENT HEADQUARTERS ENTRIES HOS ACTION ON INSPINYEST REFERRED BY REGION BLOCKS K TO N MUST BE (See Reforence Limitor Code) NOTE VERIFIED BY IE HOS WHENEVER ENTRIES ARE DATE HOS ENFORCEMENT LETTER, NOTICE, ORDER ISSUED MADE IN BLOCKS T, U ANDV CIVIL PENALTY ISSUED AITS

DATE 766 ENTERED INTO COMPUTER FILE IMO/YR

FORM NRC 786 - SIDE 2

USNRC - INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT STATISTICAL DATA

1 8	9	Ф	0	6	10	05	9	00	0			1	
ω w	cn .	7	0	LP.		ω	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	-1	0		X	8	
*			5855188	5857148	380488	1850 V6E	5852043	5852028	-	MANUAL CHAP PROC. NO.	MODULE NO INSP.	NOD	NOTE % COMPLETE AND STATUS: LEAVE BLANK FOR MC 92, 93 & 94 PROCEDURES AND 30-702, 30-703 & 30-800
5			1		4	15	30		P	EXPEND EXPEND ED THIS	DIRECT	MODULE TRACK	10 STATUS: 92, 93 & 94 (02, 30 703 &
3			7	7 20	1/10/0	80	30	100		PATE TO DATE	8	TRACKING INFORMATION	1
ä			Lancaca d							STATUS		NOITE	
7										PHASE MANUA CHAP.	MOD		NOTE STATE BLANK TO I C CLOSED L REOPEN & P REOPEN II
										PROC. NO.	FOLLOWUP FO		OTE STATUS CODING. LANK TO REMAIN OPEN CLOSED REOPEN & LEAVE OPEN REOPEN THIS TRANSACTION NLY
N								لسا		1 00 400			NOIT N
25 28										CODE E	N/C		
3										CODE	N/C	NONCO	NOTE "JOD FOLLOWUP.
8									E	CODE	N/C	NONCOMPLIANCE	WUP. USE ONLY WHEN MODULE INSPECTED IS 92 7018
8		L		Lancation] [< m	ς, 		B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B
W										CODE &	S S		
8	J L	L	J	l L	J L	سنا ا	حصا ل						
\$										CODE	-		
in property	-	and an analysis of the same of	a prosesso	sec Sussession		and process	DAS NO PROPERTY	ated photosis	DAY SHOOL	AC M	CP, ACEN	12.117	
\$5													

LINE COLUMN NUMBER SHOWN IN BOTTOM LINE

CONTINUES ON SUBSECUENT SHE"

Z Z Z

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION V

Aufligilians

1450 MARIA LANE, SUITE 260 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

January 15, 1982

Docket No. 70-25



Energy Systems Group Rockwell International 8900 De Soto Avenue Canoga Park, California 91304

Attention: Mr. Robert G. Jones

Vice President and Controller

Gentlemen:

Subject: NRC Safeguards Inspection

This letter refers to the routine safeguards inspection of your activities authorized under NRC License No. SNM-21 conducted by Messrs. L. R. Norderhaug, B. L. Brock, A. V. Wieder and G. B. Nelson of this office (assistance was provided by 3 NRC Headquarters technical staff) on October 19-23, November 2-6, 16-17 and 30, December 1-4, 1981. It also refers to the discussions of our inspection findings held by the inspectors with you and members of your staff on October 23, November 6 and December 4, 1981.

The inspection included examination of activities related to your program for the control and accounting of special nuclear material in accordance with applicable requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 70, "Domestic Licensing of Special Nuclear Material", and pertinent license conditions as described in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and records, interviews with facility personnel and observations by the inspectors.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that one of your activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201 you are required to respond as indicated therein.

In accordance with Section 2.790(d) of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, documentation of the findings of your control and accounting procedures for safeguarding special nuclear material are exempt from public disclosure; therefore, the enclosed inspection report will not be placed in the Public Document Room.

5 201260266



January 15, 1982 Prograins ubject to the clearanced by the Paperwork

Energy Systems Group

MATERN - Januar

MATERN - NO METAINS

The responses directed by this Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

LeRoy R. Norderhaug, Chief Safeguarus Branch

Enclosures:

A. Appendix A - Notice of Violation
B. Inspection Report No. 70-25/81-07
(IE-V-465)

