

Camp Hill, Pa.
Dec. 9, 1980

DOCKET NUMBER 50-289
PROC. & UTIL. REG. ~~DOCKET NUMBER~~



Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C., 20555

Dear Sirs,

it has come to our attention that MET-ED is about to launch an intense campaign to reopen TMI's Unit #1 as soon as possible, since you ruled out the factor of mental stress as a deterrent.

We are vigorously opposed to such an effort and urge you to keep the interests of the area residents in mind who, in the majority, are against the reopening of Unit #1. As a matter of fact, we feel that MET-ED is unfit to operate TMI, as it has proven by past performance and its arrogance in filing a \$4-Billion claim against you.

We further urge you to assure the people of Central Pennsylvania that in any proceedings concerning MET-ED's intentions in behalf of TMI, you shall see to it that no decisions will be made without giving us, the area residents, ample opportunity to voice our opinions.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Pratt Erika Anderson
Dorothy Pratt and Erika Anderson
8 Richland Lane, Camp Hill, Pa. 17011

We also agree with the above stated opinion:

Helmut C. Papp
Barbara A. Litner
Lillian M. Bousman