CONNECTICUT VANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY

HADDAM NECK PLANT
362 INJUN HOLLOW ROAD • EAST HAMPTON, CT 06424-3099

January 15, 1994 Re: Technical Specification 6.9.1.8 Docket No. 50-213

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In accordance with reporting requirements of Technical Specification 6.9.1.8, the Connecticut Yankee Haddam Neck Plant Monthly Operating Report 93-13 covering operations for the period December 1, 1993 to December 31, 1993 is hereby forwarded.

Very truly yours,

John P. Stetz Vice President Haddam Neck Station

JPS/va

cc: (1) Regional Administrator, Region 1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

> (2) William J. Raymond Sr. Resident Inspector Connecticut Yankee

2100

1028-3 REV 2-81

9401250324 931231 PDR ADOCK 05000213 IE24

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Haddam Leck Plant
Haddam, Connecticut

Monthly Operating Report No. 93-13

For The Month of

December 1993

## Plant Operations Summary - December 1993

The following is a Summary of Plant Operations for December 1993.

The plant continued operating at 52% power due to repair of the 1B main feed pump impeller casing.

On December 9 at 0403 hours, a further power reduction was initiated due to a feedwater MOV design concern. Load was stabilized at three percent power at 0625 hours and the concern was dispositioned. At 1337 hours, the power was increased to 10% for a chemistry hold. The plant reached 10% power at 1356 hours. At 1719 hours, the load increase recommenced. At 1907 hours, the plant reached 30% power for another chemistry hold. At 2102 hours, power ascension resumed.

The load increase was stopped at 2257 hours at 50% power due to overheating of the "B" main feed pump seal. The problem was resolved by Maintenance and a power increase started at 0028 hours on December 10. The plant reached 100% power on December 11 at 2208 hours.

On December 15 at 0105 hours, CONVEX requested that the plant decrease load to 86% due to an overload problem on the grid. The plant was at 86 % power at 0205 hours and started a return to full power at 0455 hours. The plant reached 100% power at 0615 hours and remained there through the end of December.

#### NRC OPERATING STATUS REPORT

#### Haddam Neck

1. Docket: 50-213

2. Reporting Period: 12/93 Outage + On-line Hours: 0.0 + 744.0 = 744.0

3. Utility Contact: R. E. Borg (203) 267-3649

4. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 1825

5. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe):  $667 \times 0.9 = 600.3$ 

6. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 582

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 586.9

8. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 560.1

9. If changes occur above since last report, reasons are: NONE

10. Power level to which restricted, if any (Net MWe): N/A

11. Reasons for restriction, if any: N/A

	MONTH	YEAR-TO-DATE	CUMULATIVE
12. Report period hours:	744.0	8,760.0	227,928.0
13. Hours reactor critical:	744.0	7,145.9	181,952.3
14. Reactor reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	1,285.0
15. Hours generator on-line:	744.0	6,915.7	175,202.9
16. Unit reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	398.0
17. Gross thermal energy generated (MWtH):	1,130,427.0	11,907,496.0	302,820,268.0 *
18. Gross electrical energy generated (MWeH):	377,729.0	3,940,337.0	99,262,919.0 *
19. Net electrical energy generated (MWeH):	358,405.9	3,740,072.5	94,301,274.1 *
20. Unit service factor:	100.0	78.9	76.9
21. Unit availability factor:	100.0	78.9	77.0
22. Unit capacity factor using MDC net:	86.0	76.2	75.1
23. Unit capacity factor using DER net:	82.8	73.4	71.1
24. Unit forced outage rate:	0.0	2.2	5.5
25. Forced outage hours:	0.0	156.7	10,235.2

<sup>26.</sup> Shutdowns scheduled over next 6 months (type, date, duration): NONE

<sup>27.</sup> If currently shutdown, estimated startup date: N/A

<sup>\*</sup> Cumulative values from the first criticality (07/24/67). (The remaining cumulative values are from the first date of commercial operation, 01/01/68).

# AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

Month: December 1994

Docket No: 50-213

Unit: Connecticut Yankee

Haddam Neck

Date: January 15, 1994

Completed By: K. nmons/M. Bigalbal

Telephone: (203) 267-3654

DAY	AVERAGE POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	289	17	<u>585</u>
2	290	18	585
3	287	19	585
4	286	2 0	585
5	288	2 1	5.85
6	287	2 2	585
7	288	2 3	585
8	287	2 4	585
9	108	2 5	585
1 0	342	2 6	585
11	492	2 7	584
1 2	584	28	585
1 3	586	2 9	585
1 4	586	3 0	5.85
1 5	572	3 1	585
16	586		

# UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTION

Report Month: December 1993

Docket No: 50-213

Unit Name: Connecticut Yankee

Date: January 15, 1994

Completed By: Kathy Emmons Telephone: (203) 267-3654

No.	Date	Type	Duration (Hours)	Reason		Report		Component Code	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
3-09 (con't)	12/01	F	196.05	A	5	n/a	SK	Р	High vibration on the 'B' main feed pump. Repair 'P' feed pump impeller - continued from November
14-01	12/09	11.	9.57	A	5	n/a			Reduced load to resolve feedwater MOV design concern

#### TYPE

F Forced

S Scheduled

REASON

A Equipment Failure B Maintenance or Test

C Refueling

D Regulatory Restriction

E Operator Training

F Administrative

G Operator Error

H Other (Explain)

#### METHOD

1 Manual

2 Manual Scram

3 Automatic Scram

4 Continued

5 Reduced Load

9 Other

#### SYSTEM

IEEE Standard 805-1984 and/or

NUREG-0161 Exhibit F

#### \_COMPONENT

IEEE Standard 803A-1983 and/or

NUREG-0161 Exhibit H

# MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT Report Month - December 1993

System or Component	MALFUNCTION		Effect on Safe	Corrective Action Taken to Prevent	Special Precautions Taken To Provide For Reactor Safety During Repair	
	Cause	Result	Operation	Repetition		
There	vere no re	portable ite	ms for the N	faintenance Department in	December 1993	

# **I&C DEPARTMENT**

Report Month -December 1993

System	MALFUNCTION		Effect on Safe	Corrective Action Taken to Prevent	Special Precautions Taken To Provide For Reactor Safety During Repair		
Component	Cause	Result	Operation	Repetition	For Reactor Safety During Repair		
There v	vere no re	oortable iter	ns for the 1&0	C Department in December	1993		

# CONNECTICUT YANKEE REACTOR COOLANT DATA DECEMBER 1993

### Reactor Coolant Analysis

minimum	average	maximum	
6.1	6.3	6.4	
17.5	20.5	24.5	
10.1	12.8	17.9	
<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
980	1157	1392	
1748	1949	2204	
5.65E-02	5.65E-02	9.14E-01	
5.20E-01	9.67E+00	6.55E+01	
3.50E-04	3.66E-03	7.19E-02	
<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
1.35E+00	2.06E+00	2.47E+00	
28.1	34.5	46.9	
	6.1 17.5 10.1 <5.0 980 1748 5.65E-02 5.20E-01 3.50E-04 <1.0 1.35E+00	6.1 6.3 17.5 20.5 10.1 12.8 <5.0 <5.0 980 1157 1748 1949 5.65E-02 5.65E-02 5.20E-01 9.67E+00 3.50E-04 3.66E-03 <1.0 <1.0 1.35E+00 2.06E+00	

Aerated liquid waste processed (gallons): 1.09E+05 4.40E+04 Waste liquid processed through boron recovery (gallons): 1.99E-01 Average primary leak rate (gallons per minute): 2.07E+01 Primary to secondary leak rate (gallons per day):

Performed By C. M. Grange
Reviewed By South Hand
Approved By

Approved By:

#### Refueling Information Request

3	B.T.	Service.	n.F	Found	2000
A	179(8)	SHEEL	1.71	facil	HY
					1

Haddar Neck

Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.

November 12, 1994

Scheduled date for restart following refueling.

January 5, 1995

4. (a) Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

Unknown at this time

- (b) If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?
- (c) If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload?

n/a

(d) If no such review has trken place, when is it scheduled?

- Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.
- Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.

Change in fuel vendor from B&W Fuel Co. to Westinghouse Electric Corp., and change in fuel assembly design.

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool.

(a) 157 (b) 809

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.

1168

 The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.

1998