

General Offices Selden Street, Berlin Connecticut

PO.BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203)665-5000 December 13, 1993 MP-93-982

Re: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Facility Operating License No. DPR-21 Docket No. 50-245 Licensee Event Report 93-019-00

Gentlemen:

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report 93 - 019 - 00 required to be submitted within thirty (30) days pursuant to 10 CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

FOR: Donald B. Miller, Jr. Septer Vice President - Millstone Station

BY: Fred R. Dacimo Director – Millstone Unit 3

DBM/NJ:dlr

Attachment: LER 93-019-00

cc: T. T. Martin, Region I Administrator

P. D. Swetland, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 J. W. Andersen, NRC Acting Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 1

210040 9312270052 93 PDR ADOCK 05

NRC F (5-82	orm 36	6		U.S. NU	ICLEAR R	EGULAT	ORY CO	MMISS	ION		APPROV		OMB N			04	
				E EVENT RE						ESTIMATED B COLLECTION BURDEN EST BRANCH (M WASHINGTON PROJECT (3 WASHINGTON	REQUEST MATE TO 1 INBE 7714), ( DC 2055 1150-0104),	50.0 H THE INF U.S 5-0001	NUCLES	ANI RE THE	D COMMEN D RECORDS GULATORY	TS REG MANAG COMM RK REDI	ARDING IEMENT ISISION, UCTION
FACILITY NAME (1) Millistone Nuclear Power Stat						ation L						05000245				PAGE (3	
TITLE (4		andb		Treatment (SB				cedur	e Inad	dequacy					and the second		
EVE	NT DA	TE (5)	1	LER NUMBER	R (6)	REP	REPORT DATE (7)				OTHER	FACIL	ITIES IN	IVO	LVED (8)		
MONTH DAY YEAR		the second s		REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	and the second se		FACI	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER				
11	11	93	93	- 019 -	00	12	13	93	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER			R	
				harris in succession		UTTEDI	Upelli	LAIT TO	THE	SEQUEREN	ENTE OI	5 40 0	-ED 5. //	-	· parameters		74.43
MODE (9) RUN		RUN	THIS REPORT IS BEING SUBM		And some stands one will be supported as a standard of the sta			Inci	50.73(a)(2)(V)				(Checi s or more) (11)			(11)	
D FYME				20.402(b)			20 405(0)			the second respectively in the second s							
POWER LEVEL (10)		100		.20 405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(V) 50.73(a)(2)(VII)				73.71(c) OTHER			
			20.405(a)(1)(ii) 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 20.405(a)(1)(iii)			50.36(c)(2) 60.75(a)(2)()			50.73(a)(2)/vill)(A)				(Specity in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)				
										50.73(a)(2)(VII)(B)							in a second second
			providence of the	20 405(g)(1) dV)			50.73(a)(2)(i)										
		ere in ariant	1 20	405(a)(1)(V)	ENSEE C	50 73(a	and the second division of the second	THICLE	E /10	50 73(a)	(22) (X1)		l.,				
NAME				Le Pa	ENSEE L	UNIAL	IFUN	I FILO LE	in (iz	L		-	TELEPHO	UE N	UMBER (Inc.	ude Area	Code)
		Drexe	I N. Ha	arris, Site Licei	nsing										43759	and the second second second	
		CO	MPLET	E ONE LINE FOR	LEACH D	OMPON	ENT FA	ILURE	DESC	RIBED IN 1	THIS REP	ORT	(13)			-	-
CAUSE			MPONENT MANUFACTURER		REPO	RTABLE VPRDS	TABLE		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPON		MANUFACTURER		TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
																and the party	
							a fa bina i transferati										
and have been a				SUPPLEMENT	AL REPO	RTEXP	ECTED	(14)				-	DECTER		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)					And a state of the	X NO					EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)						
erro demante				is i.e., approximately 1	5 single - spa	ioed typewr	itten lines)	(16;					a) (bar an de l'anter 2 a		al an		
On	Nove	mber	11.19	93 at 1345 ho	urs with	the pla	int ope	aratino	at 10	00% pow	er, it wa	s det	ermine	d ti	hat the		

requirements of Technical Specification (Tech. Spec.) 4.7.B.3.c were not being met due to inadequate surveillance testing. Specifically, Tech. Spec. Surveillance Requirement 4.7.B.3.c states that "when one circuit of the standby gas treatment system becomes inoperable, the other circuit shall be demonstrated to be operable immediately and daily thereafter." The applicable surveillance procedure used to fulfill these requirements was determined to be insufficient to definitively verify the operability of all active components in the Standby Gas Treatment (SBGT) system at the specified frequency required by this Tech. Spec.

Additional surveillances have and will be performed to verify SBGT train operability. No safety consequences resulted from this event.

NRC F (5-92	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULA	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST. 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20565-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503											
FACILITY	NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			LER NUMBER (6)	P.A/	PAGE (3)						
		유수가 안 없어서 가지?		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER							
	Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1	05000245		93	- 019 -	00	02	OF	4				
TEXT (	If mic e space is required, uss additional popies of NRC Form 366A) (17)			tem scarinne i cone, tak					1 I				
J	Description of Event												
	On November 11, 1993 at 1345 hours with the plant operating at 100% power, it was determined that the requirements of Technical Specification (Tech. Spec.) 4.7.8.3.c were not being met due to inadequate surveillance testing. Specifically, Tech. Spec. Surveillance Requirement 4.7.8.3.c states that "when one circuit of the standby gas treatment system becomes inoperable, the other circuit shall be demonstrated to be operable immediately and daily thereafter." The applicable surveillance procedure used to fulfill these requirements was determined to be insufficient to definitively verify the operability of all active components in the Standby Gas Treatment (SBGT) system at the specified frequency required by this Tech. Spec.												
Ш,	Cause of Event												
	A failure to adequately translate a Tech. Spec. surveillance requirement for demonstration of train operability into specific component level operability requirements, was determined to be the cause of this event.												
Di.	Analysis of Event												
	The surveillance procedure performed for functionally testing one train when the other train of the Standby Gas Treatment system becomes inoperable, verifies that the Standby Gas Treatment Fan (HVE-5A(B)) initiates and establishes a nominal train flowrate of $\sim$ 500 scfm. However, use of the nominal flowrate was determined to be inadequate to verify train operability. The surveillance procedure requires establishment of a:												
	<ul> <li>System Design Flowrate of ~ 1100 scfm for restorative surveillances which would be perform filter, damper, heater or logic maintenance has been completed or</li> </ul>												
	<ul> <li>Nominal Train Flowrate of ~500 scfr 4.7.B.3.c.</li> </ul>	erabili	bility to comply with Tech. Spec.										
	Verification of the operability of another active component in the train, the 5 kW heater, which is energized upon receiving a SBGT start signal, was not performed as part of the surveillance procedure. The design function of the 5 kW electric heater is to warm the entering airstream to lower its relative humidity to less than 70%. The 5 kW electric heater, which auto-starts in conjunction with a fan auto-start is not tested in accordance with the frequency prescribed in this surveillance procedure i.e. initially and daily thereafter.												
	The 5 kW heater is tested functionally once per operating cycle in accordance with Tech. Spec. 4.7.B.1.b. In addition, once per month relative humidity in the charcoal bed is verified to be less than 70% as part of surveillance testing to fulfill the requirements of Tech. Spec. 4.7.B.2.d. Other surveillances are performed to ensure the SBGT fans are specifically verified to operate at 1100 $\pm$ 10% scfm design flowrate once per year to meet the requirements of Tech. Spec. 3.7.B.2.c. A flow rate of ~ 1100 scfm is also established for other surveillances such as those which are performed once per operating cycle to measure total SBGT train differential pressure and secondary containment integrity. Secondary containment was maintained at all times, with recent testing verifying this condition.												
Due to a failure to test all active components of one train of the SBGT system for operability at flowrates when the other train is out of service, the intent of verifying operability per Tech. Spec was not met and hence this event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Tech. Specs.													

U.S. 1	NUCL	EAR	REG	ULATI	ORY	COM	MISS	ION	
								Contract (	

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

## APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

EXPINES: 5/31/95 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REDUEST 60.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGATIONG BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20655-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIA		REVISION NUMBER		
Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1	05000245	93	- 019 -	00	03 OF 4	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## IV. Corrective Action

NRC Form 366A (5-92)

> A complete review of the SBGT surveillance procedures has been performed to ensure all Tech. Spec. Surveillance Requirements are fully met to verify SBGT train operability, with no other findings. Additionally, a consolidation of the associated surveillance procedures has been initiated. Any necessary revisions will be incorporated at that time. During the next internal Tech. Spec. – required surveillance audit increased attention will also be focused on the root cause of this LER.

## V. Additional Information

NRC Form 366A (5-82)

A Tech. Spec. Change Request is also being processed which will remove the requirement to verify operability of one of the SBGT trains before and after the other train is removed from service. This is fully consistent with the NRC position on alternate train testing as outlined in an NRC memorandum from C. Grimes to NRR Project Directors, "Operability Requirements During Testing and Requirements For Alternate Train Testing", dated April 10, 1992.

