



COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Richard D. Lamm
Governor

Frank A. Traylor, M.D.
Executive Director

August 9, 1982

DOCKETED
'82 AUG 13 AM 54

*Reid
RRTF
8/12/82*

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

75

Chairman, Regulatory Reform Task Force
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-Misc. Notice
(47 FR 24044)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

With regard to the NRC's request for comments on the proposed Nuclear Standardization Act of 1982, as State Liason Officer to the NRC, I provided the request to various state agencies in my letter of July 19, 1982. Comments were to be forwarded to me by August 3, 1982. Enclosed are the comments which this office has received as of this date.

The only additional comment we might offer is that while standardization might expedite the licensing process, failure of systems in standardized plants might cause extensive shut-down of the industry, if current NRC regulatory efforts are any indication of the future.

We appreciate the extension of time provided to us due to the delay in providing the information to the states.

Sincerely,

Albert J. Hazle, Director
Radiation Control Division

AJH/er

Enclosures

- cc: Harry A. Galligan, Jr., Exec. Secy. PUC
- Leonard Slosky, Governor's Office
- Pat Byrne, DODES
- Office of Energy Conservation
- Barbara Chocol, Dept. of Highways
- Joel Cantrick, Attorney General's Office
- Steve Schmitz, Dept. of Local Affairs
- John Rold, Colo. Geological Survey
- G. Wayne Kerr, OSP, NRC
- Regulatory Reform Task Force, NRC

*DS09
add: James Tourkette to
1124 H
Jane Axelrad
9604 MURBB*

8208170178 820809
PDR REVGP ERGRNRRP
PDR



COLORADO STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

August 3, 1982

Albert J. Hazle
Director
Radiation Control Division
Colorado Department of Health
4210 East 11th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80220

Dear Mr. Hazle:

The Colorado Department of Highways has completed its review of the Nuclear Standardization Act of 1982 and has the following comments.


Reducing the public involvement program is unlikely to yield any benefits. We would also argue against lessening the public involvement in the site selection process as this is most important.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this information.

Very truly yours,

Harvey R. Atchison
Director
Division of Transportation Planning

By


Barbara L. S. Choccol
Manager
Project Development Branch

BSC/mm