APPENDIX A

NUS Corporation
Docket No. 99900516/82-01

NOTICE OF NONCONFORMANCE

Based on the results of an NRC inspection conducted on May 24-28, 1982, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in accordance with NRC requirements.

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 states: "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings. Instructions, procedures, or drawings shall include appropriate quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria for determining that important activities have been satisfactorily accomplished."

Nonconformances with these requirements are as follows:

A. Section 7.4 of QAP 2.3, "Quality Assurance Plans" (April 1, 1982), states in part: "The Project Manager shall establish a list of personnel to whom controlled copies of the QA Plan and its revisions will be distributed. He or his designee shall distribute controlled copies of the plan. Controlled copies shall, as a minimum, be distributed to . . . the Division QA Administrator, the appropriate Operations Manager and the Corporate Director of Quality Assurance." Section 7.2 of QAP 6.1, "Procedure for Control of Project Input and Reference Documents" (April 15, 1980), states in part: "The Project Manager shall identify the individuals . . . to whom the document is to be assigned. Copies of each document shall be promptly transmitted to the individual(s) identified, using a Transmittal Form (Exhibit 6.1-2) attached to the document. The name of the individual(s) to whom the input document was transmitted and the date shall be entered on the Project/Input Document Receipt Log."

   Contrary to the above requirements, a review of documentation supplied the NRC inspector for three Consulting Division projects resulted in the following findings for one project (No. 3366):

   1. QA personnel and the Operations Manager in the NUS-Gaithersburg, Maryland, office did not receive any copies of controlled documents including the QA Plan.

   2. There was no evidence of Transmittal Forms or a Document Receipt Log.

B. Section 1.1 of QAR 17.0, "Quality Assurance Records" (February 1, 1982), states in part: "The project records contain as a minimum . . . personnel qualifications . . . . The generic records contain as a minimum . . . audit results, surveillance results . . . ."

Section 7.1.2 of QAP 17.3, "Control of Duplicate Records" (January 15, 1980), states: "Duplicates of records originated at Satellite Division Offices shall be stored at the Rockville (Gaithersburg) office.

"Section 7.1 of QAP 17.1, "Identification, Transmittal, Storage and Traceability of Quality Assurance Records" (September 20, 1981), states in part: "A Quality Assurance Records Index . . . shall be maintained in the project files with copies forwarded to the Division Quality Assurance Administrator . . . ."

Section 7.2 of QAP 17.1 states in part: "Designated Quality Assurance Records shall be stamped 'Quality Assurance Record' . . . A log of the quality assurance records shall be maintained by the custodian of those records utilizing the Quality Assurance Record Log . . . ."

Contrary to the above requirements, a review of QA records and documentation supplied the NRC inspector revealed the following:

1. QA Record Index was missing from two project files (Nos. 1702/3366).

2. QA Record Log was missing for five projects (Nos. 1702/3397/3398/3399/3445).

3. QA Audit/Surveillance reports for 1981, designated QA records, were not stamped as "Quality Assurance Record."

4. Personnel qualifications were missing from project QA records and the QA Plan was not stamped "QA Record" for the project (No. 3366).