

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company  
Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-456; 50-457  
License Nos. NPF-72; NPF-77

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 13-27, 1993, two examples of a violation of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, states, in part, that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, deviations, defective material and equipment, and nonconformances are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition.

- a. Contrary to the above, a condition adverse to quality had not been promptly corrected. A fire door between the turbine building and auxiliary building remained inoperable from March 1991 to December 1993(456/457/93022-01a(DRS)).
- b. Contrary to the above, from March through December 1993, conditions adverse to quality had not been promptly identified and corrected. The licensee failed to identify and take prompt corrective action for the high failure rate of emergency lighting units, some of which were needed for operation of safe shutdown equipment(456/457/93022-01b(DRS)).

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Commonwealth Edison is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555, with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois, 60532, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Braidwood Station within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois this  
20th day of January 1994

9401250229 940120  
PDR ADOCK 05000456  
g PDR